17

Transcript of the Testimony of

Joshua Cockrell

December 4, 2023

Raymond Thompson v. Joshua Cockrell, et al

Field Reporting Services

314-461-2122 fieldreporting328@gmail.com

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

```
1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                                   INDEX
                                                           1
               EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
                                                           2 QUESTIONS BY:
                                                                                                      PAGE
                    EASTERN DIVISION
                                                           3 Mr. Gelfand
                                                                                                      5, 126, 134
                                                                                                      120, 133
   RAYMOND THOMPSON,
                                                            4 Ms. Robertson
           Plaintiff,
                                                                               EXHIBITS
                          )Cause No.: 4:23-cv-133-SRW
                                                           8 EXHIBIT
                                                                                DESCRIPTION
                                                                                                          PAGE:
  JOSHUA COCKRELL, et al, )
                                                           9 1
                                                                                                          39
                                                                        Interrogatory Answers
                                                           10 2
                                                                         General Order No. 441
                                                                                                          45
           Defendant.
                                                           11 3
                                                                         General Order No. 456
                                                           12 4
                                                                         Investigative/Offense Report
                                                                                                          55
              DEPOSITION OF JOSHUA COCKRELL
                                                          13 5
                                                                         General Order No. 425
                                                                                                          73
            TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
                                                                         Title of Vehicle
                                                          14 6
                                                                                                          85
                    DECEMBER 4, 2023
                                                          15 7
                                                                         11/14/22 Email Correspondence
                                                                                                          91
                                                           16 8
                                                                         Photograph
                                                           17 9
                                                                                                           97
                                                                         Photograph
                                                           18 10
                                                                         Photograph
                                                                                                          100
               SHEILA FIELD, CCR. No. 1226
                                                           19 11
                                                                         Photograph
                                                                                                           102
                                                           20 15
                                                                         Photograph
                                                                                                           58
                                                           21
                                                                          (Exhibits retained by counsel.)
                Field Reporting Services
                                                           22
                      PO Box 252092
                                                           23
                 St. Louis, Missouri 63125
                                                           2.4
                      314-461-2122
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                           1
                                                                             APPEARANCES
              EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
                                                                 The Plaintiff was represented by:
 3
                    EASTERN DIVISION
                                                           3 Margulis Gelfand, LLC
                                                             7700 Bonhomme Avenue
 5 RAYMOND THOMPSON,
                                                            4 Suite 750
                                                              St. Louis, Missouri 63105
           Plaintiff,
                                                            5 By: Justin Gelfand
                                                                  The Defendant was represented by:
                          )Cause No.: 4:23-cv-133-SRW
                                                            7 Reichardt Noce & Young
                                                              12444 Powerscourt Drive
 8 JOSHUA COCKRELL, et al, )
                                                            8 Suite 160
                                                             St. Louis, Missouri 63131
           Defendant.
                                                            9 By: Catherine Robertson
10
                                                           10
11
                                                           11
12
                                                           12
       DEPOSITION OF JOSHUA COCKRELL, produced, sworn,
                                                                  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and
14 and examined on behalf of the PLAINTIFF, on DECEMBER
                                                           14 between counsel for the Plaintiff and counsel for
15 4, 2023, between the hours of nine o'clock in the
                                                           15 the Defendant that this deposition may be taken by
16 morning and one o'clock in the afternoon of that
                                                           16 Sheila Field, CCR, a Certified Court Reporter, and
17 day, at Reichardt Noce & Young, 12444 Powerscourt
                                                           17 afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the
18 Drive, Suite 160, St. Louis, Missouri 63131, before
                                                           18 signature of the witness is expressly reserved by
19 Sheila Field, a Certified Court Reporter within and
                                                           19 agreement of counsel and consent of the witness.
20 for the State of Missouri, in a certain cause now
21 pending in the United States District Court, Eastern
                                                           21
22 District of Missouri, Eastern Division, in the
                                                           2.2
23 above-entitled matter.
                                                           23
                                                           24
25
                                                           25
```

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 3 of 55 PageID #:

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

6 JOSHUA COCKRELL. Q. Fair enough? of lawful age, produced, sworn, and examined on 2 A. Fair. 3 behalf of the PLAINTIFF, deposes and says: Q. So similarly, if you answer a question, 4 I'm going to assume that you understood the 5 **EXAMINATION** 5 question. Fair enough? 6 6 **QUESTIONS BY MR. GELFAND:** A. Yep. 7 Q. Okay. The only other couple of just very, Q. Good morning. Could you please state and spell your full name, for the benefit of the court very quick ground rules, to make our wonderful court reporter? reporter's life a lot easier, is if we can make sure not to talk over each other. She's preparing a 10 A. State and spell? Officer Joshua Charles 11 Cockrell. It's J-O-S-H-U-A. middle C-H-A-R-L-E-S. transcript of everything that's said. It makes a lot more sense, when it's not one word, one word, so 12 and then Cockrell, C-O-C-K-R-E-L-L. 13 13 on and so forth. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken? 14 14 A. Never. A. No problem. 15 O. Over the course of this deposition, I'm 15 Q. So if I complete my question, I'll let you going to ask you a number of questions. Your own 16 complete your answer. lawyer has the opportunity to ask you questions as 17 A. Okav. 18 well. 18 Q. Whole nine yards. Fair enough? A. Okay. A. Yep. 19 19 20 Q. If there's any question that any lawyer 20 Q. Okay. How are you currently employed? asks you, that you don't understand, please tell us 21 A. I am a police officer with the City of 22 that. I promise you, you won't offend me. You Manchester. won't offend her. We'd rather you understand the 23 Q. How long have you been a police officer 24 with the City of Manchester? 24 question. 25 A. Since 2015. 25 A. Okay. 8 1 Q. What are your duties and responsibilities, Q. Yes. 2 as a police officer with the City of Manchester? A. Yeah. Correct. 3 A. I go out, write tickets, answer calls of So patrolling traffic, responding to 4 service. I'm currently assigned the CRU unit, which calls? 5 is community response unit. I have yet to work that A. Patrolling -- yes. position, due to staffing. But once I'm on that, Q. Those kinds of things. What is your 7 I'll be doing fugitive arrests and any work that the academic background? detectives will need help with. A. I have an associates degree in criminal Q. Was the role, that you described, the role justice from St. Charles Community College. I went 10 that you performed on October 22nd of 2022? In 10 to the police academy there as well. I also have a other words, general duties? 11 Class A license. Q. When did you obtain your associate's 12 A. No, it was not. 12 13 Q. What was the -- what were your general 13 degree? 14 duties and responsibilities on that day? 14 A. I want to say 2012. 15 A. On that day, I was there basically, to Q. And when did you obtain your law 16 keep the peace. Originally, it came out as my call, enforcement license? but I was on traffic that day. So Officer Gerholdt 17 A. That was in 2013. 18 Q. Have you ever been licensed as a law took responsibility and was the first one on call. 18 19 Q. So we'll get into the details of what 19 enforcement officer anywhere outside of the State of 20 20 happened that day, but just more broadly speaking, Missouri? 21 21 was your position, that you have with the police 22 department, on that day, just basically a general, Q. Have you ever performed any sort of law 23 23 enforcement work outside the State of Missouri? on-call police officer? A. Yeah. Like there -- if I was doing any 24 25 Q. And that includes any sort of military 25 other day?

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

10

Q. Meaning you made more money? 1 service. 2 2 A. Made more money. Yes. If I got paid what A. Well -- oh, I guess, military, you could 3 3 I did now, I would still be in Pagedale. say yes. 4 Q. Were you a military police officer? Q. Okay. As a law enforcement officer, A. No. No. But military work. I had signed 5 5 performing any function, would you agree with me 6 up for the National Guard. that it's important to be thorough in your job? 6 7 7 Q. I got you. In the capacity of your A. I agree. 8 service in the military, did you perform any sort of Q. Fair? 9 law enforcement function? A. Yes. 10 Q. Keep an open mind? 10 A. No. 11 A. Yes. 11 Okay. So the only law enforcement Q. Follow all leads? 12 function you've ever performed is as a Missouri 12 13 police officer? 13 A. Yes. 14 14 A. Yes. Q. Not rush to judgment, until you have all 15 Q. And has it always been with the Manchester relevant evidence? 16 Police Department? 16 A. Yes. 17 17 A. No. Q. And be honest and accurate, in any reports 18 18 Q. Where were you, prior to Manchester? or affidavits, anything along those lines, that you 19 A. City of Pagedale, for a year and a half. 19 20 Q. And approximately when was that? 20 A. Yes. Ballpark. 21 Q. As a law enforcement officer in 21 22 A. I'd say 2013 until '15. 22 Manchester, would you agree with me that you have a 23 number of law enforcement tools at your disposal to Q. Why did you leave Pagedale and go to 23 Manchester? 24 use in certain cases? 24 A. We do. 25 25 A. Money. The money.

> 11 12

MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, vague. You can 1 answer.

Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) What kind of tools do you have, to use in an investigation, when it's 5 appropriate?

A. When it's appropriate, I'd say we have the detective bureau. We've got surrounding agencies, that have drones, to help with missing children and so on. We have the SWAT team that we can call. We 10 have the FBI that we could call. We have ICE,

immigration, who we could call, if we need help with 12 anything.

13 Q. You have the ability, as a law enforcement officer, to apply for and if approved, execute

search warrants, correct? 16

A. Yes.

17 Q. You have the ability to attempt to conduct

witness interviews, correct? 18

19

22

25

6

7

20 Q. What I mean by that is if someone's

21 willing to voluntarily speak with you.

A. Correct.

23 Q. It happens all the time, correct?

24 A. Correct.

Q. You have the ability to apply for and if

provided, issue subpoenas for documents, correct?

8

9

17

25

3 Q. And where appropriate and I understand that this may not be appropriate, in every case, you have access to forensics and crime laboratories, to 6 do the whole gamut of those kinds of investigations, 7 correct?

A. We don't have access to it. We have to send it off.

10 Q. But -- I understand. In other words, if 11 in a particular case you're working, you want to run 12 fingerprints or run DNA --

13 A. Correct. It all has to be examined and 14 then sent off to scientists and stuff that do that.

15 Q. And the Manchester Police Department does 16 that, in the ordinary course, correct?

A. Correct.

18 Q. Now, would you agree with me that it's 19 only fair to all parties, in any given circumstance, to use all available resources, to make sure that

21 you've investigated any situation fairly and

thoroughly? 22

23 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 24 speculation. You can answer if you know.

A. Can you repeat?

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 5 of 55 PageID #: Doc. #: 39-9

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

13 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Sure. Would you agree

with me that it is only fair to all parties, meaning

a potential suspect, if you're investigating a case,

potential victim, if you're investigating a case, to

use all available resources, to make sure you've

investigated any situation fairly and thoroughly?

MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection.

A. I'm not going to answer that.

9 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) What do you mean you're

10 not going to answer that?

11 A. I mean, I guess you could. Yeah. You could use the resources available, but sometimes

they're not readily available.

Q. Did you personally draft any police

15 reports or affidavits, in connection with this case?

16 A. No.

7

8

19

17 Q. Have you reviewed any police reports that

colleagues prepared, in connection with this case?

20 Q. So just to be clear, are you aware that

21 Officer Gerholdt, if I'm pronouncing that correctly,

prepared a police report and some supplemental

police reports, in this case?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Have you ever read those?

2 Q. Did anyone ever ask you to read them and opine as to whether, in your opinion, they were

14

accurate and consistent with what you observed?

A. No.

6 Q. With respect to police reports, in

general, would you agree with me that it's important

to be accurate and complete? 9

A. Yes.

10 Q. Now, I want to direct your attention to

11 the night of October 22nd of 2022. Do you recall

12 that evening? 13 A. Briefly.

14 Q. Meaning you recall some details, but not

15

16 A. I recall some details, but not all of

17 them.

18 Q. Okay. Were you on duty that evening?

19 A. Yes.

Q. Were you on duty with the Manchester 20

21 Police Department?

22 A. Yes.

23

5

9

12

16

20

22

Q. Did you come into contact with an

24 individual named Amara Elmore?

25 A. Yes.

15 16

Q. Did you also come into contact with an

individual named Steven Mackenzie?

A. Yes.

Q. Where did you come into contact with those

two people?

A. His address.

7 Q. Are you referring to Mr. Thompson's

residence?

A. Yes. Yes.

Q. And Mr. Thompson's house is within the

City of Manchester, Missouri, correct?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. Within your police department's

14 jurisdiction, correct?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Approximately when did you come into

contact with those two individuals. Ms. Elmore and

Mr. Mackenzie? 18

A. I would -- want to say the call came out 19

20 probably 20 -- 2330 or 2230, somewhere around there.

And I was there on scene, after my traffic stop, ten 21

22 minutes later.

Q. So approximately 11:00 p.m. or so? 23

24 A. No. Be 11 -- 11:30.

Q. 11:30 p.m.? 25

1 A. Uh-huh.

Q. Were you -- when you responded to the

scene, were you in a police vehicle?

A. Yes.

Q. A marked police vehicle?

6

7 Q. Were you alone or did you have a partner

8 or someone with you?

A. I was alone.

10 Q. When you arrived on scene, were there any

other law enforcement officers present? 11

A. Yes. Officer Gerholdt was first on scene.

13 Q. When you arrived on scene, were there any

14 law enforcement officers present, other than you and

15 Officer Gerholdt?

A. Not at that time.

17 Q. When you arrived on scene, did you

immediately make contact with Ms. Elmore and/or 18

19 Mr. Mackenzie?

A. I made contact with Officer Gerholdt and

21 he told me the situation at hand.

Q. What did Officer Gerholdt convey to you,

23 when you arrived on scene?

24 A. He conveyed to me the same as what

dispatch said, that Steven and the other female was

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 6 of 55 PageID #: Doc. #: 39-9

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

17 18 1 there, because their dad -- her dad lend -- loaned a door, front door -motorcycle to -- I forgot who --A. Front door.

4

10

17

19

Q. Mr. Thompson? 3

4 A. Mr. Thompson and he didn't return it. And 5 he's had it for six months.

O. And is that all that Officer Gerholdt told 7 you?

8 A. Yes. At the time.

9 Q. What did you do, after speaking with

10 Officer Gerholdt, at the scene?

A. After speaking with him, I stood by. And 11 12 then they just said the same story as

Officer Gerholdt. Went up and knocked on the door.

Q. Let's back up for a second. I'm not

15 trying to put words in your mouth. I want to make

sure I understand exactly what happened.

A. Uh-huh.

18 Q. So if I'm misstating anything, please

19 correct me.

17

20 A. Uh-huh.

21 Q. So my understanding is that after

22 Officer Gerholdt told you what you just testified

23 about --

24 A. Uh-huh.

25 Q. -- Officer Gerholdt went to Mr. Thompson's 3 Q. -- to knock on it?

A. Yep.

Q. And then you spoke personally with

Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie?

A. Not -- they were just -- I didn't speak with them personally. I stood there and they were 9 talking back and forth.

Q. To each other?

A. Correct. 11

12 Q. And what, if anything, did you hear, in

13 that conversation that they were having with each

14 other?

15 A. Just that he had her dad's motorcycle. 16 That is the only thing that I retained from that.

Q. Did you say anything to Ms. Elmore or

18 Mr. Mackenzie?

A. No.

20 Q. To this day, have you ever spoken with an

21 individual named Nathan Rench?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Prior to responding to Mr. Thompson's

24 house, on October 22nd of 2022, what, if any,

documents did you see, in connection with this

19 20

motorcycle?

A. The first -- the first time or second

time? Because there was two times I was called there.

Q. The first time. 5

A. The first time, I just seen the female

7 hand Officer Gerholdt the title.

Q. And when you say a title, are you referring --

10 A. A Missouri title.

Q. Are you referring to a purported title

12 that the female, Ms. Elmore, represented to

Officer Gerholdt was the title for the bike?

14 A. Correct.

15 MS. ROBERTSON: Sorry. Did you hear that

he asked you prior to your arrival? Is that what

you asked?

18

20

MR. GELFAND: Yes.

19 A. So before I arrived there?

Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Yes.

21 A. No. No.

22 Q. Okay. When did you see Ms. Elmore hand a

copy of the purported title to the motorcycle, to

Officer Gerholdt?

25 A. When Officer Gerholdt couldn't get anybody to respond to the door at Mr. Thompson's house.

Q. Okay. Let's back up for a second. So you

watched Officer Gerholdt essentially knock on the

front door --5

A. Correct.

Q. -- or ring the doorbell? Did you go up to 6 the door with him? 7

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. Did you knock on the door?

10

11 Q. But you were there when -- I don't care

12 who did the knocking, but you were both knocking at

13 the door?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. And did you announce yourself as police?

16

Q. Was anyone home? 17

18 A. No.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. The lights were on, but no one answered

21

22 Q. Okay. How long did you wait to determine

23 that no one was home?

24 A. I'd say approximately a minute or two.

Q. When you -- is it fair to say you and

25

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 7 of 55 PageID #:

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

21 22 Officer Gerholdt concluded that nobody was home, that nobody was home, meaning the homeowner wasn't meaning Mr. Thompson wasn't home? home --3 3 A. Correct. Q. Did you know who the owner of the house 4 4 Q. -- what, if anything, did you do? 5 5 A. What did we do after that? was? 6 6 Q. Yes. A. No. Not at the time. 7 Q. Had you ever come into contact with A. Officer Gerholdt went back and made Mr. Thompson? contact with the two that were standing out there. A. Before? No. They then informed him that the motorcycle was in Q. Had you ever come into contact with the back yard. They could see it from the street. 10 10 11 Q. And then what did you do? When you say 11 Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie, before that night? 12 12 they informed him, did you observe this? 13 13 A. Yes. Yes. Q. To the best of your knowledge, had you 14 14 ever come into contact with Nathan Rench, before Q. So you could hear it? that night? 15 A. I could hear it. Yes. Yes. A. Never. Q. Where are you-all standing? 16 16 17 A. On the side. Like in the front yard, next 17 Q. To this day, have you ever spoken with 18 Nathan Rench? 18 to the sidewalk. 19 A. Never. 19 Q. What, if anything, do you do, at that point, when they say that they can see the 20 Q. The house that you responded to, was that 20 1209 Cottagemill Drive? 21 motorcycle? 21 22 A. I follow Officer Gerholdt, as he continues 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. In Manchester, Missouri 63021? 23 his investigation, to go to the side of the house, 24 A. Yes. 24 to see if he can observe the motorcycle. 25 25 Q. When you and Officer Gerholdt concluded Q. When you say the side of the house, is 23 24 same time, because we still don't know if anybody's there a driveway? A. Yes. There's a driveway that leads ---- we're not getting anybody to answer the door at leads up and I guess around to the backyard. the house. So I'm still keeping a visual of the Q. Are there any vehicles in the driveway? house, to see if anybody's looking out of any of the There was a Jeep Wrangler in the driveway. windows or if someone says hey, I'm here. Α. Q. What, if any, investigative work did you 6 So Officer Gerholdt approaches from the left side. I approach from the right side. Then do, to determine the ownership of the Jeep Wrangler? 7 the other two go behind Officer Gerholdt and they A. I don't -- I can't recall. Q. So as you -- let's back up, for a second. point to where the motorcycle is. Who walks in -- does anybody walk into 10 Q. And the motorcycle is in like a gated back Mr. Thompson's back yard? 11 vard, correct? 11 12 A. Yes. 12 A. Open gated back yard. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. Who walks first? 13 Q. We'll get to that, in a second. But the 14 A. Officer Gerholdt. 14 back yard has a -- and I'll show you pictures, in a 15 Q. So Officer Gerholdt walks into the back 15 few minutes. But the back yard, based on what you vard. Where are Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie, at observed, has a gated tall privacy fence around the perimeter, correct? 17 that time? 17 18 18 A. Correct. A. They're following behind him and then me. 19 19 Q. Okay. So if there's a line, so to speak, Q. Okay. And just to be clear, so that we're it's Mr. Gerholdt, Ms. Elmore, Mr. Mackenzie, and getting our bearings, the motorcycle is fairly deep then you, Mr. Cockrell? 21 21 into that back yard, correct? 22 22 A. Correct. A. I would sav --23 Q. But you're all walking together? Meaning, 23 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for you're all walking at the same time? 24 speculation. 25 A. I would -- I wouldn't necessarily say the 25 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Where was the

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

26 25 motorcycle, in connection with the back yard? Q. Okay. Did you have -- were you armed? Approximately how far was it from where you entered 2 3 Q. Okay. With a sidearm? 3 the back yard from the driveway? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for A. Yes. 5 Q. And was Officer Cockrell similarly dressed speculation. 6 -- I'm sorry -- Officer Gerholdt similarly dressed, A. I can't recall on that. 6 7 7 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it 2 feet? but obviously with his name instead of your name? A. I can't -- I don't -- I don't -- I'm not 9 Q. Were you wearing -- when you arrived at good at math, so I can't measure. I mean, I didn't -- I could see it from -- with the gate being open. 10 the scene, did you utilize a police vehicle with a 10 11 11 Q. Okay. When you responded to the house, dash cam? were you wearing a police uniform, for lack of a 12 A. Yes. 12 13 better way of putting it? 13 Q. Do you know what a dash cam is? 14 A. Uh-huh. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Were you wearing similar to what you're 15 Q. What is the purpose of a dash cam? A. To record -wearing now, which is a walkie-talkie --16 16 17 MS. ROBERTSON: You can answer. 17 A. Yes. Q. -- notification that says police, your 18 18 A. To record your traffic stops and whenever name, Cockrell? 19 19 you turn on your emergency lights and sirens. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) When you responded to 20 A. Uh-huh. 20 Q. Yes? 21 this scene, did you turn on your emergency lights 21 22 22 and sirens? A. Yes. A. I did not. 23 23 Q. And did you have a --24 A. The only thing that was different was I 24 Q. So to the best of your knowledge, was the had my DSN right here, instead of my last name. dash cam enabled, in connection with this particular 27 28 call? 1 O. (BY MR. GELFAND) The point is, it creates 1 an accurate memorialization of what happens, 2 Q. When you were on scene at Mr. Thompson's 3 correct? 4 A. Uh-huh. house, were you wearing a body camera? 5 O. Yes? 5 A. Yes. Q. And what is a body camera? A. Yes. A. It records interactions with people on 7 Q. Okay. Did you utilize a body cam, when traffic stops, as well as when you're on different you responded to Mr. Thompson's house, on October 9 22nd of 2022? Q. What is your understanding of the purpose 10 A. No. 10 11 of a body camera? 11 Q. Were you wearing a body cam? 12 12 A. My understanding is to record everything. 13 Q. And is it fair to say that the benefit of 13 Q. Is it your testimony that you just didn't recording everything is it protects police officers 14 turn it on? 15 from any claims that something that didn't happen A. Yes. 16 16 did happen? Q. Why? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 17 A. Because I don't -- at the time, there were 17 certain calls that we had to -- we could use, to speculation. 18 18 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that fair? turn on our body camera or we could not turn on our 19 19 body camera. And I wasn't primary officer of that 20 A. Yes. call. So I did not utilize the body cam, because I 21 Q. And it protects suspects from claiming 21 something did happen that a police officer may say wasn't doing the talking and interaction with other 22 23 didn't happen, for example? people. 24 MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. 24 Q. Just to be clear, your testimony, under 25 oath, is that you were wearing a body camera, on A. Yes.

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 9 of 55 PageID #: Doc. #: 39-9

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

(Pages 29 to 32) 29 30 that evening, but you did not record? 1 driveway? 2 A. Correct. I did not engage it. A. What happened, after that? 3 Q. How does one engage a body cam that you Officer Gerholdt went up to the motorcycle. He 4 were wearing? looked at the VIN of the motorcycle and the same VIN 5 A. There's a front button on the -- there's a coincided with what the female had provided him, button on the front of it. You push it and a red 6 prior to us going back there. Officer Gerholdt then 7 light starts flashing. ran the VIN through our dispatching system, which Q. So if a red light is on the body camera, came back to Nathan Rench was the registered owner it means it's recording? 9 of the motorcycle. 10 A. Correct. 10 Q. Was anybody on scene representing himself 11 O. And is there a green light? 11 or herself to be Nathan Rench? 12 A. Yes. 12 A. His daughter was there. Q. What, if anything, does the green light 13 13 Q. That wasn't my question. Was anybody on 14 indicate? 14 scene representing himself or herself to be A. Just standby. 15 Nathan Rench? Q. Meaning it's on? 16 16 A. No. 17 A. Yes. 17 Q. When you say his daughter was there, who 18 Q. So it's not recording? 18 are you referring to? A. Correct. 19 19 A. I can't think of her name. 20 Q. So if both the red light and the green 20 Q. Are you referring to Ms. Elmore? light are on, it's recording? 21 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 22 Q. Amara Elmore? 23 Q. What happened, based on what you observed, 23 A. Yes. 24 when you-all, as you were describing, a few minutes 24 Q. What, if any, investigative work did you ago, entered Mr. Thompson's back yard, through the 25 do, to determine whether Ms. Elmore was actually 31 32 Nathan Rench's daughter? interrogatories, in connection with this case? A. I did none. A. Yes. 3 Q. So the entire basis of your conclusion Q. Prior to responding to under oath 3 that she was his daughter was that she told you she interrogatories in this case, did you understand was his daughter? that you were signing these, under penalties of A. And she provided us with a title, a 6 6 perjury? 7 Missouri title, for the motorcycle. 7 A. Yes. Q. Does the title indicate a familial Q. And did you take great care, to make sure relationship between Ms. Elmore and Mr. Rench? that every interrogatory answer, that you provided, 10 10 was accurate, to the best of your knowledge? Q. Is Ms. Elmore's name anywhere on the title 11 A. To the best of my knowledge. Yes. 12 that she provided you? 12 Q. In your under oath interrogatory, in 13 13 response to a slightly different question, at what A. No. 14 Q. Was Mr. Mackenzie's name anywhere on the 14 time exactly and for how long did you enter and 15 title that she provided you? 15 remain on the plaintiff's property, you estimated, 16 16 quote, approximately 20 to 25 minutes. A. No. 17 Q. How long were you in the back yard? 17 MS. ROBERTSON: Justin, can you tell me MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 18 18 what interrogatory answer you're referring to? 19 19 MR. GELFAND: Sure. Number 15. speculation. 20 20 A. I don't recall. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can look at that, if 21 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Do you -- do you readily 21 you'd like. 22 22 acknowledge that you stepped foot in Mr. Thompson's A. Yeah. Twenty to -- for the whole time of 23 23

24

Q. Yeah. I understand. How much of the time

that you were on scene of the call, proportionally,

back yard?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. Did you respond to under oath

24

25

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 10 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

33 34 1 were you in the back yard? A. I don't recall on that. 2 Q. Where was the VIN? 2 A. I'd say five minutes, if that. Five or 3 A. I think he -- he read the VIN off the 3 ten minutes. Q. What did you personally do, as opposed to 4 front of the forks. Officer Gerholdt, when you were in the back yard? 5 5 Q. Did you physically watch -- well, scratch 6 6 7 7 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, vague, calls At any time, when you were in the back 8 yard or able to see the back yard, was anyone for speculation. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the 9 physically present, other than you, question. Do you understand the question? Officer Gerholdt, Ms. Elmore, and Mr. Mackenzie? 10 10 11 11 A. Yeah. I was basically there, just to keep MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, compound, it the peace. There was two of them and one of him. 12 calls for speculation, vague. You can answer if you 13 So basically, wherever he went, I followed, just to make sure he was safe and that nothing got out of 14 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Do you understand the question? 15 order. 15 16 Q. Was it fair to say that based on what you 16 A. I cannot -- not to my knowledge. 17 17 observed, Officer Gerholdt was investigating the Q. Let me ask it a different way. During 18 ownership of the motorcycle? 18 your entire interactions involving the motorcycle, 19 A. Yes. did you see anyone in Mr. Thompson's back yard, with 20 Q. You testified, a few minutes ago, that you 20 respect to this first time that you went to observed Officer Gerholdt check the VIN number on 21 21 Mr. Thompson's house? 22 22 the motorcycle? A. No. 23 23 A. Yes. Q. Other than the four people, including 24 24 yourself, that you've identified? Q. Did Officer Gerholdt, based on what you 25 25 observed, physically touch the motorcycle? A. No. 35 36 Q. What, if anything, did you say from the circumstances are? back yard, to determine whether anybody was home? A. I do. A. Manchester Police Department. 3 Q. Describe what you believe the exigent Q. What, if anything, did Officer Gerholdt circumstances were, such that you needed to enter say in your presence? the back yard, without a search warrant. 6 A. He announced the same, before running the A. I'd say to check and see if the motorcycle 7 7 was there, which you could see from, I'd say, when VIN. Q. Did Ms. Elmore or Mr. Mackenzie provide you were halfway on the sidewalk, you could see it. anything to law enforcement, in your presence at the So why would someone make that story up? 10 house, other than this purported title? 10 Q. So just to be clear, is that the totality MS. ROBERTSON: Object to form, calls for 11 of what you're describing were exigent 12 speculation. 12 circumstances? 13 A. I don't recall. 13 A. I'd say yes. 14 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Did they provide any 14 Q. Did you enter the back yard, to render 15 15 emergency assistance to an injured person? pieces of paper? 16 A. Not that I seen, besides the title. 16 A. No. 17 17 Q. When you entered Mr. Thompson's back yard, Q. Did you enter the back yard, to render did you have a search warrant? 18 emergency assistance to protect somebody from 18 19 19 imminent injury? 20 20 Q. When you entered Mr. Thompson's back yard, A. No. 21 21 did you believe there were exigent circumstances? Q. Would you agree with me that when you and MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a 22 22 Officer Gerholdt entered Mr. Thompson's back yard, 23 legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. 23 you did not have Mr. Thompson's consent? 24 24 A. Yes. A. Yes. 25 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Do you know what exigent 25 Q. Would you agree with me that if you had

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 11 of 55 PageID Doc. #: 39-9

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

(Pages 37 to 40)

37 38 probable cause to search the back yard, you had investigating, if you were not there for a criminal enough time to apply for a search warrant? 2 call? 3 3 MS. ROBERTSON: Object to speculation --A. I was not investigating anything. 4 4 object to form, calls for speculation, assumes facts Q. What was Officer Gerholdt, as you 5 5 not in evidence. You can answer if you know. understood it, investigating as you --6 MS. ROBERTSON: Object --A. Repeat. 6 7 7 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) I'm focusing solely on Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) -- previously testified, the timing issue. if he was not there for a criminal call? 9 A. So repeat the question. MS. ROBERTSON: Object to form, calls for 10 Q. Would you agree with me that if you had 10 speculation, assumes facts not in evidence. You can 11 probable cause to search the back yard, you had 11 answer if you know. 12 enough time to apply for a search warrant? 12 A. He was investigating, to determine the 13 MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. 13 ownership of the motorcycle. 14 14 A. Do I have to answer that one? Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) For what purpose? Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Yes. 15 15 MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. 16 A. I do? I don't -- can't say I agree or 16 A. To see if Raymond -- what was his last name again? I keep -- for some reason --17 disagree on that, because we wasn't there for a 17 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Thompson. 18 criminal call. So --18 19 19 Q. Let's back up. When you say you weren't A. Thompson was the owner of it. 20 there for a criminal call --20 Q. What was your understanding, as to why it A. Meaning Mr. Thompson was not a criminal 21 was important to determine -- for law enforcement to 21 22 22 involved in that case. determine who the owner of the motorcycle was? 23 23 A. I'd say because if they were the owners of Q. We can agree on that. A. So --24 24 it, that they should have the bike. He shouldn't --25 Q. Were you in -- what were you he shouldn't be hiding it from them. 39 40 Q. And is it fair to say that that was your Q. Okay. I will just ask you purposely and understanding of the function Manchester police was that's fine. There's no issues with that. performing that night? 3 MS. ROBERTSON: I can get you a like copy, right now. A. Yes. Q. In your interrogatories, which I'll just 5 5 MR. GELFAND: Yeah. That's totally fine. mark these as Exhibit 1. 6 6 No. That's totally fine. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) I'm just going to ask 7 (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 was 7 8 marked for identification.) you, just so we have a comprehensive record, did you 9 MS. ROBERTSON: I've got a copy. Here you sign these answers to these interrogatories under 10 go. Well, actually, if you have a spare copy, he'll 10 the penalties of perjury? A. Yes. 11 11 take it. 12 MR. GELFAND: Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And you recall doing that, correct? 13 13 MS. ROBERTSON: That way, I can just keep 14 14 Q. Okay. Now, in your interrogatories, all the exhibits. 15 15 MR. GELFAND: You're good. Interrogatory No. 5, you wrote -- if you go to the 16 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) I'm showing you what next page -- this defendant, meaning you, did not 17 I've marked as Exhibit 1. Can you tell me if you 17 activate dash or body cameras. recognize Exhibit 1? 18 And that's what you've testified about 18 19 19 A. Yes. today, correct? 20 20 Q. Let me ask you this for a second. The A. Yes. 21 21 Exhibit 1 that we have does not appear to have your Q. Are you familiar with what the Manchester 22 signature on it. Did you actually sign these Police Department does, with respect to body camera 23 interrogatories? footage, when you do activate it, on a call?

24

speculation.

MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for

A. I did. I signed -- I signed it and then I

25

faxed it.

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 12 of 55 PageID Doc. #: 39-9

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

41 42 A. I don't. I don't -- I don't know how long 1 it. So I knew it was recording. it's retained for. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Do you know personally 2 3 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Have you ever had an what happened to that footage? 3 4 instance where you needed to obtain your body camera MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. 5 footage to provide it, for example, to a prosecutor A. No. But see, there's a caveat to it. in a case? Also, when it records -- when it's blinking red, 6 7 7 A. Yes. it's also showing that it's dying. Q. How did you perform that task? Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Have you ever seen body A. I down -- I downloaded it to a CD within 9 camera footage from that evening? 10 10 Q. In your interrogatories, if we go to No. 11 11 Q. And when you say you downloaded it, do you 12 15, please, Page 7. Do you see your answer to No. 12 have access, as a Manchester police officer, to body camera footage? 13 15? 13 14 14 A. Yes. 15 15 Q. And when it is appropriate or necessary to Q. We asked you, if you did enter plaintiff's 16 do so, is it your job, as the officer, to personally back yard without a warrant, on what legal authority, if any, did you rely to enter plaintiff's 17 download it? 18 A. Correct. For evidence. Yes. 18 property. 19 Q. To the best of your knowledge, did 19 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a 20 Officer Gerholdt utilize his dash camera or body 20 legal conclusion. camera, that evening? 21 21 MR. GELFAND: Well, I didn't even ask the 22 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 22 23 23 speculation. You can answer if you know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) But is that what we A. I thought he had his -- his body camera 24 asked you? 24 25 on. I seen -- when I approached him, I seen red on A. You asked me that earlier? 43 44 Q. No. Is that what I asked you on 15C? Did Q. Now, in your interrogatory responses, you I just read that fairly? I can read it again. reference two general order numbers. In particular, No. 11, Page 6. Do you see that? 3 A. Yeah. Q. Did I ask you, if you did enter Q. Did you represent, in your interrogatory, plaintiff's back yard without a warrant, on what 5 that your conduct on October 22nd and 23rd, going legal authority, if any, did you rely to enter plaintiff's property? Did I just read that into the morning hours, of 2022, was consistent with 7 7 correctly? General Order Nos. 441 and 456? A. No. There's nothing on here about a 9 A. Yes. 10 warrant, in No. 15. 10 Q. Are you familiar with General Order No. 441? Q. If you go to 15C. 11 11 12 A. Oh, that one. Okay. I --12 A. I am. Q. What is a general order? 13 Q. Did I read that correctly? 13 14 A. Yes. Yes. 14 A. A general order is practices and orders, 15 15 that are followed by the department. Q. Okay. 16 A. The bottom portion. You did. 16 Q. What, if any, training do you receive, 17 Q. And if we look at your answer to C, you 17 with respect to general orders? 18 wrote, under oath, quote, pursuant to the community A. You do not -- you don't receive training, 18 caretaker exception to the warrant requirement, in 19 for general orders. It depends on which general 19 order to keep the peace, during a self-help order it is. If it's spike strips, you will receive 21 repossession and to ensure officer safety, end 21 training on that. If it's firearms, you will

22

23

25

receive training on that.

24 General Order No. 441?

Q. Did you receive any training, at all, on

A. Can I see which one 441 is?

quote. Did I read that correctly?

Q. Is that a truthful answer?

A. Yes.

A. Yes.

23

24

25

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 13 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

45 46 1 Q. Sure. Q. And this was effective in June of 2020, 2 2 MR. GELFAND: Let's mark it as Exhibit 2, correct? 3 3 A. Correct. please. Q. If we look at the Page 2, there's a 4 (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 was 4 5 5 marked for identification.) subsection entitled Policy. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Can you tell me if you MS. ROBERTSON: I'm sorry. I don't see 6 6 7 7 have Exhibit 2 in front of you? I'm just asking that, Justin. I must not have this page. 441? What's at the top of your page? Is it -- or is it 9 9 Bates No. 151? A. Yes. MR. GELFAND: 819. Q. Okay. Is Exhibit 2 General Order No. 441, 10 10 11 THE WITNESS: I don't have that either. 11 that you referenced in Interrogatory Response No. 12 12 MS. ROBERTSON: You know what? I -- I --13 A. Yes. 13 yeah. 14 MR. GELFAND: I've got -- is that not on Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 2? 14 15 A. Yes. 15 there? 16 Q. Had you received a copy of Exhibit 2, 16 THE WITNESS: Nope. prior to responding to Mr. Thompson's house on MR. GELFAND: Sorry. I think that might 17 17 October -- in October of 2022? 18 18 have just been a photocopy problem. 19 A. Yes. 19 MS. ROBERTSON: We can -- we can fix it. 20 Q. Now, if we look at Exhibit 2, it says, the 20 Let's see -- actually, you know what? Can we just purpose of this general order is to establish 21 take a quick break, because --21 procedures to follow when property interests are in 22 MR. GELFAND: Sure. MS. ROBERTSON: -- I need to use the dispute or this department is notified of vehicle 23 repossessions. Did I read that correctly? 24 restroom and we'll run you a copy. 24 25 A. Correct. 25 MR. GELFAND: Yeah. That's fine. 47 48 MS. ROBERTSON: Does that work? 1 1 A. Correct. 2 MR. GELFAND: Yeah. Q. And then just under that, there's the policy itself. Do you see that? (WHEREIN, a brief break was taken.) Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Officer, we're back from A. Correct. a break. One thing I meant to tell you, at the 5 Q. And the policy says, the officer should beginning of this depo, is if, at any time, you need not attempt to take personal property away from one 6 to take a break, it's not a speed contest. It's party and give it to another, correct? 8 totally fine. Just say so. A. Correct. 9 9 A. Okav. Q. Then we see procedure. Do you see that? 10 Q. If there's a question that's pending, I A. Yep. 11 would just ask that you answer it. But at any time, 11 Q. And could you read what A says? A. When property is in dispute, the officer you're welcome to take five, ten minutes, whatever 12 you need. 13 should advise -- advise and permit the courts to 14 determine their respective of rights to the A. Okay. 14 15 Q. Now, I want to show you Exhibit 2. And 15 property. The officer should advise the party in I'm going to back up, for a second, so we have a possession of the property not to dispute of it, 17 17 until the courts have resolved the matter. Exhibit 2 is General Order No. 441, 18 18 Q. And it says not to dispose of it, correct? A. Yep. 19 19 effective 5/26 of 2020, correct? 20 20 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And so is it fair to say what that 21 Q. Okay. And if we look at the top of 21 says is --22 Exhibit 2, it says, the purpose of this general A. Not to dispute. Yeah. order is to establish procedures to follow, when 23 Q. Yeah. Is it fair to say that what that property interests are in dispute or this department 24 says is that the officer should permit the courts to 25 is notified of vehicle repossessions, correct? determine who owns the property, if there's a

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 14 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

			15 (14966 17 60 52)
	49		50
1	dispute?	1	the order. Officers are advised to be aware of
2	MS. ROBERTSON: I'd object to form.		court orders of suspicious nature. Did I read that
3	The the exhibit speaks for itself. Calls for	3	correctly?
4	speculation.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that how you	5	Q. And in this case, of course, as you just
6	understood the policy?	6	testified, there was no court order, correct?
7	A. Yeah.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay.	8	Q. Just to be clear, when we go back to that
9	A. If it's a repossession.	9	night, in October of 2022, at Mr. Thompson's house,
10	Q. Okay. And in this particular instance,	10	when you arrived on the scene, before you went into
11	just factually speaking, to the best of your	11	
12	knowledge, was there a court determination that	12	property at issue was a Harley Davidson motorcycle?
13	Ms. Elmore owned this property?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. No.	14	Q. Would you agree with me that that
15	Q. Was there a court determination that	15	property, the Harley Davidson motorcycle, was parked
16	Mr. Mackenzie owned this property?	16	inside the back yard of Mr. Thompson's house?
17	A. No.	17	A. I would say it was inside the fence,
18	Q. Was there a court determination that	18	inside the back yard. Yeah.
19	Mr. Rench owned this property?	19	Q. It was on Mr. Thompson's private property,
20	A. No.	20	correct?
21	Q. Could you please tell me if I'm reading B	21	A. Correct.
22	correctly? If one party has a court order, which	22	Q. Okay. Inside the the privacy fence
23	appears to give that party the right to possession	23	that you previously described, correct?
24	of the property, that order should be enforced only	24	A. Correct.
25	by the official specifically directed to do so in	25	Q. Okay. And so prior to you-all arriving at
	F.1		50
	51		52
1		1	
1 2	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the	1 2	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep.
_	the house, would you agree with me, to state the		Q. And Mr. Rench?
2	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection,	2 3 4	Q. And Mr. Rench?A. Yep.Q. Is that Nathan Rench?A. Yep.
2	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle?	2	 Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you
2 3 4 5 6	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in
2 3 4 5	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know.	2 3 4 5	 Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property?
2 3 4 5 6	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for
2 3 4 5 6 7	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the motorcycle?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Ms. Elmore had a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the motorcycle? A. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Ms. Elmore had a legal interest in this property?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the motorcycle? A. Yeah. MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Ms. Elmore had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the motorcycle? A. Yeah. MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Ms. Elmore had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. She was the one that provided the title.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the motorcycle? A. Yeah. MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Ms. Elmore had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. She was the one that provided the title. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the motorcycle? A. Yeah. MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the question. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Ms. Elmore had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. She was the one that provided the title. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How did she physically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the motorcycle? A. Yeah. MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the question. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you believe there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Ms. Elmore had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. She was the one that provided the title. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How did she physically provide what you're calling a title?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the motorcycle? A. Yeah. MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the question. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you believe there was a property dispute?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Ms. Elmore had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. She was the one that provided the title. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How did she physically provide what you're calling a title? A. I don't I don't remember everything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the motorcycle? A. Yeah. MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the question. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you believe there was a property dispute? A. I'd say yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Ms. Elmore had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. She was the one that provided the title. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How did she physically provide what you're calling a title? A. I don't I don't remember everything. But I remember her pulling pulling it out of her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the motorcycle? A. Yeah. MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the question. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you believe there was a property dispute? A. I'd say yes. Q. Between whom?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Ms. Elmore had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. She was the one that provided the title. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How did she physically provide what you're calling a title? A. I don't I don't remember everything. But I remember her pulling pulling it out of her Ford Escape. I think she went into her purse, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	the house, would you agree with me, to state the obvious, that Mr. Thompson was in possession of the Harley Davidson motorcycle? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't know. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was it at his house? A. It was at his house. Correct. But I don't know why it was at his house. Q. And that's a different question. I'm just asking factually speaking, whether he should have been or shouldn't have been, would you agree with me that he was physically in possession of the motorcycle? A. Yeah. MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the question. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you believe there was a property dispute? A. I'd say yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	Q. And Mr. Rench? A. Yep. Q. Is that Nathan Rench? A. Yep. Q. Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Mr. Mackenzie had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. A. I don't have I didn't I mean, I spoke with him for like ten seconds. I couldn't tell you. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was there any basis, at all, in which you concluded that Ms. Elmore had a legal interest in this property? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. She was the one that provided the title. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How did she physically provide what you're calling a title? A. I don't I don't remember everything. But I remember her pulling pulling it out of her

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 15 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

53 54 title. MS. ROBERTSON: Object to 1 2 Q. Was it a physical piece of paper? form, assumes facts not in evidence, misstates the A. Physical document. 3 3 evidence, calls for a legal conclusion, calls for 4 Q. And who did she hand that document to? speculation. A. Officer Gerholdt. 5 5 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the Q. Where is that document? 6 6 question. A. I don't have it. I don't -- I don't know 7 A. No. I didn't make the call. Q. Who did? if it was seized for evidence. Could be seized for 8 evidence or what. 9 A. It would have been Sergeant Waters, who Q. Do you know? 10 10 Officer Gerholdt was on the phone with. Q. Was Sergeant Waters physically present?A. The second time. Yes. 11 A. I do not know. 11 Q. Did you personally see the physical piece 12 12 13 of paper? 13 Q. I'm talking about the first time. 14 A. Nope. 14 A. I seen the color of it. And I could tell it was a Missouri title. It was green. 15 Q. And just to be clear, before there was a Q. Did you personally review the document at 16 second time, the motorcycle left the premises, 17 the scene? 17 right? 18 A. No. 18 A. Correct. Q. Have you ever personally reviewed the 19 19 Q. Okay. So I want to stay focused, for 20 document? 20 now -- we'll get to the second time. But I want to stay focused right now on this incident where 21 A. No. 22 Q. Did you and Officer Gerholdt ultimately you-all are in the back yard, with Ms. Elmore and 22 allow Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie to physically 23 Mr. Mackenzie, determining what to do with the take the Harley Davidson away from Mr. Thompson's 24 motorcycle. 24 25 private property? A. Fair enough. 55 56 Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit -- what I'm report date -going to mark as Exhibit 4, please. A. The report date --3 (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 was 3 Q. -- would be the following morning. But it 4 marked for identification.) was essentially just after midnight. 5 A. Yep. 5 MS. ROBERTSON: Did we -- we're skipping Q. Right? 6 6 3? 7 7 MR. GELFAND: Yeah. We'll get to 3. A. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. Now, you testified that you have MS. ROBERTSON: Okay. 9 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Do you have Exhibit 4 in not actually reviewed this report; is that correct? A. Yes. 10 front of you? 10 11 A. I do. 11 Q. I just want to ask you a couple questions, Q. Does Exhibit 4 appear to be a Manchester 12 though. 13 Police Department Investigative, slash, Offense 13 A. Uh-huh. 14 Report? 14 Q. If we go to page -- do you see the Bates 15 15 A. Yes. numbers at the bottom? Meaning just numbers --16 Q. And do you see where it references the 16 A. Yep. Thompson residence at 1209 Cottagemill Drive in 17 Q. -- that are on here. It says MPD No. 3? Manchester, Missouri? 18 A. Yep. Want me to go to 3? Q. Yep. A. Okay. 19 19 A. Yes. 20 20 Q. And the date that you're testifying about, 21 21 10/22/22? Q. Do you see where it references a 2003 22 A. You said ten what? 22 Harley Davidson trike, then a VIN number 23 23 Q. 22/22. It says occurred on. specifically identified there, beginning with 1HD? 24 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And if there may be some confusion, the 25 Q. Black in color?

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 16 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

58 57 1 A. Yes. had to be started with a key. It was an older 2 Q. To state the obvious, was that the Harley motorcycle. It wasn't like a newer one -- newer 3 Davidson that was parked in Mr. Thompson's privacy vehicle, where it would be push to start. MR. GELFAND: I'm going to mark this -gated enclosure? 5 I'm going to complicate things, for a second, A. Yes. Q. Now, this says, and I recognize that because I have some other premarked exhibits. Let's 6 7 Mr. Gerholdt was the author of this, not you, that mark this as Exhibit 15. 8 Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie, quote, were in (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 15 was possession of the motorcycle key, end quote. Do you 9 marked for identification.) 10 MS. ROBERTSON: Fifteen? know anything about that? 10 A. I think that's -- they had to use 11 MR. GELFAND: Yeah. 11 12 12 something to start it. MS. ROBERTSON: Okav. 13 13 Q. Did you ever see a key? Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Do you have Exhibit 15 14 A. I did not. I didn't see one, but it was 14 in front of you? 15 the only way that the motorcycle could have been A. Yes. 16 Q. Have you ever seen this part of the 16 started. motorcycle, in particular, the ignition? 17 Q. Are you aware that some vehicles don't 17 actually need a key, to be started? 18 18 A. No. To me, it looks like gas, on and off. 19 19 A. Yeah. Q. If I were to represent to you that this is Q. Do you know whether this motorcycle is 20 20 a toggle switch, that starts the motorcycle, in lieu 21 started with a key or a toggle switch? 21 of a key, would you have any reason to disagree with 22 22 A. I'd sav a kev. 23 Q. Based on what? 23 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 24 A. Based on just looking -- it was dark. 24 speculation. You can answer if you know. 25 A. I guess it possibly could be used as one. Just looking at it from the -- from the distance, it 59 60 1 I've never seen one. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Did you bother looking MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, that night? 3 mischaracterizes the evidence, assumes facts not in MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, argumentative. 5 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that what happened or MR. GELFAND: I'll rephrase it. 5 is that not what happened? 6 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Did you look that night? A. Yes. A. No. Q. If we look back at the police report, Q. Okay. Now, if we look back to Exhibit 2, Exhibit 4, it says, Mackenzie then started the that's General Order No. 441. If we go to the second page, Bates No. 151. It says, repossessions vehicle with the key and was able to get it out may legally be carried out, based on the terms of through the open gate and around the Jeep. 12 To be clear, you don't know whether that's 12 the lender's contract or with a court order. 13 true or not true, as far as how Mackenzie started 13 To be clear, would you agree with me that 14 the motorcycle, correct? 14 no one ever claimed there was a lender's contract? 15 15 A. Correct. A. Yes. Q. And you previously testified that there 16 16 Q. The next sentence says, Elmore and Mackenzie were then allowed to leave. Did I read 17 was no court order, correct? that correctly? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Would you also agree with me that there 19 A. Yes. 20 O. Is that a true statement? was no traditional company, like a repossession 21 A. Yes. company, performing a repossession? 21 22 22 A. Yes. Q. And to be clear, when you say they were 23 then allowed to leave, meaning they were allowed to 23 Q. Just to be clear, is it your testimony 24 leave with the motorcycle, by Manchester police, 24 that what you did that night and what you observed Officer Gerholdt do that night, was consistent with 25 correct?

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 17 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

61 62 1 General Order No. 441? Q. And by the time that the bike left his 2 A. Yes. property, was it your understanding that 3 Q. Even though you previously agreed with me Mr. Gerholdt has never -- Officer Gerholdt has never that you're supposed to defer to a court, not make a spoken with Mr. Thompson? decision yourself, right? 5 A. My understanding, yes. A. Correct. But not every case or call is 6 Q. So to be clear, did you-all determine 7 going to be in direct with all the policies and ownership of the bike, without ever speaking with procedures. Mr. Thompson? Q. So I want to clarify this, because it's A. I did not determine anything. 9 important. Is it your testimony that what you did 10 10 Q. Did Officer Gerholdt? was consistent with General Order 441? Or that 441 11 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for didn't apply, because of the facts and 12 speculation. 13 circumstances? 13 A. Yes. 14 A. I'd say it was consistent. 14 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And he communicated that 15 Q. How? Based on everything we just talked 15 to you, correct? about? 16 A. Say that again. 17 A. There to keep the peace. I'd say it was Q. And he communicated that to you, correct? 17 18 inconsistent, because -- or it was consistent, Officer Gerholdt told you that, correct? because the owner, the daughter's owner, showed up 19 with a piece of paper, that was stating it was 20 O. Now, if we look at General Order No. 456. 21 theirs. There was nothing that was saying that the 21 MR. GELFAND: I'm going to mark that -bike was supposed to stay where it was at. 22 what are we on, 3? Q. Well, you had never -- by the time the 23 MS. ROBERTSON: I don't think 3's been --24 bike left, had you ever spoken with Mr. Thompson? 24 MR. GELFAND: Yeah. 25 A. I have not -- I did not. 25 (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 was 63 64 1 marked for identification.) Q. Had you been provided a copy of this? Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Can you tell me if you 2 A. Yes. recognize -- well, first of all, do you have Exhibit 3 Q. Prior to that date? A. Yes. 3 in front of you? Q. Okay. This general order number 5 A. I do. Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 3? establishes procedures, according to the purpose, 6 7 for performing vehicle lockouts and towing of A. Yes. 7 Q. What is Exhibit 3? vehicles from the public right-of-way and from 9 A. It's a policy for towed vehicles and private property, as authorized by Missouri state statute: is that correct? 10 vehicle property lockouts. 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And is this the other general order 11 12 number, that you referenced in Interrogatory No. 11? 12 Q. Just to be clear, in this case, were you 13 performing a vehicle lockout? 13 A. Yes. A. No. Q. Was this general order effective June of 14 14 15 15 2020? At least per the document? Q. What is a vehicle lockout? 16 A. A vehicle lockout is when someone locks A. Yes. Q. Meaning that's the date that it went into 17 17 their keys in the car and they call the police effect, correct? 18 department, to help get into it. 18 19 19 Q. In other words, if there's no dispute that A. Correct. 20 Q. And did you have a copy of this, prior to 20 I'm driving my own car and I go to the market --21 21 October of 2022, when you went to Mr. Thompson's A. Correct. 22 22 house? Q. -- and I leave the keys in the car --23 23 A. I did not have it up and looking at it, Q. -- you might be able to assist me in 24 before I went there. No. But I have a copy of it 24 25 on my zip drive. Yes. getting back in the car?

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 18 of 55 PageID

#: 778

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

65 66 1 A. Correct. A. I did not. Q. If we look at Page 4, Bates No. 821, would 2 Q. Were you towing a vehicle from a public 2 3 right-of-way or from private property, in this case? you say -- under V, do you see where it says, if the vehicle owner is not present or aware of when the Q. Did you cause the vehicle to be removed to vehicle is towed, the officer will complete a MPD 5 a garage designated or maintained by the City of 6 Form 003 and forward it to the last known address of 6 7 7 Manchester? the owner. 8 A. Correct. A. No. 9 Q. If we go to policy, on Page 2, Bates No. Q. The truth is, you-all didn't tow the 10 819, do you see where it says, an officer may remove 10 Harley Davidson, correct? or cause to be removed any vehicle from a street, 11 A. No. Q. And to state the obvious, did you complete highway, public or private property, to the nearest 12 13 garage or other place of safety or to a garage 13 a Missouri DOR Form 4569, referenced in Subsection 14 designated or maintained by the City of Manchester, 14 D, which you're supposed to do, when there's an under any of the below described circumstances, inventory made and a vehicle is towed? A. No. after it is determined that there is no other way to 16 safely remove the vehicle. Did I read that 17 Q. Would you agree with me that everything 18 correctly? 18 you did, in this case, was inconsistent with order 19 A. Yes. number 456 or that it wasn't applicable? Q. Would you agree with me that none of that 20 20 A. It was -is what happened here? 21 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection. Hold on. 21 22 22 A. Yes. THE WITNESS: Sorry. 23 Q. Did you complete an MPD Form 003 and 23 MS. ROBERTSON: Assumes facts not in evidence, calls for a legal conclusion, calls for forward the form to Mr. Thompson's last known 24 24 25 address? speculation. You can answer if you know. 67 68 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is it your testimony --A. Uh-huh. I'll rephrase the question. Q. -- it's at a place where I can get it Is it your testimony that you acted immediately, upon delivery of the court order, 3 consistently with General Order No. 456, that we correct? 5 A. Correct. just walked through? 6 MS. ROBERTSON: Object to form, calls for A. Yes. Q. How? 7 a legal conclusion, calls for speculation. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Would you agree with me A. I didn't -- I didn't tow a vehicle. But if I did, that would have been the procedure that I that Mr. Thompson's Harley Davidson was not maintained at a place, when it was removed from his would have went through. property, that law enforcement trusts? 11 Q. So is it your testimony, more accurately, 11 A. I have no idea. 12 that 456 just doesn't apply? 12 13 A. Correct. 13 Q. Do you know where it went? 14 Q. Would you agree with me that when law 14 15 enforcement tows a vehicle, the vehicle ends up in Q. Sitting here today, do you know how long what is effectively a law enforcement tow lot, so it 16 Mr. Thompson had lost his possession and use of the 17 vehicle? 18 A. No. 18 A. I wouldn't say a law enforcement tow yard. 19 19 Q. Did you ever try to find out? I would say a tow yard that we trust and deal with. 20 Q. Let me ask it a different way. If my car 20 21 is towed away --21 Q. Did you ever physically touch the Harley 22 A. Yeah. 22 Davidson, at any time? 23 Q. -- and I believe it's wrong and I get a A. No. 24 Q. At all times that you were at 24 court order saying that I'm entitled to get my car 25 Mr. Thompson's house and dealing with the 25 back --

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 19 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

70 69 1 motorcycle, including in his what I'm calling his A. Yes. back yard, but inside his privacy fence, were you, 2 Q. Effectively decided, on the spot, that 3 at all times, on duty, as a law enforcement officer? Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie should be permitted to leave with the motorcycle, correct? A. Yes. 5 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for Q. Help me understand something. Approximately how much time had passed, from the 6 speculation, mischaracterizes the evidence, assumes 6 7 7 time you arrived at Mr. Thompson's house to the time facts not in evidence. 8 that the motorcycle or Harley Davidson left the Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that correct or is 9 house? that not correct? 10 A. That's my -- my guesstimated time would be A. I'd assume. Yes. 10 11 11 Q. Because that was communicated to you? Q. So is -- is that encompassing the 20 to 25 12 A. It was never communicated to me. It just 12 13 minute --13 -- they were talking on the phone and afterwards, 14 A. Correct. 14 the motorcycle was released to him. Q. -- estimate that you wrote in your 15 Q. By Officer Gerholdt? A. By Officer Gerholdt. Correct. 16 interrogatories? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And let's be clear about something. It's 17 18 Q. And during that entire time period, there 18 not like these -- I mean, you tell me. It's not like Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie just drove off 19 was no communication with Mr. Thompson, correct? 20 A. Correct. 20 with the motorcycle and then you all engaged in Q. And during that entire time period, as you 21 pursuit, because they stole it, right? 21 testified, Officer Gerholdt and -- was it 22 A. No. Sergeant Waters? 23 Q. They left with the permission of law 24 A. Yes. 24 enforcement? 25 25 Q. And that's the supervisor? A. Correct. 71 72 1 1 MS. ROBERTSON: Object --A. Say it again? 2 MR. GELFAND: Okay. 2 Q. Would you agree with me that --MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a 3 MR. GELFAND: You don't have to restate 3 your objections. legal conclusion, assumes facts not in evidence, 5 MS. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you. calls for speculation. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Was that your Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) With the benefit of 6 6 7 understanding? 7 hindsight, law enforcement made the wrong decision 8 A. Yes. 9 9 Q. And you were there, correct? A. I'd say with information provided and 10 10 A. Yes. given at that time, we made the right decision. 11 Q. And at all times, with respect to 11 Q. What was the information given at the Mr. Thompson's house and your police work, you and 12 time, that leads you to that conclusion? Officer Gerholdt arrived in marked police cars, each 13 A. That Nathan Rench was the owner of the of you is wearing a police -- police uniform, each 14 motorcycle. 15 of you had a badge, and each of you had a sidearm, Q. Do you know, sitting here today, what the correct? 16 relationship, if any, is between Nathan Rench and Amara Elmore? 17 A. Yes. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that with the 18 18 A. I do not. 19 19 benefit of hindsight, knowing what you know now, law Q. Do you know, sitting here today, what the enforcement made the wrong decision here? relationship is, if any, between Nathan Rench and MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 21 21 Mr. Mackenzie? speculation, assumes facts not in evidence, 22 A. No. 23 misstates the evidence, calls for a legal Q. Don't you think that's important? 24 conclusion. A. For the --25 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer. 25 MS. ROBERTSON: Object -- objection --

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 20 of 55 PageID

#: 780

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

74 73 object to form, vague, calls for speculation. Q. If you look at Exhibit 5 -- are you Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the 2 familiar with Exhibit 5? 3 3 question. A. I am. 4 4 A. I'd say it would be important, for the Q. Exhibit 5 applies to search warrants and 5 primary officer that was doing the report. 5 warrant list searches, correct? Q. Who was that? 6 A. Yes. 6 7 7 A. Officer Gerholdt. Q. Exhibit 5 lists out a whole series of Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit -- what I'm procedural requirements, when Manchester police is involved in applying for and executing a search going to mark as Exhibit 5. (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 was 10 warrant, correct? 10 11 marked for identification.) 11 A. Correct. 12 12 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Do you have Exhibit 5 in Q. There's various operations, plans, and 13 front of you? 13 things like that, that have to be followed, correct? 14 14 A. Correct. A. Yes. 15 Q. Exhibit 5 is not -- well, let's back up. 15 Q. None of that applied here, because you testified you weren't executing a search warrant, Is Exhibit 5 another general order? This 16 one, No. 425? 17 17 correct? 18 A. Yes. 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And was this effective, prior to this 19 Q. If we look at Page 5, Section 425.06, Warrant List Searches. Do you see a list of 20 incident on May of 2020? 20 21 circumstances whereby warrant list searches are, A. Yes. 21 quote, most often encountered and authorized, end 22 Q. Exhibit 5 was not a general order number 22 23 that you referenced in your interrogatory response, 23 quote? 24 correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 25 A. Correct. Q. Would you agree with me that none of that 75 76 applied to your conduct here? 1 reasonable suspicion and probable cause searches are MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for part of the bread and butter of daily work of law speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. 3 enforcement, correct? MS. ROBERTSON: You can answer. 5 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And when you were in the 5 O. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that true or not moment, you did not believe that you were conducting 6 true? a warrant list search, pursuant to any of those 7 A. Yes. 8 examples in 425.06, correct? Q. And none of that happened --9 MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. 9 A. In some cases. A. Yes. 10 Q. None of that happened here, correct? 10 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And on the last page of MS. ROBERTSON: Object to form, vague. 11 11 this, it says -- in Section B, at the top of that 12 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that correct? last page, it says, police officers must be able to 13 A. Correct. show that their search was based on probable cause 14 Q. And do you understand what I'm asking? or their protective frisk was based on reasonable 15 suspicion and both were conducted in accordance with 16 Q. Do you see at the bottom, where it says 17 this is distributed to all department personnel? the U.S. Constitution. 17 And then Section C2 says that all of that 18 18 A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes?A. Yes. 19 19 needs to be documented, correct? 20 20 A. Correct. 21 21 Q. And you've been trained on that, in Q. I'm sorry. I'm not trying to be rude, but connection with your everyday duties, as a law 22 when you say uh-huh, it's hard for the court enforcement officer, correct? 23 23 24 A. Yes. 24 A. Yeah. I know. I know you need a yes or 25 Q. Because you would agree with me that no answer.

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 21 of 55 PageID #: 781

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

			20 (149CB 11 CO 00)
	77		78
1	Q. And so all department personnel would be	1	A. Correct.
2	would include you and Officer Gerholdt, correct?	2	Q. That you did not investigate the actual
3	A. Yes.	3	relationship, between Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie
4	Q. And Sergeant Waters, correct?	4	and Nathan Rench?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Now, prior to the bike leaving, in those	6	Q. That you did not obtain any documents,
7	20 to 25 minutes, what, if anything, did you do	7	from any third parties?
8	personally, to investigate the veracity of	8	A. Correct.
9	Ms. Elmore's and Mr. Mackenzie's story?	9	Q. You did not, in any way, obtain
10	A. None.		Mr. Thompson's side of the story, so to speak?
11	Q. So in that vein, is it fair to say that	11	A. Correct.
12	you did not actually investigate who owned the bike,	12	Q. That the first time you attempted to talk
13	based on records and financial documents?	13	to Mr. Thompson about this was at approximately
14	A. Correct.	14	11:30 p.m. by randomly knocking on his door when he
15 16	Q. That you did not speak to Mr. Thompson?A. Is this Mr. Thompson?	15 16	wasn't home, correct? A. Correct.
17	Q. Yes.	17	Q. In other words, you never called him,
18	A. The second time I did.	18	emailed him, anything along those lines, correct?
19	Q. Prior to the bike leaving?	19	A. No.
20	A. Nope. Not prior. After the bike left.	20	Q. Are you aware that he's a longtime
21	Q. Yeah. But prior to the bike leaving, you		resident of Manchester?
22	did not review the check that Mr. Thompson wrote to	22	A. I did not know that.
23	Mr. Rench, two years earlier, buying the bike?	23	Q. Is it fair to say you did not look on
24	A. No.	24	case.net, at all, to see if there was any litigation
25	Q. Meaning that's correct?	25	over the ownership of the bike?
	79		80
1		1	
1 2	A. No.	1 2	Q. Later that night, technically, the
		1 2 3	
2	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to	2	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house?
2	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the	2 3	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike?	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You can answer if you know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of the Manchester Police Department present?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You can answer if you know. A. I went in service.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of the Manchester Police Department present? A. Sergeant Waters responded later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You can answer if you know. A. I went in service. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And just to be clear, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of the Manchester Police Department present? A. Sergeant Waters responded later. Q. Okay. So before we get to Sergeant Waters
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You can answer if you know. A. I went in service.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of the Manchester Police Department present? A. Sergeant Waters responded later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You can answer if you know. A. I went in service. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And just to be clear, in kind of simple English, did you leave Mr. Thompson's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of the Manchester Police Department present? A. Sergeant Waters responded later. Q. Okay. So before we get to Sergeant Waters responding later, is it fair that initially, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You can answer if you know. A. I went in service. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And just to be clear, in kind of simple English, did you leave Mr. Thompson's residence? A. Yes. I left his residence. Q. And by going in service, meaning you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of the Manchester Police Department present? A. Sergeant Waters responded later. Q. Okay. So before we get to Sergeant Waters responding later, is it fair that initially, the same two officers, meaning you and Officer Gerholdt, returned to the house? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You can answer if you know. A. I went in service. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And just to be clear, in kind of simple English, did you leave Mr. Thompson's residence? A. Yes. I left his residence. Q. And by going in service, meaning you responded to other calls or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of the Manchester Police Department present? A. Sergeant Waters responded later. Q. Okay. So before we get to Sergeant Waters responding later, is it fair that initially, the same two officers, meaning you and Officer Gerholdt, returned to the house? A. Correct. Q. Mr. Thompson's house?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You can answer if you know. A. I went in service. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And just to be clear, in kind of simple English, did you leave Mr. Thompson's residence? A. Yes. I left his residence. Q. And by going in service, meaning you responded to other calls or A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of the Manchester Police Department present? A. Sergeant Waters responded later. Q. Okay. So before we get to Sergeant Waters responding later, is it fair that initially, the same two officers, meaning you and Officer Gerholdt, returned to the house? A. Correct. Q. Mr. Thompson's house? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You can answer if you know. A. I went in service. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And just to be clear, in kind of simple English, did you leave Mr. Thompson's residence? A. Yes. I left his residence. Q. And by going in service, meaning you responded to other calls or A. Correct. Q went to the station or whatever it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of the Manchester Police Department present? A. Sergeant Waters responded later. Q. Okay. So before we get to Sergeant Waters responding later, is it fair that initially, the same two officers, meaning you and Officer Gerholdt, returned to the house? A. Correct. Q. Mr. Thompson's house? A. Correct. Q. Approximately how much time had passed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You can answer if you know. A. I went in service. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And just to be clear, in kind of simple English, did you leave Mr. Thompson's residence? A. Yes. I left his residence. Q. And by going in service, meaning you responded to other calls or A. Correct. Q went to the station or whatever it is you did?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of the Manchester Police Department present? A. Sergeant Waters responded later. Q. Okay. So before we get to Sergeant Waters responding later, is it fair that initially, the same two officers, meaning you and Officer Gerholdt, returned to the house? A. Correct. Q. Mr. Thompson's house? A. Correct. Q. Approximately how much time had passed from the moment you left to the moment you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. And is it fair to say that you did not and remember, this is all prior to the bike leaving, contact the Missouri Department of Revenue, to attempt to obtain title information, regarding the bike? A. Not at 11:00 a.m not at 11:00 p.m. at night. Q. What did you do, after Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie were allowed, by law enforcement, to leave with the bike? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony and evidence. You can answer if you know. A. I went in service. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And just to be clear, in kind of simple English, did you leave Mr. Thompson's residence? A. Yes. I left his residence. Q. And by going in service, meaning you responded to other calls or A. Correct. Q went to the station or whatever it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Later that night, technically, the following morning, but within a very short period of time, did you, at any point, return to Mr. Thompson's house? A. Yes. Q. Approximately when? A. I don't I don't know the time, but I know we went back there. Q. When you say we went back there, who went back there? A. Officer Gerholdt was dispatched and then I think I was dispatched, as the assist officer again. Q. Were any other officers or employees of the Manchester Police Department present? A. Sergeant Waters responded later. Q. Okay. So before we get to Sergeant Waters responding later, is it fair that initially, the same two officers, meaning you and Officer Gerholdt, returned to the house? A. Correct. Q. Mr. Thompson's house? A. Correct. Q. Approximately how much time had passed

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 22 of 55 PageID Doc. #: 39-9

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

(Pages 81 to 84)

81 82 A. I couldn't tell you. I don't know. I 1 A. Correct. 2 2 can't recall. MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 3 O. Minutes? Hours? 3 speculation. 4 A. I don't know. 4 A. Yes. 5 5 Q. Okay. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) When you return, who 6 arrives first, from law enforcement? A. I mean, it was right between my workout 7 A. Officer Gerholdt. 7 time. So I couldn't tell you if it was before or 8 Q. And approximately how soon thereafter did 8 9 you arrive? 9 Q. I didn't understand what you're saying. 10 A. I'd say minutes. 10 Right between your workout time? 11 Q. What happened, when you arrived back at A. Yeah. I work out every day, when I'm on 11 12 Mr. Thompson's residence? Let's back up. I'm duty at 11:30 to 12:30. Or if I'm on call, 12:30 to 13 sorry. 1:30. So I couldn't tell you exactly what time that 14 When you arrived back at Mr. Thompson's 14 15 residence, you're in your same police vehicle, same 15 Q. Okay. But it was the same shift? 16 police uniform? 16 A. Yes. Same shift. 17 A. Yes. 17 O. Why did you return? Q. Did you activate your dash cam? 18 A. Because Mr. Thompson was wanting to report 18 19 A. No. 19 his motorcycle stolen. 20 Q. Did you activate your body cam? 20 Q. And in fact, you're aware that 21 21 Mr. Thompson called the police? 22 Q. At that time, were you responding to a 22 A. Yes. 23 theft of a motor vehicle call? 23 Q. Is it fair to say, as you understood it, 24 A. Yes. 24 he comes home, he sees the motorcycle missing. He 25 Q. So why didn't you activate your body cam has no clue what had previously transpired? 83 84 or dash cam? Q. And you reviewed the documents that Mr. Thompson provided you, correct? A. Because we were there previously. So we 3 A. Correct. had history there. We knew what had happened. Q. And what did those reveal? Q. What happened, when you showed up? A. They revealed that he was the owner of the A. Mr. Thomas (sic) was furious and outraged that his motorcycle wasn't there. When I -bike, possibly. But it was never registered to him. 7 Q. Let's back up for a second. When you Q. Let's back up. Is it fair to say that -arrived, did you exit your police vehicle? let's back up. 9 Before Mr. Thompson went into his house, A. Yes. Q. Where did you make contact with 10 to go retrieve documents, which we'll get to, in a 11 Mr. Thompson? second, what, if anything, did you tell Mr. Thompson 12 A. On his front porch. 12 about what had transpired? 13 Q. Was he waiting for you? 13 A. I didn't tell him anything. He was 14 A. I think he was -- he was already talking 14 already on the phone. 15 Q. What, if anything, did Officer Gerholdt 15 to Officer Gerholdt. 16 Q. What, if anything, did Mr. Thompson convey tell Mr. Thompson in your presence? 17 17 A. I have no idea. to you? 18 Q. What, if anything, did Mr. Thompson say, 18 A. He just said it was -- he was angry -upset. It was bull crap. His motor -- we let 19 in your presence, either to you or to 19 somebody take his motorcycle. He was also on the Officer Gerholdt, before he went into the house? phone, with another police department agency, 21 I'm just trying to break up what happened when. 22 22 A. And I said that already, I thought. He because I heard the dispatch on the phone. 23 23 And then he proceeded to go in and get the just said that his motorcycle was gone and he had to original title for the bike, which he gave to me, go in and get the title. and I provided it to Officer Gerholdt. 25 Q. And did you permit him to go in and get

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 23 of 55 PageID

#: 783

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

85 86 1 the title? Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Can you please tell me 2 if you recognize Exhibit 6? I'll just make this A. I didn't stop him. Yeah. 3 Q. But --3 very clear, for purposes of our record. The top 4 A. He wasn't --4 page. Q. -- to be blunt, that made sense, right? 5 5 A. I mean --To have him go get the title? 6 Q. And the second page? In other words, back 6 7 7 A. I mean, if he wanted to get the title, to and front. show proof, yeah. A. This possibly could have been the title Q. Is it fair to say you guys welcomed that? that Mr. Thompson provided me, that I gave Officer Gerholdt. A. Huh? 10 10 11 You guys welcomed that? 11 Q. Q. My question for you is was it or wasn't 12 A. You say welcomed that? 12 it? Just the top two pages, front and back. 13 Q. Yeah. You welcomed him to go inside the 13 A. I believe this is. Yes. 14 14 house, to go get the title and show you proof. Q. Okay. And would you agree with me, if we A. I mean, he was -- he wasn't detained or look at the second page of this, MPD0029 at the anything. He was free to do whatever he wanted. 16 bottom. A. Uh-huh. 17 Q. Well, did you want to see the title? 17 A. Did I? 18 18 Q. Do you see that? Q. Yeah. A. Yeah. 19 19 A. I mean, I was curious, yeah, at the time. 20 20 Q. That clearly indicates that Mr. Thompson Q. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 6 a series of 21 purchased the motorcycle from Nathan Rench, correct? 21 22 22 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a documents. 23 23 legal conclusion. You can answer if you know. (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 was 24 24 A. I'd say somebody purchased it from him. marked for identification.) 25 MS. ROBERTSON: Thanks. But what date did he purchase it on? 87 88 Q. Well, if we look at what Mr. Thompson gave Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) We'll get to that, in a second. But do you see where it says Ray Thompson you, in MPD0029, do you see Mr. Key as the lien as the purchaser and Nathan Rench as the seller? 3 release? A. Oh, lienholder. A. Correct. Q. Do you see that? 5 5 Q. Okay. And do you see where it says date A. Yes. 6 of sale? 7 Q. And then finally, do you see a check from A. Yep. Mr. Thompson, on Page MPD0033, from Mr. Thompson to Q. And what does it say for date of sale? Nathan Rench, for the 2003 Harley Davidson Trike in 9 A. March 30th, 2020. the amount of \$2,366,37? 10 Q. Would you agree that was approximately two 10 A. Yes. 11 and a half years prior to that date? 11 12 A. Correct. 12 Q. So is it fair to say that the first time 13 Q. And this referred to a Harley Davidson you ever talked to Mr. Thompson, he provided you 2003, correct? documents, within minutes, that at least on their 14 15 face, establish his ownership of the Harley 15 A. Yes. Q. And this was the same VIN number that 16 Davidson -we're talking about, correct? 17 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for --17 18 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) -- that was parked in 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And if we go later on -- if we go to 19 his back yard? 20 MS. ROBERTSON: Calls for a legal MPD0032, do you see a check, from Mr. Thompson to 21 21 Mr. Key, paying off a lien in connection with this? conclusion. 22 A. I've never seen this. 22 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that accurate? 23 23 O. Let's back up. A. Yes. A. I mean, I see the check, but who -- I 24 Q. Okay. Did that surprise you? 25 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 25 don't know who Jim Key is.

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 24 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

90 89 1 speculation. Q. Describe, if you would, what you observed. 2 2 A. I -- I couldn't tell you. My window was A. It didn't surprise me. 3 3 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Why not? rolled up. But I just seen him walking up towards A. Because I wasn't the officer making the front of his residence, where Mr. Thompson was. 4 5 5 decisions on that call. So I provided it to the And I assumed they were talking. Q. But you couldn't hear anything? reporting officer. 6 6 7 7 Q. When you provided it to Officer Gerholdt A. Nope. 8 -- is that who you mean by the reporting officer? Q. Did you hear Mr. -- I'm sorry, A. Correct. Sergeant Waters -- is that his title, sergeant? Q. When you provided it to Officer Gerholdt, 10 10 A. Uh-huh. 11 what, if anything, did he say? Q. Sergeant Waters engage in any 11 12 A. I think, at that time, he had a supervisor 12 communication with Officer Gerholdt? 13 13 respond. That's when Sergeant Waters responded. A. I did not hear. 14 Q. Did you and Officer Gerholdt ever 14 Q. Did Officer Gerholdt, when you handed this 15 to him, say anything, at all, to you, about his subsequently discuss what had happened? 16 16 reaction? A. No. Q. Ever? 17 17 A. No. Q. Then what happened at the house? 18 18 A. No. Q. So at no point back at the station or a 19 A. When Officer Waters arrived on scene, I 19 20 was told to leave. 20 day or two later or weeks later? Q. You were told to leave by who? 21 A. No. Because he was -- he was tied up on 21 22 22 A. By Officer -- by Sergeant Waters. trying to get it solved. 23 Q. Did you observe Sergeant Waters engage in 23 Q. To get what solved? any communication with Mr. Thompson? 24 A. To get the case solved or whatever they 24 A. Yes. 25 did afterwards. I couldn't tell you. I distanced 25 91 92 myself from it. He was working with detectives and email. He's never seen it. MR. GELFAND: That's not what I'm asking, the supervisor. 3 Q. For how long? 3 though. MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 4 MS. ROBERTSON: This is asking for a legal speculation. conclusion. I'm just making my objection. MR. GELFAND: That's fine. 6 A. I couldn't tell you. A. I've never seen this. 7 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Did he ever ask your 7 assistance? Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Okay. That wasn't my 9 A. No. question. Do you see an email address, 10 Q. Did anyone else ever ask for your 10 police@manchestermo.gov at the top? 11 assistance? 11 A. Yes. 12 A. No. 12 Q. As the recipient of an email dated 13 Q. I'm going to show you what I am marking as 13 11/14/2022, at 9:29 a.m.? 14 Exhibit 7. 14 A. Yes. 15 15 MS. ROBERTSON: And I don't want to -- I (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 was 16 marked for identification.) don't want to keep interrupting you. This whole Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Do you have Exhibit 7 in 17 line of questioning, same objection to foundation. 17 front of you? Okay? 18 18 MR. GELFAND: If you're asking whether you 19 A. I do. 19 20 Q. Does Exhibit 7 appear to be an email from 20 can have a standing objection, sure. 21 me to a bunch of lawyers and to police at 21 MS. ROBERTSON: Yeah. I'm asking for a manchestermo.gov? running objection. 22 22 23 MS. ROBERTSON: Hold on. I'm just going 23 MR. GELFAND: Sure. 24 to object to questioning on this. Lack of 24 A. I said ves. foundation. This officer is not copied on this 25 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Okay. Do you recognize Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 25 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

93 94 1 that email address? A. It could be, but I'm not aware of it. 2 2 Q. In this letter, do you see a request to A. I do not. 3 3 Q. If you go to the second page, do you see a preserve, meaning not destroy, body cam footage and 4 letter dated November 14th, 2022? dash cam footage, among other documents? 5 A. Yes. A. Yes. 6 6 Q. And is that the same date as the email? Q. Have you ever seen this letter? 7 7 Q. Do you know who Paul Rost is? Q. Did anyone in or after November 14th of 2022, ask you to make any efforts to preserve A. No. 10 Q. Do you know who Aaron Seal is? evidence, in connection with this case? 10 11 11 MS. ROBERTSON: Hold on. Hold on. Same A. No. 12 Do you know who receives email at 12 objection as this running objection. But also 13 police@manchestermo.gov? because city attorneys are copied on this email, I 14 have to object to attorney client privilege and work A. It depends. Depends on who you click on. You can't just send it to mopolice.gov, because product. And I don't know what his answer is to 16 this. So -our -- our email don't work like that. 17 THE WITNESS: I can answer? 17 Q. Is that your testimony? 18 A. Yes. 18 MS. ROBERTSON: Well, can we go off the 19 Q. So when an email is sent to that address, 19 record, for a second? 20 it sounds like you know about this. What happens? 20 MR. GELFAND: Sure. 2.1 (WHEREIN, a discussion was held off the A. I would imagine it just goes to a blank 22 22 folder. 23 23 Q. Are you aware, and you may not be, but are (WHEREIN, a brief break was taken.) 24 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Officer, we're back from 24 you aware that that's the email address on the 25 a break. You understand you're still testifying Manchester Police Department public website? 95 96 under oath? house that you responded to, on the night of October 22nd of 2022? A. Yes. Q. Officer, I don't really remember the last 3 A. Yes. Q. If we look at Exhibit 8, you testified question I asked you. So we're going to strike it, if there was a question pending. And I want to just earlier that when you arrived at the residence, you start right now. 6 initially walked up, after some initial discussions, 7 7 to the front door --At -- without getting into any specific conversations that any lawyer representing you or 8 A. Correct. the City of Manchester Police Department has had 9 Q. -- is that correct? And then you with you, has anyone on earth, prior to today, ever 10 testified that you entered the -- and we'll get to asked you to make any effort to personally preserve some more detailed pictures, in a few minutes, but the items that are listed in Exhibit -that you entered, basically, the gated back yard? 13 A. Seven? 13 Or gated enclosure of Mr. Thompson's residence, 14 Q. -- 7? 14 correct? 15 15 A. No. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, I want to show you a couple of 16 Q. How did you physically walk into the back yard, if we look at this photo? 17 photos and mark -- I was just going to do this 17 one-by-one, but I'll just give you a stack. 18 A. I walked -- Officer Gerholdt took the left 18 19 MS. ROBERTSON: All right. 19 side and I walked on the right side. 20 20 MR. GELFAND: I'm going to mark this top Q. Of what? 21 21 photo as Exhibit 8. A. The Jeep. 22 22 (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 8 was Q. Okay. So you both walked down the 23 marked for identification.) 23 driveway that's reflected -- there's only one 24 driveway in this photo, correct? Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Just ask you the simplest question of the whole depo. Is that the 25 A. Correct.

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 26 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

98 97 Q. You both walked down the driveway, Q. Now, if we look at Exhibit 9 and you have 2 correct? a color copy, correct? 2 3 3 A. Correct. A. Correct. O. And -- I'll describe this in a second, for 4 4 Q. Do you see a -- first of all, is the record. But Officer Gerholdt is on the left 5 Officer Gerholdt, in this exhibit, wearing a police 5 side, where I just drew that arrow, correct? vest, that is virtually identical to the one you're 7 7 wearing today, in your deposition? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the driver's side of the Jeep? A. Correct. 9 Q. And it says Gerholdt and then his DSN A. Uh-huh. 10 number, 5375? 10 Q. And you're on the right side, the A. Yes. 11 11 passenger side of the Jeep. 12 12 Q. Just to the left, in the photo, of where A. Correct. 13 Q. Okay. And obviously, this was nighttime, it says Gerholdt, so to the right on his body, do 14 correct? 14 you see a device that appears to be a body camera? A. Correct. 15 A. Yes. 16 (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9 was 16 Q. And do you recognize what that device is, based on your training and experience, as a 17 marked for identification.) 17 18 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) I'm going to show you 18 Manchester police officer? A. Yes. 19 Exhibit 9. Do you have Exhibit 9 in front of you? 19 20 A. Yep. Yes. 20 Q. Is that, in fact, a body camera? 21 Q. Do you recognize who is depicted, at least 21 in part, in Exhibit 9? 22 22 Q. And was that, in fact, generally speaking, 23 23 the make and model of the body cameras that were A. Yes. 24 24 assigned to you, on October 22nd of 2022? Q. And who is that? 25 25 A. Yes. Watchguard. Officer Gerholdt. 99 100 Q. If we see the lighting that you previously 1 Q. Okay. testified to --2 (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10 was 2 3 marked for identification.) 3 A. Uh-huh. Q. -- would you agree with me that in Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) I want to show you Exhibit 9 -- is that what this is? Exhibit 10. Can you tell me -- ignoring what's marked in blue, on Exhibit 10, if you recognize the 6 A. Yep. 7 Q. Exhibit 9? That the body camera lights 7 place depicted on Exhibit 10? 8 for green and red are on? A. Say it -- repeat. 9 A. I cannot answer that. Lighting. Q. Sure. Ignoring -- there's an X and an 0 --10 Q. Meaning you cannot see, in that photo, 10 11 whether it appears to be on? 11 A. Correct. 12 A. Correct. 12 Q. -- on Exhibit 10 that appears to be 13 Q. Okay. If, in fact, a jury were to find 13 drawings. that those lights are on, in that photo, as you 14 A. Uh-huh. 15 previously testified, does that indicate that it is Q. Ignoring that -recording? 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 MS. ROBERTSON: Hold on. Objection. 17 Q. -- can you tell me if you recognize the place, that is depicted on Exhibit 10? 18 Object to form, compound, vague, calls for 18 19 speculation, assumes facts not in evidence. 19 A. Correct. 20 20 MR. GELFAND: I'll ask a different Q. And what is that place? 21 21 A. It looks like Mr. Thompson's back yard. 22 22 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) If -- when the green Q. And so to be clear, you've testified, 23 light and the red light, depicted in Exhibit 9, are throughout the course of this deposition, that on, does that indicate it's recording? certain events happened in a place, that you've 25 A. Yes. described as either Mr. Thompson's back yard or the

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 27 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

101 102 enclosed back portion of his property, correct? 1 Q. Okay. 2 2 MS. ROBERTSON: Can I -- I can't quite see A. Yes. 3 3 Q. Does Exhibit 10 depict that place? what he drew, from here. MR. GELFAND: Sure. 4 A. Yes. 5 5 Q. In other words, that's where it happened, MS. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thanks. 6 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So is it fair to say 6 correct? 7 7 that the circle, that you depicted, represents Q. Okay. Sometimes, we lawyers make things approximately where the bike was parked? 9 unnecessarily complicated. A. Approximately. Yes. 10 10 A. Agree. Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that is 11 Q. Where was the bike, when it was parked, 11 clearly within the enclosed privacy fence? 12 before it was removed, when you and Officer Gerholdt 12 first entered what is depicted in Exhibit 10? 13 Q. I'm going to show you what I'm marking as 14 A. I'd say it would be right here, up by that 14 Exhibit 11. water spot. 15 (WHEREIN, Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11 was 16 Q. Can you draw it and initial it, on Exhibit 16 marked for identification.) 17 17 10? Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) I'm sorry. Before we 18 A. (Complying.) 18 get to Exhibit 11, if you go back to Exhibit 10. 19 Q. So just to be clear, so we have a clear 19 Where were you standing, when you observed Officer Gerholdt check the VIN number on this bike? 20 record, you just, at my request, drew a circle. And 20 I understand you're approximating. And then wrote 21 A. On here? JCC5349. I assume that's your DSN? 22 Q. Yes. 23 23 A. Correct. A. I was standing at a vantage point. So I was probably right over here in this area, where I 24 24 Q. And JCC are your initials? 25 could still see the windows. A. Yes. 103 104 Q. So right near the front right tire of the Q. Okay. If -- is it fair to say that when Jeep, that is currently depicted in the photo, even this is closed, you -- at five-seven, you can't see though there wasn't a Jeep there then? over the privacy fence? 3 A. I'd say right -- about right there. A. Yes. 5 Q. Can you put an X and initial that there? Q. Okay. How tall is Officer Gerholdt? 6 Just something to differentiate, though. 6 A. Six -- six-two, six-three, I think. 7 7 A. (Complying.) Q. I'm going to leave this here. Now, if you Q. So just to be clear, for the record, the X 8 look at Exhibit 11, do you have that in front of you? followed by JCC5349, as opposed to the O followed by 9 JCC5349, depicts where you were physically standing 10 A. I do. in the back yard? 11 Q. Now, I will represent to you that Exhibit 11 12 A. Correct. 12 11 represents a vantage point from -- essentially 13 13 depicting the front of the gate from the driveway; Q. Okay. Clearly within the privacy fence, 14 correct? 14 is that accurate? 15 15 A. Yes. Q. And would you agree with me that if we 16 Q. As opposed to the prior exhibits, which were from the other angle, correct? 17 look at the privacy fence, that's depicted in this 17 photo, it's a fairly tall privacy fence? 18 A. Yes. 18 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 19 19 Q. Okay. To get to what is depicted on 20 speculation, vague. Object to form. 20 Exhibit 10, that you previously just testified to, 21 A. It depends on -- I mean, tall for me, yes, 21 did you have to cross the threshold of what is 22 22 because I'm short. But for Officer Gerholdt, it depicted, the fence, the gate, in Exhibit 11? 23 23 would be short for him, because he's tall. 24 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How tall are you? 24 Q. Do you know anything about Harley 25 A. Five-seven. 25 Davidsons?

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 28 of 55 PageID

#: 788

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

105 106 A. No. A. Probably five or six. 2 Q. Based on everything you did, in connection 2 Q. Has it been a passion of yours? 3 with this case, do you have any firsthand knowledge 3 A. No. about the particular make and model of the Harley 4 Q. Do you enjoy riding your motorcycles? 5 Davidson, that you observed, on October 22nd of A. Before I had my daughter, yes. 6 Q. Meaning that -- putting aside safety 2022, and that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie left 7 concerns, it's fun? 7 with, on that date? 8 MS. ROBERTSON: Object to form, vague. A. It is. 9 Q. When you observed the Harley Davidson, in 9 A. No. 10 Mr. Thompson's back yard, on October 22nd of 2022, 10 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) For example, do you have before it was removed, did you observe any custom any knowledge as to what the fair market value of it 12 parts on the vehicle? would be or anything along those lines? 13 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 13 A. I do not. 14 speculation. Q. Any knowledge about what it would cost to 14 15 A. I -- no. I didn't pay attention to it. 15 fix damage on it? Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Meaning you wouldn't 16 A. No. 16 17 have known, one way or the other? 17 Q. Are you a motorcycle guy? 18 A. I mean, I would have known if I seen A. I'm not a Harley guy. 18 19 something custom on it, but no. I didn't pay Q. Are you another motorcycle guy? 19 20 attention to it. 20 A. Crotch rockets. 21 Q. Okay. So just to be clear, you're not 21 Q. Do you own any motorcycles? 22 saying that it didn't have any custom parts. You're 22 A. As of now, no. 23 just saying you didn't pay attention? 23 Q. Have you ever owned any motorcycles? 24 A. Correct. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. So it might have, it might not have? 25 Q. How many? 107 108 1 A. Correct. law enforcement provide Mr. Thompson with notice or Q. How would you describe the condition of a hearing? 3 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, asked and the Harley Davidson that you observed that night? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, vague, calls answered. 5 5 for speculation. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer. A. No. A. Just from the looks of it, it was pretty 6 6 7 Q. At any time, when you interacted with 7 dusty, like it hasn't been -- like it hasn't been Mr. Thompson -- let's back up for a second. rode in a while. That's the only thing I remember from it. And it had three wheels. I asked you about two times that you 10 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Have you ever rented a responded to Mr. Thompson's house and you testified 11 about both of them, you know, within a short period motorcycle? 12 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, relevance. 12 of one another, correct? 13 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Have you ever rented a 13 A. Yes. motorcycle? 14 Q. And both of those times related to this 14 15 15 A. Yes. single Harley Davidson motorcycle, that you've 16 Q. Like on vacation? 16 testified about, what this lawsuit is about, 17 A. Florida, every year. 17 correct? Q. If you recall, approximately what does it 18 18 A. Yes. Q. The first time Mr. Thompson wasn't there. 19 19 cost to rent a motorcycle per day? 20 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for The second time Mr. Thompson had initiated the call 21 speculation. 21 reporting his bike stolen, correct? 22 22 A. Yes. A. I'd say down there, it was \$750 deposit 23 23 and then hourly, it's 200 bucks an hour. Q. Have you ever interacted with Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Prior to the removal of 24 Mr. Thompson, at any other time? A. No. the motorcycle, from Mr. Thompson's back yard, did 25

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 29 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

109 110 Q. Have you ever responded to his house, at was a Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie -any other time? A. Okay. Mackenzie. 2 2 3 Q. That's fine. But I'm saying -- I just 3 A. No. Not that I can remember. 4 Q. At any time, during your interactions with want to clarify. Was it the male person that was Mr. Thompson, in connection with the motorcycle, did 5 you tell him anything along the lines of we are the 6 A. It was the male that drove. Yes. He was 7 7 police, we can go anywhere, we can't trespass? the one that got it started. Q. And where was Ms. Elmore? 9 Q. At any time, during your interactions with A. Watching. 10 Mr. Thompson, in connection with the motorcycle that O. From where? 10 A. The back yard. 11 you've testified about, that's the subject of the lawsuit, did any other law enforcement, in your 12 Q. Where were you, when Mr. Mackenzie drove presence, tell Mr. Thompson anything along the lines 13 out of the back yard into the driveway? 14 of we are the police, we can go anywhere, we can't A. I was still in the back, where I circled trespass? 15 on Exhibit 10. Q. Where was Mr. Gerholdt --16 A. Not to my knowledge. 16 Q. When Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie left Officer Gerholdt? 17 17 Mr. Thompson's back yard with the motorcycle, did 18 A. I don't recall. He should have still been you observe how they drove it out? 19 in the back yard. 20 A. Yes. 20 Q. So you believe he was in the back yard? 21 Q. How did they drive it out? 21 You just don't recall where, in the back yard, he 22 22 A. Elmore -- Mr. Elmore got on it and drove was? 23 it. And he squeezed right past the Jeep and the 23 A. Yeah. 24 24 fence. Q. Okay. Did you observe Mr. Mackenzie make 25 Q. So I'm sorry. When you say -- so there contact with Mr. Thompson's physical property --111 112 Q. Are you aware, as you sit here today, that Q. -- while riding the motorcycle? Ms. Elmore is not actually Mr. Rench's daughter? A. I did not know that. A. No. Q. Did you observe Mr. Mackenzie leave the Q. Are you aware, as you sit here today, that premises with the motorcycle? Mr. Mackenzie is not actually related to Mr. Rench? A. I did not know that. 7 Q. In other words, drive it onto the street 7 Q. Does that surprise you? 8 or wherever he went from there? A. With the story they told, no. 9 O. Why not? A. Yep. 10 Q. And then what did Ms. Elmore do? 10 A. I don't know. I mean, if you go -- if you 11 A. She got into the vehicle that they drove go all the way to make the police believe that your down the road, which I think was a Ford, silver in father was handicapped and his three-wheel trike got color, gray in color, Ford Escape, and drove off. stolen, I would believe that they probably didn't 13 14 Q. Immediately after Mr. Mackenzie left? know him. And you provide falsified documents. So 15 yes. I believe -- I believe they probably don't A. Well, no. They sat there in the street and he said he had to go get gas. And she followed 16 know each other. 17 Q. When Mr. Mackenzie and Ms. Elmore left on 17 him to the gas station. the Harley Davidson, that belonged to Mr. Thompson, 18 Q. And is -- at that time, what, if anything, 18 19 did you and/or Officer Gerholdt do? 19 did you consider Manchester Police Department's 20 A. I just said it was going to be a cold ride 20 investigation into the matter complete? 21 and that was it. 21 A. My position, yes. I felt like my assistance was no longer needed. 22 22 Q. And who did you say that to? 23 23 Q. At that time, you had been a law A. Mackenzie. 24 Q. What did he say? 24 enforcement officer for a number of years, correct? 25 A. Nothing. Just laughed. 25 A. Yes.

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 30 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

113 114 Q. As of October of 2022, had you personally interrogatory responses, which is Exhibit 1. Can conducted investigations into matters? 2 you pull that? 3 A. No. MS. ROBERTSON: Justin, did you mark this? 4 Q. Have you ever investigated a crime? 4 MR. GELFAND: No. Well, it's in a packet. 5 A. Yes. But not into these matters. 5 MS. ROBERTSON: Okay. 6 Q. No. I'm talking about just generally. 6 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You answered a number of 7 questions -- and I can go through them one by one, Q. Approximately how many investigations have but I think you'll appreciate me just summing this you participated in? up, about whether you've ever been the subject of MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 10 10 any sort of discipline, as a law enforcement speculation. 11 11 officer. Do you recall that? 12 A. I couldn't tell you. At least 12 or 15, 12 A. Yes. 13 within this year. 13 Q. And your answer was no, correct? 14 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And prior to October 14 2022, you had participated in a number of 15 Q. Is that still your answer today? 16 investigations, correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 17 Q. Similarly, you answered that you had never 18 Q. Have you ever considered an investigation 18 previously been a party to any lawsuit, correct? 19 complete, without attempting to get both sides of 19 A. Yes. 20 the story? 20 Q. Is that still your answer today? MS. ROBERTSON: Object to form, vague, 21 21 A. Well --22 calls for speculation. 22 O. Other than this lawsuit? 23 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer. 23 A. -- other than this one, yes. 24 A. No. There's always two sides to a story. 24 Q. So to be clear, you've never been sued, 25 Q. Now, if we look back, finally, at your 25 correct? 115 116 1 A. Correct. A. I was there, when he arrived. Yes. Did I Q. Have you ever testified in a case? We'll hear what they communicated? No. Because I was just start there, for a second. 3 told to leave. Q. So I asked an imprecise question. In the A. Yes. Q. In court? 5 first time, that you showed up, when Officer -- when A. Yes. 6 6 Sergeant Waters wasn't there, there was a phone 7 call? 7 Q. Was that all in connection with criminal cases that you investigated? 8 A. Correct. A. Yes. 9 Q. Were you present, to hear 10 Q. Have you ever testified -- in other words, 10 Officer Gerholdt's --11 putting that aside -- that's a topic that we're not 11 A. No. going to get into -- have you ever testified in any 12 Q. -- side of that phone call? 13 proceeding, in court or otherwise, in connection A. Nope. 14 with anything, other than a criminal case that you Q. So is it fair to say that you don't know 15 investigated? 15 what, if anything, was actually conveyed --16 A. No. 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. You used the phrase in your 17 Q. -- to Sergeant Waters? interrogatories, keep the peace. 18 A. He makes his phone calls in his vehicle. 18 19 19 Q. Officer Gerholdt does? A. Correct. 20 20 Q. What does that mean to you? A. Uh-huh. 21 A. Means to make sure -- make sure the 21 Q. Are you friends with Officer Gerholdt? 22 parties are not arguing and to make sure another 23 officer goes home to their family. Q. Okay. Have you ever hung out socially? Q. Were you present, when Officer Gerholdt 24 A. No. I don't have an issue with him. I communicated with Sergeant Waters? 25 **just --**

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 31 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

117 118 1 Q. I understand. jingling that night. I remember that. But I was 2 A. I worked with him briefly. still looking up at the house, as they were doing 3 what they were doing with the motorcycle. Q. Is he still at the department? 4 Q. And that's what you assumed? A. Yes. 5 Q. Did you work together frequently, at this A. So I can assume -- yes. He started it 6 time? 6 with a key. 7 A. Who was -- I was under Waters, until I got O. This said that a record search was transferred. I don't know how many -- it wasn't consistent with statements made by Ms. Elmore and long. I think four or five months. 9 Mr. Mackenzie. Q. In response to Interrogatory No. 18 -- and 10 A. Correct. 10 we touched on this and I think I know your answer, 11 Q. What was the record search? 11 but just to be clear, you wrote that Ms. Elmore and 12 12 A. The VIN. Mr. Mackenzie produced the key to the motorcycle, 13 Q. Describe in detail, if you would, what you 14 which started it. mean by that. What search did you conduct? 14 15 A. Yes. 15 A. The vehicle identification, which is the 16 Q. And I'm not critical of what you said 16 VIN, was conducted on the vehicle -- or on the 17 here. I don't really care. I just want to get -motorcycle, which came back to being Nathan Rench's 17 is it more accurate to say that they claimed they motorcycle. 19 had a key? 19 Q. When you saying being his motorcycle --20 A. I -- I -- I'm sticking to -- I could have 20 A. It was still registered in his name. 21 swore he pulled a key out of his pocket and he got 21 Q. Did you do any investigation, to determine on top of the motorcycle and he put it in and that's 22 why the registration hadn't been changed? what he started it with. 23 Q. And that's --24 24 MS. ROBERTSON: Objection. Objection, 25 A. Because I heard keys -- I heard keys assumes facts not in evidence. 119 120 Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So bottom line, I who opened it? understand there's some things that -- that we A. That, I don't know. disagree with, but I think there's a couple of 3 Q. And Officer Gerholdt was already present, things we agree with. And I want to make sure that when you arrived, correct? 5 5 that's accurate. A. Correct. We agree that you entered Mr. Thompson's Q. And when you left, the motorcycle was no 6 7 back yard that night, correct? 7 longer parked on the premises, because Mr. Mackenzie rode off with it, correct? 9 9 Q. We agree that you did so without a search A. Yes. warrant, correct? 10 10 MR. GELFAND: I don't believe I have any 11 11 A. Yes. further questions. Give me one minute, if you 12 Q. And we agree that you did so under the 12 would, with my client, just off the record. 13 community caretaking exception, that you described 13 MS. ROBERTSON: Yeah. Let's take a quick in your interrogatories, correct? 14 break. 14 15 15 A. Yes. MR. GELFAND: That sounds great. Q. We agree that Mr. Thompson's Harley 16 (WHEREIN, a brief break was taken.) Davidson was parked in the gated enclosure, when you 17 MR. GELFAND: I have no further questions 17 arrived on the scene, correct? for you, Officer Cockrell. Thank you for your time. 18 A. Open gated enclosure. Yes. 19 19 Your lawyer may have some questions for you and now, 20 20 Q. When you say open, meaning you're claiming it's her turn. the gate to the enclosure was open? 21 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. 22 A. Yes. It was, because that's the only way MS. ROBERTSON: Briefly, I do. Just a we could see that -- that Officer Gerholdt saw the 23 few. 24 **EXAMINATION** motorcycle. 25 Q. Sitting here today, do you have any idea 25 QUESTIONS BY MS. ROBERTSON:

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 32 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

121 122 Q. Officer Cockrell, on October 22nd of 2022, evening, that we haven't discussed? when you responded to Mr. Thompson's residence, you A. I'd say yes. The one thing that wasn't brought up, but I don't know if Officer Gerholdt made contact with Amara Elmore and Steven Mackenzie? 3 would or not, is I remember is that when we were 4 A. Yes. there, after he ran the VIN and it came back still 5 Q. And they told you some things? registered to -- I can't think of his name now. 6 Q. Mr. Rench? 7 7 Q. Did you believe what they told you? 8 A. Yeah. Mr. Rench. They told us -- they A. I did. I did believe their story. 8 were like we could show you where the bike is. You 9 I mean -can see it from over here. If you want us to go 10 10 Q. Why? 11 back there, we can show you. If not, we can have a 11 A. I don't know. It's -- the story they told tow truck respond, to have the bike removed. 12 is that their dad was handicapped, that he'd been in 13 Q. Did they -- did they indicate whether that the hospital and he loves riding, but the motorcycle 14 would have been like a commercial tow truck or was missing. And that the friend, which was 15 something else? Mr. Thomas (sic), had had it for six months. 15 16 A. They did not. They just said they'd have 16 And then after we go over everything and a tow truck respond. And I guess the guy -- he said 17 17 then go back to the back yard, I look at the 18 he used to work for a tow company. So he knows a motorcycle. I figured that the story was true, lot -- a lot of people. because to me, most people that ride trikes are 19 20 Q. Okay. And Mr. Gelfand showed you a few 20 usually women or older people, that are overweight 21 pictures of Mr. Thompson's residence and -- do you or just have a problem with riding motorcycles, in 21 22 have Exhibit 8? general, that are two wheels. So I believed -- I 23 A. Somewhere. Exhibit 9, Exhibit 8. believed the story that they told. 24 Q. And on Exhibit 8, that's Mr. Thompson's --Q. A few more questions. Is there anything 24 25 or that's the residence you responded to, on October else Amara Elmore or Steven Mackenzie said, that 123 124 vehicle is? 22nd, 2022, correct? 1 A. Yes. 2 A. Yes. Q. Is there a driveway depicted in that 3 Q. And when you -- when you arrived, was the 3 photograph? gate open? 5 A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And is there then a vehicle in the 6 6 Q. Did you open it? driveway? 7 7 A. No. 8 A. Yes. Q. Did anyone in your presence open it? 9 Q. Okay. Now, we can't see, from the 9 10 photograph, what's in front of that vehicle, which Q. Is -- does -- Mr. Thompson's driveway is is kind of -- would you agree that looks like a 11 paved? Yes? 11 12 Jeep? 12 A. Yes. 13 A. Yes. 13 Q. Does that paving extend through the gate 14 into the back yard? 14 Q. And you would agree we can't quite see 15 15 what's in front of it, from this photograph? A. I would say yes, because it extends 16 through and then goes around, to where it meets up A. Yes. 17 17 Q. Okay. But you have been there, correct? at the garage. 18 Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit No. 8, I 18 19 Q. And do you know what's in front of the 19 guess our left side of the house, as we look at 20 vehicle? 20 this, there's -- to the right of the Jeep, there's a 21 21 window? A. Yes. 22 22 Q. Okay. What's in front of it? A. Uh-huh. 23 A. There's a fence that leads to the back 23 Q. Do you see what I'm talking about? yard, where a garage is. 24 24 A. Uh-huh. 25 Q. Is there a gate in front of where that 25 Q. Is that a yes?

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 33 of 55 PageID #: 793

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

			32 (Pages 123 to 120)
	125		126
		_	
1	A. Yes.	1	10?
2	Q. Do you is that the garage you were	2	A. Yes.
3	referring to?	3	Q. And when when we've been using the term
4	A. I think so. Or if there I don't know	4	back yard, throughout your deposition, is is the
5	if that it was a weird design back there. I'm	5	star, that we just discussed, encompassed in what
6	pretty I think there was a garage, that was right	6	you've referred to as the back yard?
7	behind the house, because I know there was a patio.	7	A. Yes.
8	But I don't know what that is. I don't know if	8	Q. Is it your understanding that
9	that's just a side piece to the house or what. But	9	Officer Gerholdt ran the vehicle identification
10	there could have been a little one-car garage in the	10	number, for the motorcycle through dispatch?
11		11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Could you look at Exhibit No. 10? And do	12	Q. And when in your training and
13	you see, in Exhibit No. 10, where the where the	13	experience, as a law enforcement officer, in your
14	gate is?	14	normal course of dealings, what does that mean?
15	A. Yeah.	15	A. That means when he does that, it brings up
16	Q. Okay. Where is the gate?	16	a record through DOR. It will tell you who the
17	A. The gate is to the in the left corner.	17	actual owner is and when the last time it was
18	Q. And could you mark that, with a star, for	18	registered or reregistered.
19	me and initial it?	19	Q. And DOR means the Department of Revenue?
20	A. (Complying.)	20	A. Yes.
21		21	
	Q. And so when we were just talking about the		MS. ROBERTSON: I don't think I have any
22	driveway, in Exhibit No. 8	22	more questions.
23	A. Uh-huh.	23	FURTHER EXAMINATION
24	Q where you have just marked a star, is	24	QUESTIONS BY MR. GELFAND:
25	that where that driveway continues, in Exhibit No.	25	Q. I have a few follow-ups, based on what you
	127		128
	127		128
1	were just asked.	1	that you've testified about today
1 2		1 2	
	were just asked.		that you've testified about today
2	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your	2	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh.
2	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that	2	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved?
2 3 4	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law	2 3 4	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No.
2 3 4 5	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on	2 3 4 5 6	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by
2 3 4 5 6 7	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the policies that we reviewed, it's the role of a Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No. Q. Fair to say Mr. Mackenzie has some honesty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the policies that we reviewed, it's the role of a Court to decide that, not the role of law enforcement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No. Q. Fair to say Mr. Mackenzie has some honesty issues?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the policies that we reviewed, it's the role of a Court to decide that, not the role of law enforcement? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No. Q. Fair to say Mr. Mackenzie has some honesty issues? A. Agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the policies that we reviewed, it's the role of a Court to decide that, not the role of law enforcement? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No. Q. Fair to say Mr. Mackenzie has some honesty issues? A. Agree. Q. So regardless of whether that's true or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the policies that we reviewed, it's the role of a Court to decide that, not the role of law enforcement? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. A. I agree.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No. Q. Fair to say Mr. Mackenzie has some honesty issues? A. Agree. Q. So regardless of whether that's true or untrue, that Mr. Mackenzie has friends in the tow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the policies that we reviewed, it's the role of a Court to decide that, not the role of law enforcement? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. A. I agree. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Now, you were asked a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No. Q. Fair to say Mr. Mackenzie has some honesty issues? A. Agree. Q. So regardless of whether that's true or untrue, that Mr. Mackenzie has friends in the tow business or whatever it was he was trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the policies that we reviewed, it's the role of a Court to decide that, not the role of law enforcement? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. A. I agree. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Now, you were asked a number of questions about a the possibility of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No. Q. Fair to say Mr. Mackenzie has some honesty issues? A. Agree. Q. So regardless of whether that's true or untrue, that Mr. Mackenzie has friends in the tow business or whatever it was he was trying to suggest, the bottom line is, he just rode the bike
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the policies that we reviewed, it's the role of a Court to decide that, not the role of law enforcement? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. A. I agree. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Now, you were asked a number of questions about a the possibility of a or the offer of a tow company coming.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No. Q. Fair to say Mr. Mackenzie has some honesty issues? A. Agree. Q. So regardless of whether that's true or untrue, that Mr. Mackenzie has friends in the tow business or whatever it was he was trying to suggest, the bottom line is, he just rode the bike off, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the policies that we reviewed, it's the role of a Court to decide that, not the role of law enforcement? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. A. I agree. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Now, you were asked a number of questions about a the possibility of a or the offer of a tow company coming. A. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No. Q. Fair to say Mr. Mackenzie has some honesty issues? A. Agree. Q. So regardless of whether that's true or untrue, that Mr. Mackenzie has friends in the tow business or whatever it was he was trying to suggest, the bottom line is, he just rode the bike off, right? A. Yes. After an investigation was done. A
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the policies that we reviewed, it's the role of a Court to decide that, not the role of law enforcement? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. A. I agree. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Now, you were asked a number of questions about a the possibility of a or the offer of a tow company coming. A. Uh-huh. Q. Throughout the entire time that you dealt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No. Q. Fair to say Mr. Mackenzie has some honesty issues? A. Agree. Q. So regardless of whether that's true or untrue, that Mr. Mackenzie has friends in the tow business or whatever it was he was trying to suggest, the bottom line is, he just rode the bike off, right? A. Yes. After an investigation was done. A minor investigation was done on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	were just asked. You were asked, a few minutes ago, by your lawyer, whether you believed the story that Ms. Elmore and Mr. Mackenzie provided to law enforcement; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. At the time, that you were there, on October 22nd of 2022, before the bike was removed from the premises, did you believe that it was your role, as law enforcement, to determine which side to believe, when property is in dispute? A. At that time, it wasn't my at that time, I did not. Q. Would you agree with me that based on the policies that we reviewed, it's the role of a Court to decide that, not the role of law enforcement? MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. A. I agree. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Now, you were asked a number of questions about a the possibility of a or the offer of a tow company coming. A. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	that you've testified about today A. Uh-huh. Q was there ever a tow company involved? A. No. Q. Was there ever a tow truck involved? A. No. Q. So not withstanding statements by Mr. Mackenzie when you say that guy said he used to work for a tow company, are you referring to Mr. Mackenzie? A. Mr. Mackenzie. Yes. Q. So and to be clear, you don't even know whether that's true, right? A. No. Q. Fair to say Mr. Mackenzie has some honesty issues? A. Agree. Q. So regardless of whether that's true or untrue, that Mr. Mackenzie has friends in the tow business or whatever it was he was trying to suggest, the bottom line is, he just rode the bike off, right? A. Yes. After an investigation was done. A

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 34 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

			33 (Pages 129 to 132)
	129		130
1	A. By law enforcement. Correct.	1	Q. Okay. Meaning just coincidentally
1 2	Q. And the two people present, before that	2	A. Yeah.
3	happened, at the scene for law enforcement, were you	3	Q even though it's a different date,
4	and Officer Gerholdt, correct?	4	correct?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Okay. Now, finally, you were shown	6	Q. And you see the date on the top left
7	Exhibit 8, the picture, and asked some questions	7	corner
8	about the driveway, correct?	8	A. Yep.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q of the picture?
10	Q. And you were asked and I believe you	10	A. June of '23.
11	testified, that in front of the Jeep, in that photo,	11	Q. Okay. Now, the bottom line, though, is
12	the Google Maps photo let's be clear, for a	12	what really if I understood the question and your
13	second.	13	answer correctly, what you're really saying is that
14		14	when you arrived, the entire back yard is enclosed,
	Exhibit 8 is a Google Maps photo on a date		
15 16	that's different from the date you showed up, correct?	15 16	but there's a gate that was open, before you got there, correct?
17	A. Correct.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And so it generally depicts the property,	18	Q. And you testified that you have no idea
19	but it doesn't necessarily depict where certain	19	who opened it, how it was opened, correct?
20	vehicles and trash cans and other things were, on	20	A. Yes.
21 22	that night, correct? A. Correct.	21	Q. And you would agree with me that one of
		22 23	the possibilities is that Officer Gerholdt opened
23 24	Q. Okay. But the bottom line is	24	it? MS_DODEDTSON: Objection calls for
25	A. Well, that Jeep was parked there, like that, that night.	25	MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for
23	mat, mat night.	23	speculation.
	131		132
1		1	
1	A. I disagree on that.	1	calls for speculation.
2	A. I disagree on that.Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if	2	calls for speculation. A. Yes.
2	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time?	2	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you
2 3 4	 A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there 	2 3 4	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street
2 3 4 5	 A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was 	2 3 4 5	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead.
2 3 4	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time	2 3 4	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was	2 3 4 5 6 7	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened the gate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your testimony?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened the gate? A. I do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your testimony? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Would you agree with me that that's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your testimony? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Would you agree with me that that's a possibility?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your testimony? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) What's your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Would you agree with me that that's a possibility? A. Possibility. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your testimony? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) What's your understanding of what gives you the right to go into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Would you agree with me that that's a possibility? A. Possibility. Yeah. Q. These are people that you now know are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your testimony? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) What's your understanding of what gives you the right to go into someone's back yard, just because the gate is open?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Would you agree with me that that's a possibility? A. Possibility. Yeah. Q. These are people that you now know are willing to do some pretty crazy stuff, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your testimony? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) What's your understanding of what gives you the right to go into someone's back yard, just because the gate is open? A. It would either be a call of service or an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Would you agree with me that that's a possibility? A. Possibility. Yeah. Q. These are people that you now know are willing to do some pretty crazy stuff, right? A. True.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your testimony? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) What's your understanding of what gives you the right to go into someone's back yard, just because the gate is open? A. It would either be a call of service or an investigative stop. If I'm patrolling at night and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Would you agree with me that that's a possibility? A. Possibility. Yeah. Q. These are people that you now know are willing to do some pretty crazy stuff, right? A. True. Q. Do you believe that as a law enforcement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your testimony? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) What's your understanding of what gives you the right to go into someone's back yard, just because the gate is open? A. It would either be a call of service or an investigative stop. If I'm patrolling at night and I see somebody's gate open, that's usually never
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Would you agree with me that that's a possibility? A. Possibility. Yeah. Q. These are people that you now know are willing to do some pretty crazy stuff, right? A. True. Q. Do you believe that as a law enforcement officer, you can enter a person's gated enclosure,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your testimony? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) What's your understanding of what gives you the right to go into someone's back yard, just because the gate is open? A. It would either be a call of service or an investigative stop. If I'm patrolling at night and I see somebody's gate open, that's usually never open, I'm going to go back there, to see if somebody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I disagree on that. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) How do you know that, if you weren't there the whole time? A. I was because the first I was there probably two minutes behind. And he was he was getting the story before anything. So by the time he got by the time I arrived on scene, he was still getting the story. So he didn't go anywhere. And he was right by his vehicle. Q. Do you know whether Ms. Elmore opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Mackenzie opened the gate? A. I do not. Q. Would you agree with me that that's a possibility? A. Possibility. Yeah. Q. These are people that you now know are willing to do some pretty crazy stuff, right? A. True. Q. Do you believe that as a law enforcement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	calls for speculation. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) So just to be clear, you believe that if you're driving down a street A. Not just go ahead. Q. You're driving down a street and you see the equivalent of Mr. Thompson's driveway and house and the gate is open, you can just walk back into the back yard? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that your testimony? A. Yes. Q. Without a warrant? Is that your testimony? MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) What's your understanding of what gives you the right to go into someone's back yard, just because the gate is open? A. It would either be a call of service or an investigative stop. If I'm patrolling at night and I see somebody's gate open, that's usually never

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 35 of 55 PageID #: 795

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

	133		134
1	Q. Had you driven by this house before?	1	call the police, to come watch, before they do
2	A. Never.	2	something like steal a vehicle?
3	Q. So do you know whether the gate was	3	A. They no.
4	normally open or closed?	4	MS. ROBERTSON: No further questions.
5	A. No.	5	MR. GELFAND: I can't resist.
6	Q. Do you understand, Officer, that you can't	6	FURTHER EXAMINATION
7	just walk into someone's back yard?	7	QUESTIONS BY MR. GELFAND:
8	MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, argumentative,	8	Q. In your experience, as a law enforcement
9	calls for a legal conclusion.	9	officer, do police officers generally investigate
10	A. Repeat.	10	all of the facts, before jumping to conclusions?
11	Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Do you understand,	11	MS. ROBERTSON: Object to object to
12	Officer, that you can't just walk into someone's	12	form, vague, assumes facts not in evidence,
13	back yard?	13	speculation.
14	MS. ROBERTSON: I'm going to object to	14	A. Most officers.
15	form, vague, calls for a legal conclusion,	15	Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Is that what happened
16	argumentative, assumes facts not in evidence. You	16	here?
17	can answer if you know.	17	MS. ROBERTSON: Same objection.
18	A. I disagree with you on that.	18	Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer the
19 20	MR. GELFAND: I have no further questions.	19 20	question. You know the answer to this question.
21	Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION	21	A. I don't know what happened.Q. You were there.
22	QUESTIONS BY MS. ROBERTSON:	22	A. Yeah. I was there and with the facts and
23	Q. I have one one question.	23	information that was given, I think the right
24	Officer Cockrell, in your training and experience,	24	decision was made, at that time.
25	as a law enforcement officer, do criminals usually	25	Q. Even as you sit here today
			Q =
	135		136
_			
1	A. At that time.	1	Q. On the front page, there's a list of
2	Q no regrets?	2	officers, including their names, identification
3 4	A. I don't have any regrets. My name's not	3 4	numbers, and roles, correct? A. Yes.
5	even on the report. I don't have no regrets. Q. I was going to ask you about that. Why is	5	Q. Would you agree that this lists various
6	your name nowhere on the report, when you're one of	6	officers down to Al Gerholdt?
7	two people who were there?	7	A. Yes.
8	MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for	8	Q. And it includes, when somebody arrives on
9	speculation.	9	scene, when somebody departs the scene, so on and so
10	Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) You can answer that		forth, correct?
11	question.	11	A. Yes.
12	A. Because I had nothing to do with the	12	Q. Why are you nowhere listed on there?
13	decisions that was made. Do you think it would be	13	MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for
14	fair to put your name on a report, if you were just	14	speculation.
15	there for safety reasons? Now, if I made a decision	15	A. Because I was assist officer.
16	on something or did something, I agree that I should	16	Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) Based on your training
17	be in the report and do a supplement.	17	and experience, as a Manchester Police Department
18	Q. Well, let's talk about that, for a second.	18	officer, is it your testimony that when an officer
19	Can you please go to the report? I think it's	19	is physically present, they should not be in that
20	Exhibit 4. Did I get the exhibit number correctly?	20	part?
21	A. Yeah.	21	MS. ROBERTSON: Objection, vague, calls
22	Q. Are you familiar with the general form of	22	for speculation.
23	Manchester Police Department Investigative, slash,	23	A. At times they are needed, they should be
24 25	Offense Reports?		entered in. But when they were just standing by, to
Z)	A. Yes.	25	keep the peace, no.

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 36 of 55 PageID

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

```
137
                                                                                                                                         138
  1
          Q. (BY MR. GELFAND) And finally, when you
                                                                                         CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
      just used the phrase -- and I think I asked you this
                                                                               STATE OF MISSOURI
  2
      before, but I'll just ask you again. When you used
  3
                                                                               CITY OF ST. LOUIS
      the phrase standing by and keeping the peace, that's
  4
                                                                                  I, Sheila Field, do hereby certify that
  5
      all in connection with what you call the community
                                                                               pursuant to Notice, there came before me at
      caretaker exception, correct?
  6
                                                                               Reichardt Noce & Young, 12444 Powerscourt Drive,
  7
          A. Correct.
                                                                            7
                                                                               Suite 160, in the City of St. Louis, State of
  8
             MR. GELFAND: Thank you. I have no
                                                                            8
                                                                               Missouri.
  9
      further questions.
                                                                           9
                                                                                           JOSHUA COCKRELL,
             MS. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.
10
                                                                          10
                                                                               who was by me first duly sworn to tell the whole
11
             MR. GELFAND: Okay. Officer --
                                                                               truth of his knowledge touching the matter in
12
             MS. ROBERTSON: We'll review and sign.
                                                                          12
                                                                               controversy aforesaid; that the witness was examined
13
              (WHEREIN, the deposition was concluded.)
                                                                               on the day and place in that first aforesaid, and
14
                                                                               the examination was taken by voice shorthand and
15
                                                                               later reduced to typewriting; that the signature of
                                                                          15
16
                                                                          16
                                                                               the deponent was reserved by agreement of counsel,
17
                                                                          17
                                                                               and the deposition is herewith returned.
18
                                                                          18
                                                                                   IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
19
                                                                          19
                                                                               and seal this 17th day of December, 2023.
 20
                                                                          20
 21
                                                                          21
 22
                                                                          22
 23
                                                                          23
                                                                                               /s/ Sheila Field
 2.4
                                                                          24
                                                                                               Sheila Field, CCR
 25
                                                                          25
                                                               139
                                                                                                                                         140
         FIELD REPORTING SERVICES
                                                                           1
                                                                                    SIGNATURE PAGE OF JOSHUA COCKRELL
   P.O. Box 252092
                      St. Louis, MO 63125
                                                                           2
                                                                                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
   314-461-2122
                   Federal ID No. 92-1238320
                                                                           3
                                                                                      EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
   December 17, 2023
                                                                           4
                                                                                         EASTERN DIVISION
   Reichardt Noce & Young
                                                                           5
                                                                               RAYMOND THOMPSON,
   12444 Powerscourt Drive, Suite 160
   St. Louis, Missouri 63131
   Attn: Catherine Robertson
                                                                           6
                                                                                    Plaintiff,
   In re: Raymond Thompson v. Joshua Cockrell, et al
                                                                           7
                                                                                             )Cause No.: 4:23-cv-133-SRW
   Dear Ms. Robertson:
10
                                                                              JOSHUA COCKRELL, et al, )
   Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of
11
   Joshua Cockrell, taken in the above-styled cause on
   December 4, 2023. Also enclosed is the original
                                                                           9
                                                                                    Defendant. )
   signature page and errata sheet.
                                                                          10
   Please have the witness read your copy of the
                                                                          11
                                                                                    I, JOSHUA COCKRELL, do hereby certify that
   transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections
                                                                          12
   desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature
                                                                              I have read the foregoing deposition given by me
   page before a Notary Public.
                                                                          13
                                                                               before Sheila Field, Certified Court Reporter in and
15
                                                                               for the State of Missouri on December 4, 2023.
   Please return the errata sheets and notarized
16
   signature to Mr. Gelfand, for filing, prior to the
                                                                          15
   trial date.
                                                                          16
17
                                                                          17
   If you have any questions or concerns, please do not
                                                                                             JOSHUA COCKRELL
   hesitate to contact me. Thank you in advance for
                                                                          18
   your cooperation.
                                                                          19
19
                                                                          20
                                                                                    Subscribed and sworn to before me this
   Sincerely,
                                                                          21
                                                                                        day of
20
21
                                                                          22
                                                                                     My commission expires
   Sheila Field
                                                                          23
   CCR No. 1226
                                                                          24
23
   CC: Justin Gelfand
24
                                                                          25
                                                                                             Notary Public
```

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 37 of 55 PageID

#: 797

Joshua Cockrell - December 4, 2023

36 (Page 141)

	141	
1	JOSHUA COCKRELL	
2	ERRATA	
	In re: RAYMOND THOMPSON v. JOSHUA COCKRELL, et al	
	Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing	
	thereto, the deponent requested the following:	
	Page Line Should Read:	
	Reason for Change:	
	Page Line Should Read:	
	Reason for Change:	
10		
11	Reason for Change:	
	Page Line Should Read:	
13	Reason for Change:	
14	Page Line Should Read:	
15	Reason for Change:	
16	Page Line Should Read:	
17	Reason for Change:	
	Page Line Should Read:	
	Reason for Change:	
	Page Line Should Read:	
	Reason for Change:	
	Page Line Should Read:	
	Reason for Change:	
24		
25	Signature of Witness	

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 38 of 55 PageID #: 798

	 I	 I	 I	 I
A	12:18 13:1 14:7	52:10 54:5	102:8,9 107:18	101:22 118:5
a.m 79:7 92:13	36:21,25 37:10	58:24 66:25	113:8	assumed 90:5
Aaron 93:10	37:16,23 50:11	71:25 73:2 76:4	approximating	118:4
ability 11:13,17	50:14 51:1,13	76:25 79:14	101:21	assumes 37:4
11:25	60:13,19 65:20	86:23 94:15,17	area 102:24	38:10 54:2 60:3
able 34:8 59:10	66:17 67:14	99:9 108:5	arguing 115:22	66:23 70:6 71:4
64:24 75:13	68:8 71:18 72:2	113:23 114:13	argumentative	71:22 99:19
above-entitled	74:25 75:25	114:15,20	59:4 133:8,16	118:25 133:16
3:23	86:14 87:10	117:11 130:13	armed 26:1	134:12
above-styled	99:4 101:10	133:17 134:18	arrests 7:7	attempt 11:17
139:11	102:10 103:16	134:19 135:10	arrival 19:16	48:6 79:5
academic 8:7	119:4,6,9,12,16	answered 20:20	arrive 82:9	attempted 78:12
academy 8:10	123:11,14	108:4 114:6,17	arrived 16:10,13	attempting
access 12:5,8	127:14,19	answers 2:9 40:9	16:17,23 19:19	113:19
41:12	128:17 130:21	anybody 19:25	26:9 50:10 69:7	attention 14:10
accurate 10:17	131:16 135:16	23:10 24:2	71:13 82:11,14	106:15,20,23
14:4,8 28:2	136:5	30:10,13 35:2	83:8 89:19 96:5	Attn 139:7
32:10 88:22	agreed 4:13 61:3	anybody's 24:1,4	116:1 119:18	attorney 94:14
104:14 117:18	agreement 4:19	appear 39:21	120:4 124:3	attorneys 94:13
119:5	138:16	55:12 91:20	130:14 131:7	author 57:7
accurately 67:11	ahead 132:5	appears 49:23	arrives 82:6	authority 42:17
acknowledge	al 1:8 3:8 136:6	98:14 99:11	136:8	43:6
31:22	139:8 140:8	100:12	arriving 50:25	authorized 64:9
acted 67:3	141:3	applicable 66:19	arrow 97:6	74:22
activate 40:17,23	allow 53:23	applied 74:15	aside 106:6	available 12:20
82:18,20,25	allowed 59:17,23	75:1	115:11	13:5,12,13
actual 78:2	59:23 79:10	applies 74:4	asked 19:16,17	Avenue 4:3
126:17	Amara 14:24	apply 11:14,25	42:15,24,25	aware 13:20 50:1
address 15:6	30:22 72:17	37:2,12 61:12	43:1 95:4,11	57:17 66:4
65:25 66:6 92:9	121:3,25	67:12	108:3,9 116:4	78:20 81:20
93:1,19,24	amount 88:10	applying 74:9	127:1,2,20	93:23,24 94:1
advance 139:18	and/or 16:18	appreciate 114:8	129:7,10 137:2	112:1,4
advise 48:13,13	111:19 139:13	approach 24:7	asking 45:7 51:12	B
48:15	angle 104:17	approached	76:14 92:2,4,19	B 2:7 49:21 75:12
advised 50:1	angry 83:18	41:25	92:21	back 17:14 18:9
affidavits 10:18	announce 20:15	approaches 24:6	asks 5:21	20:2 22:7,10
13:15	announced 35:6	appropriate 11:5	assigned 7:4	23:9,11,15
aforesaid 138:12	answer 6:3,16 7:3	11:6 12:3,4	98:24	24:10,12,14,15
138:13	11:2 12:24 13:8	41:15	assist 64:24 80:12	24:21 25:1,3
afternoon 3:16	13:10 24:2	approved 11:14	136:15	29:25 30:6,8
age 5:2	26:17 32:9,18	approximately	assistance 36:15	31:17,23 33:1,5
agencies 11:7	33:9 34:12 35:23 37:5 14	9:20 15:16,23 20:24 25:2	36:18 91:8,11 112:22	34:7,8,19 35:2
agency 83:21	35:23 37:5,14		·	35:17,20 36:5
ago 29:25 33:20	38:11 41:23	32:16 69:6	associate's 8:12 associates 8:8	36:14,17,22
127:2	42:12 43:17,24 47:11 51:5,18	78:13 80:6,23 82:8 87:10	associates 8:8 assume 6:4 70:10	37:1,11,19
agree 10:5,7,22	47.11 31.3,18	02.0 07.10	assume 0.4 /0:10	J,11,17
	I	I	1	l

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 39 of 55 PageID #: 799

42:16 43:5 47:4	believe 35:21	Box 1:23 139:2	28:18 31:18	case 12:4,11 13:3
47:16 50:8,11	36:3 51:21	bread 76:2	33:7 34:12	13:4,15,18,23
50:16,18 54:22	67:23 75:6	break 46:21 47:3	35:11,22 37:4	32:1,4 37:22
59:8 60:8 64:25	86:13 110:20	47:5,7 84:21	38:9 40:24	41:6 50:5 61:6
67:25 69:2	112:11,13,15,15	94:23,25 120:14	41:22 42:19	64:12 65:3
73:15 80:8,9,10	120:10 121:7,8	120:16	49:3 51:5 52:8	66:18 90:24
82:11,12,14	127:9,11 129:10	brief 47:3 94:23	52:9 54:3,3	94:10 105:3
83:7 84:7,8	131:22 132:4	120:16	58:23 62:11	115:2,14
86:6,12 87:23	believed 121:22	briefly 14:13	66:24,24 68:6,7	case.net 78:24
88:19 90:19	121:23 127:3	117:2 120:22	70:5 71:3,5,21	cases 10:24 76:9
94:24 96:12,16	belonged 112:18	brings 126:15	71:23 73:1 75:2	115:8
100:21,25 101:1	benefit 5:8 27:13	broadly 7:20	75:3 79:21 82:2	Catherine 4:9
102:18 103:11	71:19 72:6	brought 122:3	86:22 88:17,20	139:7
106:10 107:25	best 21:13 26:24	bucks 107:23	88:25 91:4	cause 1:7 3:7,20
108:8 109:18	32:10,11 41:19	bull 83:19	99:18 103:19	37:1,11 65:5,11
110:11,13,14,19	49:11	bunch 91:21	106:13 107:4,20	75:14 76:1
110:20,21	better 25:13	bureau 11:7	113:10,22	139:11 140:7
113:25 118:17	bike 19:13 38:24	business 128:20	116:18 127:17	caveat 42:5
119:7 121:17,17	61:22,24 62:1,7	butter 76:2	130:24 132:1	CC 139:23
122:5,11 123:23	77:6,12,19,20	button 29:5,6	133:9,15 135:8	CCR 1:20 4:16
124:14 125:5,11	77:21,23 78:25	buying 77:23	136:13,21	138:24 139:22
126:4,6 130:14	79:3,6,11 83:24		cam 26:11,13,15	CD 41:9
132:8,9,19,23	84:6 101:11	C	26:25 28:7,11	certain 3:20
132:25 133:7,13	102:8,20 108:21	C 4:1 43:17	28:21 29:3	10:24 28:18
background 8:7	122:9,12 127:8	C-H-A-R-L-E-S	82:18,20,25	100:24 129:19
backyard 23:3	128:21	5:11	83:1 94:3,4	CERTIFICATE
badge 71:15	Black 56:25	C-O-C-K-R-E	camera 27:4,6,11	138:1
Ballpark 9:21	blank 93:21	5:12	28:19,20,25	Certified 3:19
based 24:15	blinking 42:6	C2 75:18	29:8 40:22 41:4	4:16 140:13
29:23 33:16,24	blue 100:6	call 7:16,18 11:9	41:13,20,21,24	certify 138:4
57:23,24 60:11	blunt 85:5	11:10,11 15:19	42:9 98:14,20	140:11
61:15 75:14,15	body 27:4,6,11	27:1 28:21	99:7	Change 141:7,9
77:13 98:17	28:7,11,19,20	32:23,25 37:18	cameras 40:17	141:11,13,15,17
105:2 126:25	28:21,25 29:3,8	37:20 38:2,8	98:23	141:19,21,23
127:14 136:16	40:17,22 41:4	40:23 54:7 61:6	cans 129:20	changed 118:22
basically 7:15,22	41:12,20,24	64:17 81:12	capacity 9:7	changes 139:13
33:11,13 96:12	42:8 82:20,25	82:23 89:5	car 64:17,20,22	Charles 5:10 8:9
basis 31:3 52:5	94:3 98:13,14	108:20 116:7,12 132:20 134:1	64:25 67:20,24	check 33:21 36:6
52:14 P 4 6 0 5 6 14	98:20,23 99:7	137:5	care 20:11 32:8	77:22 87:20,24
Bates 46:9 56:14	Bonhomme 4:3	called 19:3 78:17	117:17	88:7 102:20
60:10 65:9 66:2	bother 59:2	81:21	caretaker 43:19	children 11:8
bearings 24:20	bottom 43:16	calling 52:21 69:1	137:6	circle 101:20
beginning 47:6	56:15 76:16	calls 7:3 8:4	caretaking	102:7
56:23	86:16 119:1	12:23 24:23	119:13	circled 110:14
behalf 1:13 3:14 5:3	128:21 129:23 130:11	25:4 27:9,17	carried 60:11 cars 71:13	circumstance 12:19
3.3	130.11	23.127.2,17	Cars / 1.13	14.17
	l	I	l	l

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 40 of 55 PageID #: 800

	_			_
circumstances	130:1	137:13	125:25	69:19,20 70:4,8
35:21 36:1,4,12	cold 111:20	conclusion 31:3	contract 60:12,14	70:9,16,25 71:9
61:13 65:15	colleagues 13:18	35:23 42:20	controversy	71:16 73:24,25
74:21	College 8:9	51:5 52:9 54:3	138:12	74:5,10,11,13
city 6:21,24 7:2	color 53:14 56:25	66:24 68:7 71:4	conversation	74:14,17,18
9:19 15:11 65:6	98:2 111:13,13	71:24 72:12	18:13	75:8,19,20,23
65:14 94:13	come 14:23 15:1	75:3 86:23	conversations	76:3,10,12,13
95:9 138:3,7	15:4,16 21:7,10	88:21 92:5	95:8	77:2,4,14,25
claimed 60:14	21:14 134:1	127:18 133:9,15	convey 16:22	78:1,5,8,11,15
117:18	comes 81:24	conclusions	83:16	78:16,18 79:22
claiming 27:21	coming 127:22	134:10	conveyed 16:24	79:25 80:20,22
119:20	commercial	condition 107:2	116:15	82:1 84:2,3
claims 27:15	122:14	conduct 11:17	cooperation	86:21 87:4,12
clarify 61:9 110:4	commission	44:6 75:1	139:18	87:14,17 89:9
Class 8:11	140:22	118:14	copied 91:25	96:8,9,14,24,25
clean 47:17	communicated	conducted 75:16	94:13	97:2,3,6,7,12,14
clear 13:20 24:19	62:14,17 70:11	113:2 118:16	copy 19:23 39:9	97:15 98:2,3,8
28:24 36:10	70:12 115:25	conducting 75:6	39:10 40:3	99:12 100:11,19
50:8 54:15	116:2	confusion 55:25	45:16 46:24	101:1,6,23
59:12,22 60:13	communication	connection 13:15	63:20,24 64:1	103:12,14
60:23 62:6	69:19 89:24	13:18 18:25	98:2 139:10,13	104:17 106:24
64:12 70:17	90:12	25:1 26:25 32:1	corner 125:17	107:1 108:12,17
79:16 86:3	community 7:5	75:22 87:21	130:7	108:21 112:24
100:22 101:19	8:9 43:18	94:10 105:2	correct 8:2 11:15	113:16 114:13
101:19 103:8	119:13 137:5	109:5,10 115:7	11:18,22,23,24	114:18,25 115:1
106:21 114:24	company 60:20	115:13 137:5	12:1,7,13,16,17	115:19 116:8,16
117:12 128:12	60:21 122:18	consent 4:19	15:11,12,14	118:10 119:7,10
129:12 132:3	127:22 128:3,9	36:23	17:19 18:11	119:14,18 120:4
clearly 86:20	complete 6:15,16	consider 112:19	19:14 20:5,14	120:5,8 123:1
102:11 103:13	14:8 65:23 66:5	considered	21:3 23:22	123:17 127:5
click 93:14	66:12 112:20	113:18	24:11,17,18,21	129:1,4,8,16,17
client 94:14	113:19	consistent 14:4	28:3 29:2,10,19	129:21,22 130:4
120:12	complicate 58:5	44:7 60:25	40:12,19 41:18	130:5,16,19
closed 104:2	complicated	61:11,14,18	45:25 46:2,3	136:3,10 137:6
133:4	101:9	118:8	47:19,20,25	137:7
clue 81:25	Complying	consistently 67:4	48:1,4,7,8,18	corrections
Cockrell 1:8,12	101:18 103:7	Constitution	50:6,20,21,23	139:13
3:8,13 5:1,11,12	125:20	75:17	50:24 51:9	correctly 13:21
23:21 25:19	compound 34:11	contact 14:23	54:18 56:9	43:8,13,22
26:5 120:18	99:18	15:1,4,17 16:18	59:14,15,25	45:24 49:22
121:1 133:24	comprehensive	16:20 21:7,10	60:17 61:6	50:3 59:18
138:9 139:8,11	40:8	21:14 22:8 79:4	62:15,17,18	65:18 130:13
140:1,8,11,18	concerns 106:7	83:10 110:25	63:18,19 64:10	135:20
141:1,3	139:17	121:3 139:18	64:21 65:1 66:8	Correspondence
coincided 30:5	concluded 21:1	contest 47:7	66:10 67:13	2:15
coincidentally	21:25 52:6,15	continues 22:22	68:4,5 69:14,17	cost 105:14
	l	l	l	l

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 41 of 55 PageID #: 801

		I	<u> </u>	
107:19	dash 26:11,13,15	deep 24:20	90:1 97:4 107:2	discuss 90:15
Cottagemill	26:25 40:17	defendant 1:9 3:9	118:13	discussed 122:1
21:21 55:17	41:20 82:18	4:6,15 40:16	described 7:9	126:5
counsel 2:21 4:14	83:1 94:4	140:9	50:23 65:15	discussion 94:21
4:14,19 138:16	date 55:20 56:1,2	defer 61:4	100:25 119:13	discussions 96:6
couple 6:7 56:11	63:17 64:3	degree 8:8,13	describing 29:24	dispatch 16:25
95:16 119:3	86:25 87:5,8,11	delivery 68:3	36:11	83:22 126:10
course 5:15 12:16	93:6 105:7	department 7:22	DESCRIPTION	dispatched 80:11
50:5 100:23	129:14,15 130:3	9:16 12:15	2:8	80:12,25
126:14	130:6 139:16	14:21 35:3	design 125:5	dispatching 30:7
court 1:1 3:1,19	dated 92:12 93:4	40:22 44:15	designated 65:6	disposal 10:23
3:21 4:16 5:8	daughter 30:12	45:23 47:24	65:14	dispose 48:18
6:8 49:12,15,18	30:17 31:1,4,5	55:13 64:18	desired 139:14	dispute 45:23
49:22 50:2,6	106:5 112:2	76:17 77:1 79:4	destroy 94:3	47:24 48:12,16
60:12,17 61:4	daughter's 61:19	80:14 83:21	detail 118:13	48:22 49:1
67:24 68:3	Davidson 50:12	93:25 95:9	detailed 96:11	51:22 64:19
76:22 115:5,13	50:15 51:3	117:3 126:19	details 7:19 14:14	127:11
127:15 140:2,13	53:24 56:22	135:23 136:17	14:16	distance 57:25
courts 48:13,17	57:3 66:10 68:9	department's	detained 85:15	distanced 90:25
48:24	68:22 69:8	15:13 112:19	detective 11:7	distributed 76:17
crap 83:19	87:13 88:9,16	departs 136:9	detectives 7:8	District 1:1,2 3:1
crazy 131:20	105:5 106:9	depends 44:19	91:1	3:2,21,22 140:2
creates 28:1	107:3 108:15	93:14,14 103:21	determination	140:3
crime 12:5 113:4	112:18 119:17	depict 101:3	49:12,15,18	Division 1:3 3:3
criminal 8:8	Davidsons 104:25	129:19	determine 20:22	3:22 140:4
37:18,20,21	day 3:17 7:14,15	depicted 97:21	23:7 30:25 35:2	DNA 12:12
38:1,8 115:7,14	7:17,20,22,25	99:23 100:7,18	38:12,21,22	document 53:3,4
criminals 133:25	18:20 21:17	101:13 102:7	48:14,25 62:6,9	53:6,16,20
critical 117:16	81:11,14 90:20	103:2,17 104:19	118:21 127:10	63:15
cross 104:21	107:19 138:13	104:22 123:3	determined 65:16	documented
Crotch 105:20	138:19 140:21	depicting 104:13	determining	75:19
CRU 7:4	deal 67:19	depicts 103:10	54:23	documents 12:1
curious 85:20	dealing 68:25	129:18	device 98:14,16	18:25 77:13
currently 6:20	dealings 126:14	depo 47:6 95:25	different 25:24	78:6 84:1,10
7:4 103:2	dealt 127:24	deponent 138:16	27:8 32:13	85:22 88:14
custom 106:11,19	Dear 139:9	141:5	34:17 51:11	94:4 112:14
106:22	December 1:14	deposes 5:3	67:20 99:20	doing 7:7,24
D	3:14 138:19	deposit 107:22	129:15 130:3	28:22 40:12
	139:5,11 140:14	deposition 1:12	differentiate	73:5 118:2,3
D 2:1 66:14	decide 127:16	3:13 4:15 5:13	103:6	door 17:13 18:1,1
dad 17:1,1	decided 70:2	5:15 98:7	direct 14:10 61:7	18:2 20:1,4,7,9
121:12	decision 61:5	100:23 126:4	directed 49:25	20:13,21 24:2
dad's 18:15	71:20 72:7,10	137:13 138:17	disagree 37:17	78:14 96:7
daily 76:2	134:24 135:15	139:10 140:12	58:21 119:3	doorbell 20:6
damage 105:15	decisions 89:5	141:4	131:1 133:18	DOR 66:13
dark 57:24	135:13	describe 36:3	discipline 114:10	126:16,19
				<u> </u>

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 42 of 55 PageID #: 802

	Ī		Ī	<u> </u>
download 41:17	earth 95:10	102:11 130:14	130:14	execute 11:14
downloaded 41:9	easier 6:9	139:10,11	entitled 46:5	executing 74:9,16
41:11	Eastern 1:2,3 3:2	enclosure 57:4	67:24	exhibit 2:8 39:6,7
draft 13:14	3:3,21,22 140:3	96:13 119:17,19	equivalent 132:7	39:17,18,21
draw 101:16	140:4	119:21 131:23	errata 139:12,14	45:2,4,7,10,14
drawings 100:13	effect 63:18	encompassed	139:15 141:2	45:16,20 47:15
dressed 26:5,6	effective 46:1	126:5	Escape 52:24	47:18,22 49:3
drew 97:6 101:20	47:19 63:14	encompassing	111:13	55:1,2,3,9,12
102:3	73:19	69:12	essentially 20:3	58:7,8,13 59:9
drive 3:18 4:7	effectively 67:16	encountered	56:4 97:8	60:8 62:25 63:3
21:21 55:17	70:2	74:22	104:12	63:6,8 73:8,9,10
63:25 109:21	effort 95:11	ends 67:15	establish 45:21	73:12,15,16,22
111:7 138:6	efforts 94:9	enforced 49:24	47:23 88:15	74:1,2,4,7 85:21
139:6	either 46:11	enforcement 8:16	establishes 64:6	85:23 86:2
driven 133:1	84:19 100:25	8:19,23 9:9,11	estimate 69:15	91:14,15,17,20
driver's 97:8	132:20	10:4,21,23	estimated 32:15	95:12,21,22
driveway 23:1,2	Elmore 14:24	11:13 16:11,14	et 1:8 3:8 139:8	96:4 97:16,19
23:4,5 25:3	15:17 16:18	35:9 38:21	140:8 141:3	97:19,22 98:1,5
30:1 96:23,24	18:6,17 19:12	67:15,16,18	evening 14:12,18	99:5,7,23 100:2
97:1 104:13	19:22 21:11	68:11 69:3	29:1 41:21 42:9	100:5,6,7,12,18
110:13 123:3,7	23:16,20 30:20	70:24 71:20	122:1	101:3,13,16
124:10 125:22	30:22,25 31:9	72:7 75:23 76:3	events 100:24	102:14,15,18,18
125:25 129:8	34:10 35:8	79:10 82:6	everyday 75:22	104:8,11,20,22
132:7	49:13 52:15	108:1 109:12	evidence 10:15	110:15 114:1
driving 64:20	53:23 54:22	112:24 114:10	37:5 38:10	122:22,23,23,24
132:4,6	57:8 59:16 70:3	126:13 127:5,10	41:18 53:8,9	124:18 125:12
drones 11:8	70:19 72:17	127:16 128:25	54:2,3 60:3,4	125:13,22,25
drove 70:19	78:3 79:9 105:6	129:1,3 131:22	66:24 70:6,7	129:7,14 135:20
109:19,22 110:6	109:17,22,22	133:25 134:8	71:4,22,23	135:20
110:12 111:11	110:1,8 111:10	engage 29:2,3	79:13 94:10	exhibits 2:21
111:13	112:2,17 117:12	89:23 90:11	99:19 118:25	39:14 58:6
DSN 25:25 98:9	118:8 121:3,25	engaged 70:20	133:16 134:12	104:16
101:22	127:4 131:10	English 79:17	exactly 17:16	exigent 35:21,25
due 7:6	Elmore's 31:11	enjoy 106:4	32:14 81:13	36:3,11
duly 138:10	77:9	ensure 43:21	examination 5:5	exit 83:8
dusty 107:7	email 2:15 91:20	enter 32:14 36:4	120:24 126:23	experience 98:17
duties 7:1,11,14	92:1,9,12 93:1,6	36:14,17 42:15	133:21 134:6	126:13 133:24
75:22	93:12,16,19,24	42:17 43:4,6	138:14	134:8 136:17
duty 14:18,20	94:13	131:23	examined 3:14	expires 140:22
69:3 81:12	emailed 78:18	entered 25:2	5:2 12:13	expressly 4:18
dying 42:7	emergency 26:19	29:25 35:17,20	138:12	extend 124:13
	26:21 36:15,18	36:22 96:10,12	example 27:23	extends 124:15
E 2:1,7 4:1,1	employed 6:20	101:13 119:6	41:5 105:10	
earlier 42:25	employees 80:13	136:24	examples 75:8	face 88:15
77:23 96:5	enabled 26:25	entire 31:3 34:18	exception 43:19	fact 81:20 98:20
11.45 70.5	enclosed 101:1	69:18,21 127:24	119:13 137:6	1act 01.20 70.20
	<u> </u>	1	l	l

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 43 of 55 PageID #: 803

	•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
98:22 99:13	3:19 4:16 138:4	56:3 80:2 141:5	fun 106:7	58:13 59:2,5,6
facts 37:4 38:10	138:23,24 139:1	foot 31:22	function 9:9,12	60:5 62:14,21
54:2 60:3 61:12	139:21 140:13	footage 40:23	10:5 39:2	62:24 63:2 67:1
66:23 70:7 71:4	Fifteen 58:10	41:5,13 42:3,9	furious 83:5	68:8 70:8 71:2
71:22 99:19	figured 121:18	94:3,4	further 120:11,17	71:6,25 72:3,6
118:25 133:16	filing 139:16	Ford 52:24	126:23 133:19	73:2,12 75:5,11
134:10,12,22	finally 88:7	111:12,13	133:21 134:4,6	76:5,12 79:16
factually 49:11	113:25 129:6	foregoing 140:12	137:9,10	82:5 86:1 87:1
51:12	137:1	forensics 12:5		88:18,22 89:3
fair 6:1,2,5,18	financial 77:13	forgot 17:2	G	91:7,17 92:2,6,8
10:8 12:19 13:2	find 68:19 99:13	forks 34:4	gamut 12:6	92:19,23,25
20:25 27:13,19	139:10	form 35:11 37:4	garage 65:6,13,13	94:20,24 95:20
33:16 39:1	fine 40:2,5,6	38:9 49:2 54:2	123:24 124:17	95:24 97:18
48:20,23 54:25	46:25 47:8 92:6	65:23,24 66:6	125:2,6,10	99:20,22 100:4
77:11 78:23	110:3	66:13 68:6 73:1	gas 58:18 111:16	102:4,6,17
79:2 80:17	fingerprints	76:11 99:18	111:17	103:24 105:10
81:23 84:7 85:9	12:12	103:20 105:8	gate 25:10 59:11	106:16 107:10
88:12 102:6	firearms 44:21	113:21 131:25	104:13,22	107:13,24 108:5
104:1 105:11	first 7:18 16:12	133:15 134:12	119:21 123:25	113:14,23 114:4
116:14 128:15	19:2,2,5,6 23:13	135:22	124:4,13 125:14	114:6 119:1
135:14	34:20 54:13	forth 6:13 18:9	125:16,17	120:10,15,17
fairly 12:21 13:6	63:3 78:12 82:6	136:10	130:15 131:11	122:20 126:24
24:20 43:2	88:12 98:4	forward 65:24	131:14,24 132:8	127:20 131:2
103:18	101:13 108:19	66:6	132:19,22 133:3	132:3,11,17
falsified 112:14	116:5 131:4	foundation 91:25	gated 24:10,12,16	133:11,19 134:5
familial 31:8	138:10,13	92:17	57:4 96:12,13	134:7,15,18
familiar 40:21	firsthand 105:3	four 34:23 117:9	119:17,19	135:10 136:16
44:10 74:2	five 33:2,2 47:12	free 85:16	131:23	137:1,8,11
135:22	106:1 117:9	frequently 117:5	Gelfand 2:3 4:3,5	139:16,23
family 115:23	five-seven 103:25	friend 121:14	5:6 11:3 13:1,9	general 2:10,11
far 25:2 59:13	104:2	friends 116:21	19:18,20 24:25	2:13 7:11,13,22
father 112:12	fix 46:19 105:15	128:19	25:7 26:20	14:7 44:2,8,10
faxed 39:25	flashing 29:7	frisk 75:15	27:19 28:1	44:13,14,17,19
FBI 11:10	Florida 107:17	front 18:1,2 20:4	31:21 32:19,20	44:19,24 45:10
Federal 139:3	focused 54:19,21	22:17 29:5,6	33:9 34:14	45:21 47:18,22
feet 25:7	focusing 37:7	34:4 45:7 55:10	35:14,25 37:7	60:9 61:1,11
felt 112:21	folder 93:22	58:14 63:4	37:15 38:7,14	62:20 63:11,14
female 16:25 19:6	follow 10:12	73:13 83:12	38:18 39:12,15	64:5 67:4 73:16
19:12 30:5	22:22 45:22	86:7,12 90:4	39:16 40:5,7	73:22 121:22
fence 24:16 50:17	47:23	91:18 96:7	41:3 42:2,8,21	135:22
50:22 69:2	follow-ups	97:19 103:1	42:23 45:2,6	generally 98:22
102:11 103:13	126:25	104:8,13 123:10	46:10,14,17,22	113:6 129:18
103:17,18 104:3	followed 33:13	123:15,19,22,25	46:25 47:2,4	134:9
104:22 109:24	44:15 74:13	129:11 136:1	49:5 51:8,18	Gerholdt 7:17
123:23	103:9,9 111:16	fugitive 7:7	52:14,20 54:5	13:21 16:12,15
Field 1:20,23	following 23:18	full 5:8	55:7,9 58:4,11	16:20,22 17:6
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 44 of 55 PageID #: 804

17:10,13,22,25	60:9 64:20 65:9	H 2:7	hiding 38:25	58:9 63:1 73:11
19:7,13,24,25	83:23 84:10,24	half 9:19 87:11	highway 65:12	85:24 91:16
20:3 21:1,25	84:25 85:6,13	halfway 36:8	hindsight 71:19	95:23 97:17
22:7,22 23:14	85:14 87:19,19	hand 16:21 19:7	72:7	100:3 102:16
23:15,20 24:6,8	93:3 94:18	19:22 53:4	history 83:3	118:15 126:9
26:6 30:3,6	102:18 109:7,14	138:18	Hold 66:21 91:23	136:2
33:5,17,21,24	111:16 112:10	handed 89:14	94:11,11 99:17	identified 34:24
34:10 35:4	112:11 114:7	handicapped	home 20:17,23	56:23
36:22 38:4	121:16,17	112:12 121:12	21:1,2 22:1,2	ignition 58:17
41:20 53:5,22	122:10 131:8	happen 27:15,16	35:2 78:15	ignoring 100:5,9
54:10 57:7	132:5,18,23	27:22,23	81:24 115:23	100:15
60:25 62:3,3,10	135:19	happened 7:20	homeowner 22:1	imagine 93:21
62:18 69:22	goes 93:21 115:23	17:16 29:23	honest 10:17	immediately
70:15,16 71:13	124:16	30:2 42:3 60:5	honesty 128:15	16:18 68:3
73:7 77:2 80:11	going 5:16 6:4	60:6 65:21 76:8	hospital 121:13	111:14
80:18 82:7	13:8,10 30:6	76:10 82:11	hour 107:23	immigration
83:15,25 84:15	40:7 44:6 47:16	83:3,4 84:21	hourly 107:23	11:11
84:20 86:10	55:1,2 58:4,5	89:18 90:15	hours 3:15 41:10	imminent 36:19
89:7,10,14	61:7 62:21 73:8	100:24 101:5	44:7 81:3	important 10:6
90:12,14 96:18	73:9 79:20	129:3 134:15,20	house 15:10	14:7 38:21
97:5,25 98:5,9	85:21 91:13,23	happens 11:23	18:24 20:1 21:4	61:10 72:23
98:13 101:12	95:4,17,20	28:2 93:20	21:20 22:23,25	73:4
102:20 103:22	97:18 102:13	hard 76:22	24:3,4 25:11	imprecise 116:4
104:5 110:16,17	104:7 111:20	Harley 50:12,15	27:4 28:8 34:21	incident 54:21
111:19 115:24	115:12 132:23	51:3 53:24	35:10 45:17	73:20
116:19,21	132:24,25	56:22 57:2	50:9,16 51:1,8,9	include 77:2
119:23 120:3	133:14 135:5	66:10 68:9,21	51:10 63:22	includes 8:25
122:3 126:9	good 5:7 25:9	69:8 87:13 88:9	68:25 69:7,9	136:8
129:4 130:22	39:15	88:15 104:24	71:12 80:4,19	including 34:23
136:6	Google 129:12,14	105:4,18 106:9	80:21 84:9,20	69:1 136:2
Gerholdt's	gray 111:13	107:3 108:15	85:14 89:18	inconsistent
116:10	great 32:8 120:15	112:18 119:16	96:1 108:10	61:18 66:18
getting 24:2,20	green 29:11,13,20	he'll 39:10	109:1 118:2	indicate 29:14
64:25 95:7	53:15 99:8,22	hear 18:12 19:15	124:19 125:7,9	31:8 99:15,24
131:6,8	ground 6:8	22:14,15 90:6,8	132:7 133:1	122:13 139:13
give 48:7 49:23	Guard 9:6	90:13 116:2,9	Huh 85:10	indicates 86:20
95:18 120:11	guess 9:2 13:11	heard 83:22	hung 116:23	individual 14:24
given 12:19 72:10	23:3 58:25	117:25,25	т	15:2 18:21
72:11 134:23	122:17 124:19	hearing 108:2	TOTAL	individuals 15:17
140:12	guesstimated	held 94:21	ICE 11:10	information 72:9
gives 132:18	69:10	help 7:8 11:8,11	ID 139:3	72:11 79:5
go 7:3 9:23 20:6	guy 105:17,18,19	64:18 69:5	idea 68:12 84:17	134:23
22:23 24:8	122:17 128:8	hereunto 138:18	119:25 130:18	informed 22:9,12
39:10 40:15	guys 85:9,11	herewith 138:17	identical 98:6	initial 96:6
42:11 43:11		hesitate 139:18	identification	101:16 103:5
50:8 56:14,18	H	hey 24:5	39:8 45:5 55:4	125:19
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 45 of 55 PageID #: 805

initially 80:17	118:21 128:23	46:7 114:3	117:8,11 120:2	101:8
96:6	128:24	139:23	121:11 122:3	leads 10:12 23:2
initials 101:24	investigations		123:19 125:4,7	23:3 72:12
initiated 108:20	12:6 113:2,8,16	K	125:8,8 128:12	123:23
injured 36:15	investigative 23:6	keep 7:16 10:10	131:2,10,13,19	leave 9:23 59:17
injury 36:19	30:24 55:13	33:11 38:17	133:3,17 134:19	59:23,24 64:22
inside 50:16,17	132:21 135:23	39:13 43:20	134:20	70:4 79:11,17
50:18,22 69:2	Investigative/O	61:17 92:16	knowing 71:19	89:20,21 104:7
85:13	2:12	115:18 136:25	knowledge 21:13	111:4 116:3
instance 41:4	involved 37:22	keeping 24:3	26:24 32:10,11	leaving 77:6,19
49:10	74:9 128:3,5	137:4	34:16 41:19	77:21 79:3
interacted 108:7	involving 34:18	key 57:9,13,18,21	49:12 105:3,11	left 24:7 54:16
108:23 127:25	issue 12:1 37:8	57:22 58:1,21	105:14 109:16	61:24 62:1 69:8
interaction 28:22	50:12 116:24	59:10 87:21,25	138:11	70:23 77:20
interactions 27:7	issues 40:2	88:2 117:13,19	known 65:24	79:19 80:24
34:18 109:4,9	128:16	117:21 118:6	66:6 106:17,18	96:18 97:5
interest 52:6,16	items 95:12	keys 64:17,22	knows 122:18	98:12 105:6
interests 45:22		117:25,25		109:17 111:14
47:24	J	kind 11:3 79:17	L	112:17 120:6
interrogatories	J-O-S-H-U-A	123:11	laboratories 12:5	124:19 125:17
32:1,4 39:5,23	5:11	kinds 8:6 12:6	lack 25:12 91:24	130:6 132:24
40:9,14 42:11	JCC 101:24	knew 42:1 83:3	laughed 111:25	legal 35:23 42:16
69:16 115:18	JCC5349 101:22	knock 18:3 20:3,9	law 8:15,18,22	42:20 43:6 51:5
119:14	103:9,10	knocked 17:13	9:9,11 10:4,21	52:6,9,16 54:3
interrogatory 2:9	Jeep 23:5,7 59:11	knocking 20:12	10:23 11:13	66:24 68:7 71:4
32:9,12,18	96:21 97:8,11	20:12 78:14	16:11,14 35:9	71:23 75:3
40:15 44:1,5	103:2,3 109:23	know 12:24 21:4	38:21 67:14,16	86:23 88:20
45:11 63:12	123:12 124:20	24:1 26:13	67:18 68:11	92:4 127:18
73:23 114:1	129:11,24	34:13 35:23,25	69:3 70:23	133:9,15
117:10	Jim 87:25	37:5 38:11 41:1	71:19 72:7	legally 60:11
interrupting	jingling 118:1	41:23 42:2	75:22 76:2	lend 17:1
92:16	job 10:6 41:16	46:12,20 51:6,7	79:10 82:6	lender's 60:12,14
interviews 11:18	Joshua 1:8,12 3:8	51:10 52:10	108:1 109:12	let's 17:14 20:2
inventory 66:15	3:13 5:1,10	53:7,10,11	112:23 114:10	23:9 37:19 45:2
investigate 77:8	138:9 139:8,11	57:10,20 58:24	126:13 127:4,10	46:20 58:6
77:12 78:2	140:1,8,11,18	59:12 66:25	127:16 128:25	70:17 73:15
134:9	141:1,3	68:13,15 71:19	129:1,3 131:22	82:12 83:7 84:7
investigated	judgment 10:14	72:15,19 76:24	133:25 134:8	84:8 87:23
12:21 13:6	jumping 134:10	76:24 78:22	lawful 5:2	108:8 120:13
113:4 115:8,15	June 46:1 63:14	79:14 80:7,8	lawsuit 108:16	129:12 135:18
investigating	130:10	81:1,4 86:23	109:12 114:18	letter 93:4 94:2,6
13:3,4 33:17	jurisdiction	87:25 93:8,10	114:22	license 8:11,16
38:1,3,5,12	15:14	93:12,20 94:15	lawyer 5:17,20	licensed 8:18
investigation	jury 99:13	104:24 108:11	95:8 120:19	lien 87:21 88:2
11:4 22:23	justice 8:9	112:3,6,10,14	127:3	lienholder 88:4
112:20 113:18	Justin 4:5 32:17	112:16 116:14	lawyers 91:21	lieu 58:20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 46 of 55 PageID #: 806

life 6:9	looked 30:4	41:12 55:12,18	40:16 56:15	MO 139:2
light 29:7,8,11,13	looking 24:4	59:24 65:7,14	59:23 63:17	model 98:23
29:20,21 99:23	57:24,25 59:2	74:8 78:21	77:25 79:20	105:4
99:23	63:23 118:2	80:14 93:25	80:18 94:3	moment 75:6
lighting 99:1,9	124:18	95:9 98:18	99:10 106:6,16	80:24,24
lights 20:20 26:19	looks 58:18	112:19 135:23	119:20 130:1	money 9:25,25
26:21 99:7,14	100:21 107:6	136:17	means 29:9	10:1,2
line 23:19 92:17	123:11	manchestermo	115:21 126:15	months 17:5
119:1 128:21	lost 68:16	91:22	126:19	117:9 121:15
129:23 130:11	lot 6:9,12 67:16	Maps 129:12,14	meant 47:5	mopolice.gov
141:6,8,10,12	122:19,19	March 87:9	measure 25:9	93:15
141:14,16,18,20	Louis 1:24 3:18	Margulis 4:3	meets 124:16	morning 3:16 5:7
141:22	4:4,8 138:3,7	mark 39:6 45:2	memorialization	44:7 56:3 80:2
lines 10:18 78:18	139:2,7	55:2 58:4,7	28:2	motor 82:23
105:12 109:6,13	loves 121:13	62:21 73:9	middle 5:11	83:19
list 74:5,20,20,21	3.5	85:21 95:17,20	midnight 56:4	motorcycle 17:2
75:7 136:1	<u>M</u>	114:3 125:18	military 8:25 9:2	18:15 19:1,23
listed 95:12	Mackenzie 15:2	marked 16:5 39:8	9:4,5,8	22:9,21,24 24:9
136:12	15:18 16:19	39:17 45:5 55:4	mind 10:10	24:10,20 25:1
lists 74:7 136:5	18:6,18 21:11	58:9 63:1 71:13	minor 128:24	30:3,4,9 31:7
litigation 78:24	23:16,20 34:10	73:11 85:24	minute 20:24	33:18,22,25
little 125:10	35:8 49:16 52:6	91:16 95:23	69:13 120:11	34:18 36:6
LLC 4:3	53:23 54:23	97:17 100:3,6	minutes 15:22	38:13,22 50:12
loaned 17:1	57:8 59:9,13,17	102:16 125:24	24:15 29:24	50:15 51:3,15
lockout 64:13,15	70:3,19 72:21	market 64:20	32:16 33:2,3,20	54:16,24 57:9
64:16	78:3 79:10	105:11	47:12 69:11	57:15,20 58:2
lockouts 63:10	105:6 109:17	marking 91:13	77:7 81:3 82:10	58:17,20 59:14
64:7	110:1,2,12,24	102:13	88:14 96:11	59:24 69:1,8
locks 64:16	111:4,14,23	math 25:9	127:2 131:5	70:4,14,20
long 6:23 20:22	112:5,17 117:13	matter 3:23	mischaracterizes	72:14 81:19,24
31:17 32:14	118:9 120:7	48:17 112:20	60:3 70:6 79:13	83:6,20 84:23
41:1 68:15 91:3	121:3,25 127:4	138:11	missing 11:8	86:21 105:17,19
117:9	128:8,10,11,15	matters 113:2,5	81:24 121:14	107:11,14,19,25
longer 112:22	128:19 131:13	mean 11:20 13:9	Missouri 1:2,24	108:15 109:5,10
120:7	Mackenzie's	13:11 25:9	3:2,18,20,22 4:4	109:18 111:2,5
longtime 78:20	31:14 77:9	52:11 70:18	4:8 8:20,23	117:13,22 118:3
look 32:20 43:17	maintained 65:6	81:6 85:7,15,20	9:12 15:11	118:17,18,19
45:20 46:4	65:14 68:10	86:5 87:24 89:8	19:10 21:23	119:24 120:6
47:21 59:6,8	making 89:4 92:5	103:21 106:18	31:7 52:25	121:13,18
60:8 62:20 66:2	male 110:4,6	112:10 115:20	53:15 55:18	126:10 127:25
74:1,19 78:23	Manchester 6:22	118:14 121:9	64:9 66:13 79:4	motorcycles
86:15 88:1 96:4	6:24 7:2 9:15	126:14	138:2,8 139:7	105:21,23 106:4
96:17 98:1	9:18,24 10:22	meaning 10:1	140:3,14	121:21
103:17 104:8	12:15 14:20	13:2 14:14 21:2	misstates 54:2	mouth 17:15
113:25 121:17	15:11 21:23	22:1 23:23	71:23	MPD 56:17 65:23
124:19 125:12	35:3 39:2 40:21	29:16 37:21	misstating 17:18	66:5
		l	l	I

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 47 of 55 PageID #: 807

MPD0029 86:15	59:3,6 60:24,25	37:4 38:6,9	106:9 107:3	73:5,7 75:23
88:2	79:8 80:1 96:1	49:2 54:1 68:6	obtain 8:12,15	77:2 80:11,12
MPD0032 87:20	107:3 118:1	71:1 72:25 73:1	41:4 78:6,9	80:18 82:7
MPD0033 88:8	119:7 129:21,25	76:11 91:24	79:5	83:15,25 84:15
	132:21	94:14 99:18	obvious 51:2 57:2	84:20 86:10
N	nighttime 97:13	103:20 105:8	66:12	89:4,6,7,8,10,14
N 2:1 4:1	nine 3:15 6:18	113:21 131:25	obviously 26:7	89:19,22 90:12
name 5:8 25:19	Noce 3:17 4:7	133:14 134:11	97:13	90:14 91:25
25:25 26:7,7	138:6 139:6	134:11	occurred 55:23	94:24 95:3
30:19 31:11,14	Nope 46:16 54:14	objection 11:1	October 7:10	96:18 97:5,25
38:17 118:20	77:20 90:7	12:23 13:7	14:11 18:24	98:5,18 101:12
122:6 135:6,14	116:13	24:23 25:4	28:8 44:6 45:18	102:20 103:22
name's 135:3	normal 126:14	27:17,24 31:18	45:18 50:9	104:5 110:17
named 14:24	normally 133:4	33:7 34:11	63:21 96:1	111:19 112:24
15:2 18:21	Nos 44:8	35:22 37:13	98:24 105:5	114:11 115:23
names 136:2	notarized 139:15	38:15 40:24	106:10 113:1,14	115:24 116:5,10
Nathan 18:21	Notary 139:14	41:22 42:4,19	121:1 122:25	116:19,21
21:14,18 30:8	140:25	51:4,4,17 52:8	127:8	119:23 120:3,18
30:11,15 31:1	notice 108:1	52:17 54:1	offend 5:22,23	121:1 122:3
52:3 72:13,16	138:5	58:23 59:4 60:2	Offense 55:13	126:9,13 129:4
72:20 78:4	notification	62:11 66:21	135:24	130:22 131:23
86:21 87:3 88:9	25:18	70:5 71:3,21	offer 127:22	133:6,12,24,25
118:17	notified 45:23	72:25 75:2,9	officer 5:10 6:21	134:9 136:15,18
National 9:6	47:25	79:12 82:2	6:23 7:2,17,23	136:18 137:11
nature 50:2	November 93:4	86:22 88:17,25	8:19 9:4,13	officers 16:11,14
near 103:1	94:8	91:4 92:5,17,20	10:4,21 11:14	27:14 50:1
nearest 65:12	number 5:16	92:22 94:12,12	13:21 16:12,15	75:13 80:13,18
necessarily 23:25	10:23 32:19	99:17 103:19	16:20,22 17:6	134:9,14 136:2
129:19	33:21 56:22	106:13 107:4,12	17:10,13,22,25	136:6
necessary 41:15	63:12 64:5	107:20 108:3	19:7,13,24,25	official 49:25
need 7:8 11:11	66:19 73:22	113:10 118:24	20:3 21:1,25	oh 9:2 43:12 88:4
46:23 47:6,13	87:16 98:10	118:24 127:17	22:7,22 23:14	Okay 5:19,25 6:7
57:18 76:24	102:20 112:24	130:24 132:10	23:15 24:6,8	6:17,20 9:11
needed 36:4 41:4	113:15 114:6	132:15 133:8	26:5,6 27:22	10:4 14:18
112:22 136:23	126:10 127:21	134:17 135:8	28:20 30:3,6	19:22 20:2,9,19
needs 75:19	135:20	136:13,21	33:5,17,21,24	20:22 23:13,19
never 5:14 21:16	numbers 44:2	objections 72:4	34:10 35:4	24:19 25:11
21:19 59:1	56:15,15 136:3	observe 22:12,24	36:22 38:4	26:1,3 28:7
61:23 62:3,3		89:23 106:11	41:12,16,20	40:1,12,14
70:12 78:17	0	109:19 110:24	43:21 47:4 48:5	43:12,15 45:10
84:6 87:22 92:1	O 100:10 103:9	111:4	48:12,15,24	47:9,14,21
92:7 114:17,24	o'clock 3:15,16	observed 14:4	53:5,22 54:10	48:20 49:8,10
132:22 133:2	oath 28:25 31:25	24:16 29:23	60:25 62:3,10	50:22,25 51:21
newer 58:2,2	32:3,12 43:18	33:17,21,25	62:18 65:10	54:19 55:8 56:8
night 14:11 21:11	95:1	60:24 90:1	66:5 69:3,22	56:20 58:12
21:15 39:3 50:9	object 35:11 37:3	102:19 105:5	70:15,16 71:13	60:8 64:5 71:2

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 48 of 55 PageID #: 808

72:5 80:16 81:5	66:18 67:4,24	pages 86:12	122:19 127:25	physical 53:2,3
81:15 86:14	68:3 73:16,22	paid 10:2	129:2 131:19	53:12 110:25
87:5 88:24 92:8	orders 44:14,17	paper 35:15 53:2	135:7	physically 33:25
92:18,25 95:16	44:19 50:2	53:13 61:20	perform 9:8 41:8	34:5,9 51:14
96:22 97:13	ordinary 12:16	parked 50:15	performed 7:10	52:20 53:23
99:13 100:1	original 83:24	57:3 88:18	8:22 9:12	54:11 68:21
101:8 102:1,5	139:11	101:11 102:8	performing 10:5	96:16 103:10
102:10 103:13	Originally 7:16	119:17 120:7	39:3 60:21 64:7	136:19
104:1,5,19	outraged 83:5	129:24	64:13	picture 129:7
106:21 110:2,24	outside 8:19,23	part 58:16 76:2	perimeter 24:17	130:9
114:5 116:23	overweight	97:22 136:20	period 69:18,21	pictures 24:14
120:21 122:20	121:20	participated	80:2 108:11	96:11 122:21
123:9,17,22	owned 49:13,16	113:9,15	perjury 32:6	piece 53:2,12
124:18 125:16	49:19 77:12	particular 12:11	40:10	61:20 125:9
129:6,23 130:1	105:23	26:25 44:2	permission 70:23	pieces 35:15
130:11 137:11	owner 21:4 30:8	49:10 58:17	permit 48:13,24	place 65:13 68:2
older 58:1 121:20	38:19,22 61:19	105:4	84:25	68:10 100:7,18
on-call 7:23	61:19 66:4,7	parties 12:19	permitted 70:3	100:20,24 101:3
once 7:6	72:13 84:5	13:2 78:7	person 36:15	138:13
one-by-one 95:18	126:17	115:22	110:4	Plaintiff 1:6,13
one-car 125:10	owners 38:23	partner 16:7	person's 131:23	3:6,14 4:2,14
open 10:10 24:12	ownership 23:7	parts 106:12,22	personal 48:6	5:3 140:6
25:10 59:11	33:18 38:13	party 48:7,15	personally 13:14	plaintiff's 32:15
119:19,20,21	62:7 78:25	49:22,23 114:18	18:5,8 33:4	39:7 42:15,17
124:4,6,8	88:15	passed 69:6 80:23	41:16 42:2	43:5,7 45:4
130:15 131:24	owns 48:25	passenger 97:11	53:12,16,19	55:3 58:8 62:25
132:8,19,22,23		passion 106:2	77:8 95:11	73:10 85:23
132:24 133:4	P	patio 125:7	113:1	91:15 95:22
opened 120:1	P4:1,1	patrolling 8:3,5	personnel 76:17	97:16 100:2
130:19,19,22	p.m 15:23,25	132:21	77:1	102:15
131:10,13	78:14 79:7	Paul 93:8	phone 54:10	plans 74:12
operations 74:12	P.O 139:2	paved 124:11	70:13 83:21,22	please 5:7,21
opine 14:3	packet 114:4	paving 124:13	84:14 116:6,12	17:18 42:12
opinion 14:3	page 2:2,8 40:16	pay 106:15,19,23	116:18	45:3 49:21 55:2
opportunity 5:17	42:12 44:3 46:4	paying 87:21	photo 95:21	86:1 135:19
opposed 33:4	46:7,8 56:14	peace 7:16 33:12	96:17,24 98:12	139:10,13,15,17
103:9 104:16	60:10 65:9 66:2	43:20 61:17	99:10,14 103:2	PO 1:23
order 2:10,11,13	74:19 75:11,13	115:18 136:25	103:18 129:11	pocket 117:21
33:15 43:20	86:4,6,15 88:8 93:3 136:1	137:4	129:12,14	point 22:20 24:9
44:2,8,10,13,14		penalties 32:5	photocopy 46:18	28:1 80:3 90:19
44:20,24 45:10	139:12,14 140:1 141:6,8,10,12	40:10	photograph 2:16	102:23 104:12
45:21 47:18,23	141:14,16,18,20	pending 3:21	2:17,18,19,20	police 6:21,23 7:2
49:22,24 50:1,6	141:22	47:10 95:5	123:4,10,15	7:21,23 8:10
60:9,12,17 61:1	Pagedale 9:19,23	people 15:5 27:7	photos 95:17	9:4,13,16 12:15
61:11 62:20	10:3	28:23 34:23	phrase 115:17	13:14,17,22,23
63:11,14 64:5	10.5	121:19,20	137:2,4	14:6,21 15:13
	l	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 49 of 55 PageID #: 809

	1	1	·	
16:3,5 20:15	preparing 6:10	67:9	pulling 52:23,23	139:17
25:12,18 26:10	presence 35:5,9	procedures 45:22	purchase 86:25	quick 6:8 46:21
27:14,22 35:3	84:16,19 109:13	47:23 61:8 64:6	purchased 86:21	120:13
39:2 40:22	124:8	proceeded 83:23	86:24	quite 102:2
41:12 55:13	present 16:11,14	proceeding	purchaser 87:3	123:14
59:8,24 64:17	34:9 54:11 66:4	115:13	purported 19:11	quote 32:16
71:12,13,14,14	80:14 115:24	produced 3:13	19:23 35:10	43:18,22 57:8,9
74:8 75:13	116:9 120:3	5:2 117:13	purpose 26:15	74:22,23
80:14 81:21	129:2 136:19	product 94:15	27:10 38:14	·
82:15,16 83:8	preserve 94:3,9	promise 5:22	45:21 47:22	R
83:21 91:21	95:11	pronouncing	64:6	R 4:1
93:25 95:9 98:5	pretty 107:6	13:21	purposely 40:1	ran 30:7 122:5
98:18 109:7,14	125:6 131:20	proof 85:8,14	purposes 86:3	126:9
112:11,19 134:1	previously 38:7	property 32:15	purse 52:24	randomly 78:14
134:9 135:23	50:23 60:16	42:18 43:7	pursuant 43:18	Ray 87:2
136:17	61:3 81:25 83:2	45:22 47:24	75:7 138:5	Raymond 1:5 3:5
police@manch	99:1,15 104:20	48:6,12,15,16	pursuit 70:21	38:16 139:8
92:10 93:13	114:18	48:25 49:13,16	push 29:6 58:3	140:5 141:3
policies 61:7	primary 28:20	49:19,24 50:12	put 17:15 103:5	reaction 89:16
127:15	73:5	50:15,19 51:22	117:22 135:14	read 13:25 14:2
policy 46:5 48:3,5	prior 9:18 18:23	52:7,16 53:25	putting 25:13	34:3 43:2,2,7,13
49:6 63:9 65:9	19:16 30:6 32:3	62:2 63:10 64:9	106:6 115:11	43:22 45:24
porch 83:12	45:17 50:25	65:3,12 68:11		48:11 50:2
portion 43:16	63:20 64:3	101:1 110:25	Q	59:17 65:17
101:1	73:19 77:6,19	127:11 129:18	question 5:20,24	139:13 140:12
position 7:6,21	77:20,21 79:3	proportionally	6:3,5,15 30:13	141:6,8,10,12
112:21	87:11 95:10	32:25	32:13 33:10,10	141:14,16,18,20
possession 48:16	104:16 107:24	prosecutor 41:5	34:15 37:9	141:22
49:23 51:2,14	113:14 139:16	protect 36:18	42:22 47:10	readily 13:13
57:9 68:16	privacy 24:16	protective 75:15	51:11,19 54:6	31:21
possibilities	50:22 57:3 69:2	protects 27:14,21	67:2 73:3 86:11	reading 49:21
130:22	102:11 103:13	provide 35:8,14	92:9 95:4,5,25	141:4
possibility 127:21	103:17,18 104:3	41:5 52:21	99:21 116:4	really 95:3
131:17,18	private 50:19	108:1 112:14	130:12 133:23	117:17 130:12
possibly 58:25	53:25 64:9 65:3	provided 12:1	134:19,19	130:13
84:6 86:8	65:12	30:5 31:6,12,15	135:11	reason 38:17
potential 13:3,4	privilege 94:14	32:9 52:18 64:1	questioning	58:21 141:7,9
Powerscourt 3:17	probable 37:1,11	72:9 83:25 84:2	91:24 92:17	141:11,13,15,17
4:7 138:6 139:6	75:14 76:1	86:9 88:13 89:5	questions 2:2 5:6	141:19,21,23
practices 44:14	probably 15:20	89:7,10 127:4	5:16,17 56:11	reasonable 75:15
premarked 58:6	102:24 106:1	public 64:8 65:2	114:7 120:11,17	76:1
premises 54:16	112:13,15 131:5	65:12 93:25	120:19,25	reasons 135:15
111:5 120:7	problem 6:14	139:14 140:25	121:24 126:22	recall 14:11,14,16
127:9	46:18 121:21	pull 114:2	126:24 127:21	23:8 25:6 31:20
prepared 13:18	procedural 74:8	pulled 52:25	129:7 133:19,22	34:1 35:13
13:22	procedure 48:9	117:21	134:4,7 137:9	40:12 81:2

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 50 of 55 PageID #: 810

107:18 110:18	registration	59:8 73:5 81:18	resources 12:20	53:19 56:9 84:1
110:21 114:11	118:22	135:4,6,14,17	13:5,12	127:15
receive 44:16,18	regrets 135:2,3,4	135:19	respect 14:6	ride 111:20
44:20,22,23	Reichardt 3:17	reporter 3:19	34:20 40:22	121:19
received 45:16	4:7 138:6 139:6	4:16 5:9 76:23	44:17 71:11	riding 106:4
receives 93:12	related 108:14	138:1 140:13	respective 48:14	111:2 121:13,21
recipient 92:12	112:5	reporter's 6:9	respond 20:1	right 24:7 25:25
recognize 39:18	relationship 31:9	reporting 1:23	31:25 89:13	40:4 49:23
45:14 57:6 63:3	72:16,20 78:3	89:6,8 108:21	122:12,17	54:17,21 56:6
63:6 86:2 92:25	release 88:3	139:1	responded 16:2	61:5 70:21
97:21 98:16	released 70:14	reports 10:17	21:20 25:11	72:10 81:6,10
100:6,17	relevance 107:12	13:15,17,23	26:20 28:8	85:5 95:6,19
record 26:16,18	relevant 10:15	14:6 135:24	79:21 80:15	96:19 97:10
27:12 29:1 40:8	rely 42:17 43:6	repossession	89:13 96:1	98:13 101:14
47:17 86:3	remain 32:15	43:21 49:9	108:10 109:1	102:24 103:1,1
94:19,22 97:5	remember 52:22	60:20,21	121:2 122:25	103:4,4 109:23
101:20 103:8	52:23 79:3 95:3	repossessions	responding 8:3	124:20 125:6
118:7,11 120:12	107:8 109:3	45:24 47:25	18:23 32:3	128:13,22 131:9
126:16	118:1 122:4	60:10	45:17 80:17	131:20 132:18
recording 27:14	removal 107:24	represent 44:5	82:22	134:23
29:9,18,21 42:1	remove 65:10,17	58:19 104:11	response 7:5	right-of-way 64:8
99:16,24	removed 65:5,11	represented 4:2,6	32:13 45:11	65:3
records 27:7 42:6	68:10 101:12	19:12	73:23 117:10	rights 48:14
77:13	106:11 122:12	representing	responses 44:1	ring 20:6
red 29:6,8,20	127:8	30:10,14 95:8	114:1	road 111:12
41:25 42:6 99:8	Rench 18:21	represents 102:7	responsibilities	Robertson 2:4
99:23	21:14,18 30:8	104:12	7:1,14	4:9 11:1 12:23
reduced 138:15	30:11,15 31:9	request 94:2	responsibility	13:7 19:15
reference 44:2	49:19 51:25	101:20	7:18	24:23 25:4
referenced 45:11	52:1,3 72:13,16	requested 141:5	restate 72:3	26:17 27:17,24
63:12 66:13	72:20 77:23	requirement	restroom 46:24	31:18 32:17
73:23	78:4 86:21 87:3	43:19	retained 2:21	33:7 34:11
references 55:16	88:9 112:5	requirements	18:16 41:2	35:11,22 37:3
56:21	122:7,8	74:8	retrieve 84:10	37:13 38:6,9,15
referred 87:13	Rench's 31:1	reregistered	return 17:4 80:3	39:9,13 40:3,24
126:6	112:2 118:17	126:18	80:25 81:17	41:22 42:4,19
referring 15:7	render 36:14,17	reserved 4:18	82:5 139:15	46:6,12,19,23
19:9,11 30:18	rent 107:19	138:16	returned 80:19	47:1 49:2 51:4
30:20 32:18	rented 107:10,13	residence 15:8	138:17	51:17 52:8,17
125:3 128:9	repeat 12:25 37:6	55:17 79:18,19	reveal 84:4	54:1 55:5,8
reflected 96:23	37:9 100:8	82:12,15 90:4	revealed 84:5	58:10,12,23
regarding 79:5	133:10	96:5,13 121:2	Revenue 79:4	59:4 60:2 62:11
regardless 128:18	rephrase 59:5	122:21,25	126:19	62:23 66:21,23
registered 30:8	67:2	resident 78:21	review 53:16	68:6 70:5 71:1
84:6 118:20	report 2:12 13:22	resist 134:5	77:22 137:12	71:3,21 72:5,25
122:6 126:18	55:14 56:1,2,9	resolved 48:17	reviewed 13:17	75:2,9 76:4,11
	l	l		

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 51 of 55 PageID #: 811

79:12 82:2	saw 119:23	seconds 52:12	service 7:4 9:1,8	signed 9:5 39:24
85:25 86:22	saying 61:21	Section 74:19	79:15,20 132:20	39:24
88:17,20,25	67:24 81:9	75:12,18	Services 1:23	signing 32:5
91:4,23 92:4,15	106:22,23 110:3	secure 67:17	139:1	silver 111:12
92:21 94:11,18	118:19 130:13	see 18:25 19:22	set 138:18	similar 25:15
95:19 99:17	says 5:3 24:5	22:10,20,24	Seven 95:13	similarly 6:3 26:5
102:2,5 103:19	25:18 45:20	24:4 25:10 34:8	sheet 139:12,14	26:6 114:17
105:8 106:13	47:22 48:5,11	34:19 36:6,7,8	sheets 139:15	simple 79:17
107:4,12,20	48:18,21,24	38:16 42:5,12	Sheila 1:20 3:19	simplest 95:25
108:3 113:10,21	55:23 56:17	44:3,25 46:6,20	4:16 138:4,23	Sincerely 139:19
114:3,5 118:24	57:6 59:9,16	48:3,9,9 53:12	138:24 139:21	single 108:15
120:13,22,25	60:10 65:10	55:16 56:14,21	140:13	sirens 26:19,22
126:21 127:17	66:3 75:12,13	57:13,14 65:10	shift 81:15,16	sit 112:1,4 134:25
130:24 131:25	75:18 76:16	66:3 74:20	short 80:2 103:22	sitting 68:15
132:10,15 133:8	87:2,5 98:9,13	76:16 78:24	103:23 108:11	72:15,19 119:25
133:14,22 134:4	scene 15:21 16:3	85:17 86:18	shorthand 138:14	situation 12:21
134:11,17 135:8	16:10,12,13,17	87:2,5,20,24	show 24:14 47:15	13:6 16:21
136:13,21	16:23 17:10	88:2,5,7 92:9	55:1 73:8 75:14	six 17:5 104:6
137:10,12 139:7	26:10,21 27:3	93:3 94:2 98:4	85:8,14 91:13	106:1 121:15
139:9	30:10,14 32:25	98:14 99:1,10	95:16 97:18	six-three 104:6
rockets 105:20	50:10 53:17	102:2,25 104:2	100:4 102:13	six-two 104:6
rode 107:8 120:8	89:19 119:18	119:23 122:10	122:9,11	skipping 55:5
128:21	129:3 131:7	123:9,14 124:23	showed 61:19	slash 55:13
role 7:9,9 127:10	136:9,9	125:13 130:6	83:4 116:5	135:23
127:15,16	scientists 12:14	132:6,22,23	122:20 129:15	slightly 32:13
roles 136:3	scratch 34:5	seen 19:6 35:16	showing 39:16	socially 116:23
rolled 90:3	seal 93:10 138:19	41:25,25 42:8	42:7	solely 37:7
Rost 93:8	search 11:15	53:14 58:16	shown 129:6	solved 90:22,23
rude 76:21	35:18 36:5 37:1	59:1 87:22 90:3	sic 83:5 121:15	90:24
rules 6:8	37:2,11,12 74:4	92:1,7 94:6	side 22:17,23,25	somebody 36:18
run 12:11,12	74:9,16 75:7,14	106:18	24:7,7 78:10	83:20 86:24
46:24	118:7,11,14	sees 81:24	96:19,19 97:6,8	132:23 136:8,9
running 35:6	119:9	seized 53:8,8	97:10,11 116:12	somebody's
92:22 94:12	searches 74:5,20	self-help 43:20	124:19 125:9	132:22
rush 10:14	74:21 76:1	seller 87:3	127:10	someone's 11:20
	second 17:14	send 12:9 93:15	sidearm 26:3	132:19 133:7,12
$\frac{S}{S \sim 7.4.1}$	19:2 20:2 23:9	sense 6:12 85:5	71:15	something's
S 2:7 4:1	24:13 39:20	sent 12:14 93:19	sides 113:19,24	132:24
s/ 138:23	47:16 54:12,16	sentence 59:16	sidewalk 22:18	soon 82:8
safe 33:14	54:20 58:5	sergeant 54:9,11	36:8	sorry 19:15 26:6
safely 65:17	60:10 77:18	69:23 77:4	sign 39:22 40:9	46:6,17 66:22
safety 43:21	83:7 84:11 86:6	80:15,16 89:13	137:12 139:14	76:21 82:13
65:13 106:6	86:15 87:2 93:3	89:22,23 90:9,9	signature 4:18	90:8 102:17
135:15	94:19 97:4	90:11 115:25	39:22 138:15	109:25
sale 87:6,8 sat 111:15	108:8,20 115:3	116:6,17	139:12,14,16	sort 8:22,25 9:8
Sat 111.13	129:13 135:18	series 74:7 85:21	140:1 141:25	114:10
	<u> </u>		l	

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 52 of 55 PageID #: 812

		1		
sounds 93:20	staffing 7:6	112:8 113:20,24	suspects 27:21	109:6,13 113:12
120:15	standby 29:15	121:8,11,18,23	suspicion 75:16	126:16 138:10
spare 39:10	standing 22:8,16	127:3 131:6,8	76:1	ten 15:21 33:3
speak 11:21 18:7	92:20 102:19,23	street 22:10	suspicious 50:2	47:12 52:12
23:19 77:15	103:10 136:24	65:11 111:7,15	SWAT 11:9	55:22
78:10	137:4	132:4,6	switch 57:21	term 126:3
speaking 7:20	star 125:18,24	strike 95:4	58:20	terms 60:11
17:9,11 49:11	126:5	strips 44:20	swore 117:21	testified 17:22
51:12 62:7	start 57:12 58:3	stuff 12:14	sworn 3:13 5:2	33:20 38:7
98:22	95:6 115:3	131:20	138:10 140:20	40:18 50:6 56:8
speaks 49:3	started 57:16,18	subject 109:11	system 30:7	60:16 69:22
specific 95:7	57:21 58:1 59:9	114:9		74:16 96:4,10
specifically 49:25	59:13 110:7	subpoenas 12:1	T	99:2,15 100:22
56:23	117:14,23 118:5	Subscribed	T 2:7	104:20 108:10
speculation 12:24	starts 29:7 58:20	140:20	take 32:8 39:11	108:16 109:11
24:24 25:5	state 3:20 5:7,10	subscribing	46:21 47:7,12	115:2,10,12
27:18 31:19	8:19,23 51:1	141:4	48:6 53:24	128:1 129:11
33:8 34:12	57:2 64:9 66:12	subsection 46:5	83:20 120:13	130:18
35:12 37:3,4	138:2,7 140:14	66:13	taken 1:13 4:15	testifying 55:20
38:10 40:25	statement 59:20	subsequently	5:13 47:3 94:23	94:25
41:23 49:4 52:9	statements 118:8	90:15	120:16 138:14	testimony 28:13
54:4 58:24	128:7	sued 114:24	139:11	28:24 60:23
62:12 66:25	States 1:1 3:1,21	suggest 128:21	talk 6:10 78:12	61:10 67:1,3,11
68:7 70:6 71:5	140:2	Suite 3:18 4:4,8	135:18	79:13 93:17
71:22 73:1 75:3	stating 61:20	138:7 139:6	talked 61:15	132:11,14
82:3 89:1 91:5	station 79:23	summing 114:8	88:13	136:18
99:19 103:20	90:19 111:17	supervisor 69:25	talking 18:9 28:22 54:13	Thank 72:5
106:14 107:5,21	statute 64:10	89:12 91:2		120:18 133:20
113:11,22	stay 54:19,21	supplement	70:13 83:14	137:8 139:18
130:25 132:1	61:22	135:17	87:17 90:5 113:6 124:23	Thanks 85:25
134:13 135:9	steal 134:2	supplemental	125:21	102:5
136:14,22	stepped 31:22	13:22	tall 24:16 103:18	theft 82:23
speed 47:7	Steven 15:2 16:25	supposed 61:4,22	103:21,23,24	theirs 61:21
spell 5:8,10	121:3,25	66:14	103.21,23,24	thereto 141:5
spike 44:20	sticking 117:20	sure 6:9 12:20	task 41:8	they'd 122:16
spoke 18:5 52:12	STIPULATED	13:1,5 17:16	team 11:9	thing 18:16 25:24
spoken 18:20	4:13	32:8,19 33:14	technically 80:1	47:5 107:8
21:17 61:24	stole 70:21	39:12 45:1	tell 5:21 32:17	122:2
62:4	stolen 81:19 108:21 112:13	46:22 92:20,23 94:20 100:9	39:17 45:6 47:5	things 8:6 58:5
spot 70:2 101:15 squeezed 109:23	stood 17:11 18:8	102:4 115:21,21	49:21 52:13	74:13 101:8 119:2,4 121:5
squeezeu 109:23 ss 138:2	stop 15:21 85:2	115:22 119:4	53:14 63:2	129:20
St 1:24 3:18 4:4,8	132:21	surprise 88:24	70:18 81:1,7,13	think 30:19 34:3
8:9 138:3,7	stops 26:18 27:8	89:2 112:7	84:11,13,16	46:17 52:24,25
139:2,7	stops 20.18 27.8 story 17:12 36:9	surrounding 11:7	86:1 90:2,25	57:11 62:23
stack 95:18	77:9 78:10	suspect 13:3	91:6 100:5,17	72:23 80:12
SUCK 75.10	77.770.10	suspect 13.3	, .	72.23 00.12
	1	I	ı	I

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 53 of 55 PageID #: 813

83:14 89:12	108:10 109:18	31:6,7,8,11,15	27:8	138:15
104:6 111:12	110:25 119:6,16	35:10,16 52:18	trained 75:21	
114:8 117:9,11	121:2 122:21,24	52:21,25 53:1	training 44:16,18	U
119:3 122:6	124:10 132:7	53:15 79:5	44:21,22,23	U.S 75:17
125:4,6 126:21	thorough 10:6	83:24 84:24	98:17 126:12	uh-huh 16:1
134:23 135:13	thoroughly 12:22	85:1,6,7,14,17	133:24 136:16	17:17,20,24
135:19 137:2	13:6	86:8 90:9	transcribed 4:17	22:3 25:20
third 78:7	thought 41:24	today 40:19	transcript 6:11	26:14 28:4
Thomas 83:5	84:22	68:15 72:15,19	139:13	56:13 68:1
121:15	three 107:9	95:10 98:7	transferred 117:8	76:18,22 86:17
Thompson 1:5	three-wheel	112:1,4 114:15	transpired 81:25	90:10 97:9 99:3
3:5 17:3,4 21:2	112:12	114:20 119:25	84:12	100:14,16
21:8 37:21	threshold 104:21	128:1 134:25	trash 129:20	116:20 124:22
38:18,19 51:2	tickets 7:3	toggle 57:21	trespass 109:7,15	124:24 125:23
51:25 55:17	tied 90:21	58:20	trial 139:16	127:23 128:2
61:24 62:4,8	time 11:23 16:16	told 16:21 17:6	trike 56:22 88:9	ultimately 53:22
68:16 69:19	17:8 19:2,3,5,6	17:22 31:4	112:12	understand 5:21
77:15,16,22	21:6 23:17,24	62:18 89:20,21	trikes 121:19	5:23 12:3,10
78:13 81:18,21	24:1 28:17	112:8 116:3	truck 122:12,14	17:16 32:4,24
83:11,16 84:2,9	32:14,22,24	121:5,7,11,23	122:17 128:5	33:10 34:14
84:11,16,18	34:7,20 37:2,12	122:8	true 59:13,13,20	69:5 76:14 81:9
86:9,20 87:2,20	47:6,11 54:12	tools 10:23 11:3	76:5,6 121:18	94:25 101:21
88:1,8,8,13	54:13,16,20	top 46:8 47:21	128:13,18	117:1 119:2
89:24 90:4	61:23 62:1	75:12 86:3,12	131:21	133:6,11
108:1,8,19,20	68:22 69:6,7,7	92:10 95:20	trust 67:19	understanding
108:24 109:5,10	69:10,18,21	117:22 130:6	trusts 68:11	17:21 27:10,12
109:13 112:18	72:10,12 77:18	topic 115:11	truth 66:9 138:11	38:20 39:2 62:2
139:8 140:5	78:12 80:3,7,23	totality 36:10	truthful 43:24	62:5 71:7 126:8
141:3	81:7,10,13	totally 40:5,6	try 68:19	132:18
Thompson's 15:7	82:22 85:20	47:8	trying 17:15	understood 6:4
15:10 17:25	88:12 89:12	touch 33:25	76:21 84:21	38:5 49:6 81:23
18:23 20:1	108:7,19,20,24	68:21	90:22 128:20	130:12
23:11 27:3 28:8	109:2,4,9	touched 117:11	turn 26:19,21	uniform 25:12
29:25 31:22	111:18 112:23	touching 138:11	28:14,19,19	71:14 82:16
34:19,21 35:17	116:5 117:6	tow 66:9 67:8,16	120:20	unit 7:4,5
35:20 36:22,23	120:18 126:17	67:18,19 122:12	Twenty 32:22	United 1:1 3:1,21 140:2
45:17 50:9,16	127:7,12,13,24	122:14,17,18	two 15:5,17 19:3	
50:19 53:24	131:3,6,7	127:22 128:3,5	20:24 22:8 24:8	unnecessarily 101:9
57:3 63:21	134:24 135:1	128:9,19	33:12 44:2	
65:24 68:9,25	times 19:3 68:24	towed 63:9 66:5	77:23 80:18	untrue 128:19
69:7 71:12	69:3 71:11	66:15 67:21	86:12 87:10	upset 83:19 use 10:24 11:4
78:10 79:17	108:9,14 136:23	towing 64:7 65:2	90:20 108:9	12:20 13:5,12
80:4,21 82:12	timing 37:8	tows 67:15	113:24 121:22	28:18 46:23
82:14 96:13	tire 103:1	traditional 60:20	129:2 131:5	57:11 68:16
100:21,25	title 2:14 19:7,8	traffic 7:17 8:3	135:7	usually 121:20
106:10 107:25	19:10,11,13,23	15:21 26:18	typewriting 4:17	usuany 121.20
	I		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 54 of 55 PageID #: 814

	_	_		
132:22 133:25	35:7 56:22	83:6 85:4,15	63:24 67:10	wrong 67:23
utilize 26:10 28:7	87:16 102:20	86:11 89:4 92:8	68:13 79:15,23	71:20 72:7
28:21 41:20	118:12,16 122:5	103:3 108:19	80:8,9,9 84:9,20	wrote 40:15
	virtually 98:6	116:6 117:8	111:8	43:18 69:15
V	visual 24:3	122:2 127:12	weren't 37:19	77:22 101:21
v 1:7 3:7 66:3	voice 138:14	watch 34:5 134:1	74:16 131:3	117:12
139:8 140:7	voluntarily 11:21	watched 20:3	wheels 107:9	
141:3		Watchguard	121:22	X
vacation 107:16	W	98:25	WHEREOF	X 2:1,7 100:9
vague 11:1 33:7	wait 20:22	Watching 110:9	138:18	103:5,8
34:12 73:1	waiting 83:13	water 101:15	willing 11:21	
76:11 99:18	walk 23:10 96:16	Waters 54:9,11	131:20	<u> </u>
103:20 105:8	132:8 133:7,12	69:23 77:4	window 90:2	yard 22:10,17
107:4 113:21	walked 67:5 96:6	80:15,16 89:13	124:21	23:11,16 24:11
131:25 133:15	96:18,19,22	89:19,22,23	windows 24:5	24:12,14,15,21
134:12 136:21	97:1	90:9,11 115:25	102:25	25:1,3 29:25
value 105:11	walkie-talkie	116:6,17 117:7	withstanding	31:17,23 33:1,5
vantage 102:23	25:16	way 25:13 34:17	128:7	34:8,8,19 35:2
104:12	walking 23:23,24	39:13 57:15	witness 4:18,19	35:17,20 36:5
various 74:12	90:3	65:16 67:20	11:18 46:11,16	36:14,17,22
136:5	walks 23:10,13	78:9 106:17	66:22 94:17	37:1,11 42:16
vehicle 2:14 16:3	23:15	112:11 119:22	120:21 138:12	43:5 50:11,16
16:5 26:10	want 8:14 12:11	we'll 7:19 24:13	138:18 139:13	50:18 54:22
45:23 47:25	14:10 15:19	46:24 54:20	141:25	67:18,19 69:2
58:3 59:10	17:15 47:15	55:7 84:10 87:1	women 121:20	88:19 96:12,17
63:10 64:7,13	54:19,20 56:11	96:10 115:2	wonderful 6:8	100:21,25
64:15,16 65:2,5	56:18 61:9	137:12	word 6:12,12	103:11 106:10
65:11,17 66:4,5	85:17 92:15,16	we're 24:2,19	words 7:11 12:10	107:25 109:18
66:15 67:8,15	95:5,16 100:4	47:4 55:5 87:17	17:15 64:19	110:11,13,19,20
67:15 68:17	110:4 117:17	94:24 95:4	78:17 86:6	110:21 119:7
82:15,23 83:8	119:4 122:10	115:11	101:5 111:7	121:17 123:24
106:12 111:11	wanted 85:7,16	we've 11:7 126:3	115:10	124:14 126:4,6
116:18 118:15	wanting 81:18	wearing 25:12,15	work 7:5,7 8:23	130:14 132:9,19
118:16 123:6,10	warrant 35:18	25:16 26:9 27:4	9:5 23:6 30:24	133:7,13
123:20 124:1	36:5 37:2,12	28:11,25 29:4	47:1 71:12 76:2	yards 6:18
126:9 131:9	42:16 43:5,10	71:14 98:5,7	81:11 93:16	yeah 7:24 8:2
134:2	43:19 74:5,10	website 93:25	94:14 117:5	13:11 32:22,24
vehicles 23:4	74:16,20,21	weeks 90:20	122:18 128:9	33:11 40:5 43:3
57:17 63:9 64:8	75:7 119:10	weird 125:5	worked 117:2	46:13,25 47:2 48:22,23 49:7
129:20	132:13	welcome 47:12	working 12:11	48:22,23 49:7 50:18 51:16
vein 77:11	warrants 11:15	welcomed 85:9	91:1	55:7 57:19
veracity 77:8	74:4	85:11,12,13	workout 81:6,10	58:11 62:19,24
vest 98:6	wasn't 21:2 22:1	went 8:9 17:13,25	wouldn't 23:25	67:22 76:15,24
victim 13:4	28:20,22 30:13	22:7 30:3 33:13	67:18 106:16	77:21 81:11
VIN 30:4,4,7	37:17 58:2	34:20 50:10	Wrangler 23:5,7	85:2,8,13,19,20
33:21 34:2,3	66:19 78:15	52:24 63:17,21	write 7:3 10:19	05.2,0,15,17,20

Case: 4:23-cv-00133-SRW Doc. #: 39-9 Filed: 01/11/24 Page: 55 of 55 PageID #: 815

86:19 92:21	79:7	2022 7:10 14:11	425.06 74:19 75:8	9
110:23 120:13	11:30 15:24,25	18:24 28:9 44:7	441 2:10 44:8,11	9 2:17 97:16,19
122:8 125:15	78:14 81:12	45:18 50:9	44:24,25 45:10	97:19,22 98:1
130:2 131:18	12 113:12	63:21 93:4 94:9	46:7 47:18 60:9	,
134:22 135:21	12:30 81:12,12	96:2 98:24	61:1,11,11	99:5,7,23
year 9:19 107:17	120 2:4	105:6 106:10	45 2:10	122:23
113:13	1209 21:21 55:17	113:1,15 121:1	456 2:11 44:8	9:29 92:13 91 2:15
years 77:23 87:11	1226 1:20 139:22	123:1 127:8	62:20 66:19	
112:24	12444 3:17 4:7	2023 1:14 3:15	67:4,12	92-1238320 139:3
Yep 6:6,19 18:4	138:6 139:6	138:19 139:5,11	4569 66:13	95 2:16
48:10,19 52:2,4	126 2:3	140:14	4507 00.15	97 2:17
56:5,16,18,19	133 2:4	22/22 55:23	5	
87:7 97:20 99:6	134 2:3	2230 15:20	5 2:3,13 40:15	
111:9 130:8	14th 93:4 94:8	22nd 7:10 14:11	73:9,10,12,15	
you-all 22:16	15 2:20 9:22	18:24 28:9 44:6	73:16,22 74:1,2	
29:24 50:25	32:19 42:12,13	96:2 98:24	74:4,7,19	
54:22 62:6 66:9	43:10 58:7,8,13	105:5 106:10	5/26 47:19	
Young 3:17 4:7	113:12	121:1 123:1	5375 98:10	
138:6 139:6	151 46:9 60:10	127:8	55 2:12	
	15C 43:1,11	23 130:10	58 2:20	
Z	160 3:18 4:8	2330 15:20		
zip 63:25	138:7 139:6	23rd 44:6	6	
	17 139:5	24 41:10	6 2:14 44:3 85:21	
0	17th 138:19	25 32:16 69:12	85:23 86:2	
003 65:23 66:6	18 117:10	77:7	62 2:11	
1	1HD 56:23	252092 1:23	63021 21:23	
1 2:9 39:6,7,17,18		139:2	63105 4:4	
39:21 114:1	2		63125 1:24 139:2	
1:30 81:13	2 2:10 25:7 45:2,4	3	63131 3:18 4:8	
10 2:18 100:2,5,6	45:7,10,14,16	3 2:11 55:6,7	139:7	
100:7,12,18	45:20 46:4	56:17,18 62:22	7	
101:3,13,17	47:15,18,22	62:25 63:4,6,8	7 2:15 42:12	
102:18 104:20	60:8 65:9	3's 62:23	91:14,15,17,20	
110:15 125:12	2,366.37 88:10	30th 87:9	95:14	
125:13 126:1	20 15:20 32:16	314-461-2122	73 2:13	
10/22/22 55:21	69:11,12 77:7	1:24 139:3	750 4:4 107:22	
100 2:18	140:21	39 2:9	7700 4:3	
102 2:19	200 107:23	4		
11 2:19 15:24	2003 56:21 87:14	41:14 2:12 3:15	8	
44:3 45:12	88:9	55:2,3,9,12 59:9	8 2:16 95:21,22	
63:12 102:14,15	2012 8:14	66:2 135:20	96:4 122:22,23	
102:18 104:8,12	2013 8:17 9:22	139:11 140:14	122:24 124:18	
104:22	2015 6:25	4:23-cv-133-S	125:22 129:7,14	
11/14/2022 92:13	2020 46:1 47:19	1:7 3:7 140:7	819 46:10 65:10	
11/14/22 2:15	63:15 73:20	425 2:13 73:17	821 66:2	
11:00 15:23 79:7	87:9	1 20 2.13 3.11	85 2:14	